3. The Translatory Situation in Atsugewi: the FM Verb (-Root)

3.1 The FM Root Subderivation

In one of the most typical patterns of particularization and derivation for a translatory structure in Atsugewi, the FIGURE-specifying nominal does not, as in English, remain at its original location as a distinct element in its original form, and the MOTIVE-specifying verb does not, as in English, become adjoined by a MANNER-specifying adverb from outside the S. Rather, the FIGURAL nominal and its N node move into Chomsky-adjunction with the MOTIVE verb and its V node under a new V node which specifies the combination of components FIGURE + MOTIVE, or 'FM', and onto each particular such adjunction is lexically-inserted a vadic morpheme which is keyed (i.e., marked as corresponding) to it. The inserted morpheme remains at the locus of the adjunctional V node through succeeding derivational steps to appear at the surface as the root of the sentential-verb. A root arising in this fashion will be termed a FIGURE+MOTIVE-specifying root or, abbreviatedly, an FM root. The sequence of transformations which leads to an FM root will be termed the FM root subderivation. The set of all FM roots is closed.

It can be seen from this description of the FM root subderivation that an underlying FIGURE-specifying nominal does not survive to the surface, as in English, and hence is bathic, not vadic. It can be further seen that since those FIGURE-specifying nominals which must be posited to exist at the underlying level are precisely those which lead to the closed set of existing FM roots, they are simple nouns
themselves constituting a closed set and not, as in English, nominalization-formed phrases drawn from the open set. Thus, while the FIGURE-specifying nominal in an underlying $S_i$ of English has been characterized as a vadic noun phrase, that of Atugewi must be characterized as a bathic simple noun.

To illustrate the FM root subderivation, we turn for an example first to English, which, though atypically for it, does have several 'FM verbs' which arise by derivational processes homologous with those leading to the Atugewi FM root. In the underlying translatory structure for this example, the FIGURE-specifying expression is a bathic noun -- here represented by the form RAIN -- which has the nominal meaning 'rain' and which, in adjunction with MOVE, keys in an insertion by the vadic 'FM verb' rain. The particularized underlying structure and its derivation are represented in phrase-marker form in (11):
(11)

(a)  
\[ S_T (s_T) \]
\[ \text{N (F)} \quad \text{V (M)} \quad \text{P (D)} \quad \text{N (G)} \]
\[ \text{RAIN} \quad \text{MOVE} \quad \text{into} \quad \text{the bedroom} \]

(b) \[\Rightarrow\]  
\[ S_T (s_T) \]
\[ \text{N} \quad \text{V (FM)} \quad \text{P (D)} \quad \text{N (G)} \]
\[ \text{N (F)} \quad \text{V (M)} \]
\[ \text{RAIN} \quad \text{MOVE} \quad \text{into} \quad \text{the bedroom} \]
\[ \text{rain} \]

(c) \[\Rightarrow\]  
\[ S_T (s_T) \]
\[ \text{N} \quad \text{V (FM)} \quad \text{P (D)} \quad \text{N (G)} \]
\[ \text{it} \quad \text{rain} \quad \text{into} \quad \text{the bedroom} \]

i.e., (with tense)

(d) it rained into the bedroom
(12) Comments on the derivation in (11):

1. -- In (11a), the DIRECTIONAL expression is for simplicity already given in the vadic form into which it is ultimately to derive.

2. -- In (11b), the FIGURAL noun RAIN and its N node have moved into Chomsky-adjunction with the MOTIVE verb MOVE and its V node under a new V node marked for specifying the combination of components FIGURE + MOTIVE or FM. Although the sidedness of the adjoining expression is immaterial in the present derivation, it is shown on the left, closest to its original location. For the phrase-marker representation of an adjunction, the diagrammatic convention is employed here, as already in (8) and (10), of depending the moving node by a slant line and the non-moving node by a vertical line from the new node; the former is of a grammatical category distinct from, while the latter has bequeathed its grammatical category to, the new node.

   -- Onto the adjunction, the insertion of the 'FM verb' rain is indicated. The diagrammatic convention is employed here, as already in (8) and (10), of indicating lexical-insertion by a horizontal brace. The vacancy left in the subject position by the moving FIGURAL noun is indicated.

3. -- In (11c), the lexical-insertion has taken place.

   -- The vacancy in the subject position has been transformationally filled by the expletive formative it.

***
The 'prose effect' of stages (a), (b), and (c) of the derivation in (11) can be suggestively rendered in a particular quasi-surface-sentence style in English as in (13):

\[(13)\]
\[
(a) \text{ rain moved into the bedroom (cf., 'the rain came into the bedroom')} \\
(b) \text{ (it) rainMoved into the bedroom} \\
(c) \text{ it rained into the bedroom}
\]

The derived verbal meaning of the lexically-inserted 'FM verb' itself can correspondingly be represented in three equivalent formulations:

\[(14) \text{ rain: (a) 'for rain to move'} \\
\text{ (b) 'for (it) to rain-move'} \\
\text{ (c) 'for it to rain'}\]

We now return to Atsugewi for an example of the FM root subderivation. In this example, the bathic FIGURAL noun -- here represented by the form \textit{DIRT} -- has the meaning 'dirt-like material' and, in conjunction with \textit{MOVE}, keys in an insertion by the vadic FM root \textit{-qput-}.* The subderivation is represented in phrase-marker form in (15):

*In the Atsugewi forms to be cited in this paper, the only phonologic symbols requiring clarification are:

- \textit{c} representing a morphophoneme whose phonetic realization ranges between \[\acute{\text{x}}\] and \[\tilde{\text{x}}\] (also employed to represent this range in broad-phonetic transcription);

- \textit{:} representing a morphophoneme phonetically realized as the length and lowering of an adjacent vowel;
representing a morphophoneme phonetically realized as the
glottalization of all continguously following consonants; and
representing a morphophoneme phonetically realized either as
[ə] or as zero in accordance with phonologic rules not gone into
here.

(15)

(a)

\[ S_T (s_T) \]

\[ N (F) \quad V (M) \quad P (D) \quad N (G) \]

DIcT \quad MOVE \quad INTO_I \quad LIQUID

(b) \[\Rightarrow\]

\[ S_T (s_T) \]

\[ V (F_M) \quad P (D) \quad N (G) \]

\[ N (F) \quad V (M) \]

DIcT \quad MOVE \quad INTO_I \quad LIQUID

(c) \[\Rightarrow\]

\[ S_T (s_T) \]

\[ V (F_M) \quad P (D) \quad N (G) \]

\[ -qput- \quad INTO_I \quad LIQUID \]
(16) Comments on the FM root subderivation in (15):

1. -- In (15a), the batic expressions given as specifying the
   DIRECTIONAL and the GROUND are not involved in the present subderi-
   vation; they will be treated in the next section.

2. -- In (15b), all those adjunction-pertaining operations which
   were commented on in the preceding English example have taken place.
   -- The lexical insertion onto the adjunction is indicated.
   -- No indication of a vacated subject position is given here as
   was for English (see next).

3. -- In (15c), the lexical-insertion has taken place.
   -- Atsugewi does not require the introduction of an expletive
   formative to fill the subject position vacated by the FIGURAL noun
   as does English.
   -- A viable surface-structure does not yet result at this stage, as
   did for the English example, because the FM subderivation represented
   here produces only the root of the sentential-verb.

   * * *

Using the form Dirt to represent the meaning 'dirt-like material',
the prose effect of each of the derivational stages indicated in (15)
can be suggestively rendered in English surface-sentence style as in (17):

(17)
(a) Dirt moved into liquid
(b) (it) Dirt-moved into liquid
(c) (it) Dirted into liquid
The derived verbal meaning of the lexically-inserted FM root itself can correspondingly be represented in three equivalent formulations:

(18) -qput-: (a) 'for Dirt to move'  
      (b) 'for (it) to Dirt-move'  
      (c) 'for (it) to Dirt'

To afford at this point a more concrete notion of the typical Atsugewi FM root, several such -- among those presented here and in Part III -- are listed in (19). For each instance, there is given the following: (1) the underlying, FIGURE-specifying batic noun -- represented in small caps both by a suggestive English word and, in parentheses, by a suggestive form which an Atsugewi linguist might employ, (2) the underlying nominal meaning of the FIGURAL noun, (3) the vadic FM root, in its morphophonemic shape, which is keyed in by the FIGURAL noun, and (4) the derived verbal meaning of the root, formulated in the style of (18a):

(19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atsugewi Noun</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRT (QPUT)</td>
<td>'dirt-like material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-qput-</td>
<td>'for dirt-like material to move/be-located'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMPNESS (SWAL)</td>
<td>'limp (not stiff/resilient) material'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-swal-</td>
<td>'for limp (not stiff/resilient) material to move/be-located'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICKINESS (STAQ)</td>
<td>'runny, &quot;icky&quot; material'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-staq-</td>
<td>'for runny, &quot;icky&quot; material to move/be-located'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANE (t)</td>
<td>'a planar object'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-t-</td>
<td>'for a planar object to move/be-located'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The term *system* is now applied to any closed set of bathic or vadic morphemes where the morphemes specify largely non-overlapping semantic areas and these areas, taken together, fairly completely constitute a particular semantic realm. In other terms it can be said that a system of n members fairly exhaustively partitions a particular semantic realm into n largely non-overlapping semantic areas.

Atsugewi has several such systems, as will be shown later. Of present relevance is the fact that a certain subset of the FIGURE-specifying bathic nouns in Atsugewi, and the subset of vadic FM roots which the nouns key in, are each systems.

The largely non-overlapping semantic areas specified by the members of the bathic noun system are rather inclusive, characterizable, e.g., as 'an inanimate object', 'an animate object', 'contained material', 'a set of objects'. This system of a dozen or so members fairly exhaustively partitions into the same number of areas the whole semantic realm of 'objects and materials'.

Correspondingly, the dozen or so members of the vadic FM root system non-overlappingly specify such inclusive semantic-areas as 'for an inanimate object to move/be-located', etc., and, taken together, fairly exhaustively partition the whole semantic realm of 'moving or located objects and materials'. The roots of this system can be seen to be quite comparable to the perhaps more familiar 'classificatory verbs' of Navaho.

The remainder of Atsugewi's FIGURAL nouns and corresponding FM roots -- some several score, including those listed in (19) -- are not part of any system. The semantic areas which the roots specify lie
within the semantic realm of 'moving/located objects/materials' but are not contiguous and, as compared with those specified by the systematic roots, are not so inclusive and are in some cases quite idiosyncratic.

Thus, beside a first tier of few systematic roots which provide realm-spanning broad specifications, as suggested by the diagram in (20a), Atugewi has a second tier of many non-systematic roots which provide realm-dotting narrow specifications, as suggested by the diagram in (20b).
3.2 The DG Suffix Subderivation

In Atsugewi, the DIRECTIONAL- and GROUND-specifying expressions in an underlying \( s_T \) do not, as in English, remain at their original locations as distinct elements, and the latter expression does not, as in English, remain in its original form. Rather, by a transformational process to be termed *cojunction*, the expressions, with the categorial nodes to which they are attached, move into a relation of mutual adjunction with each other under a new node of distinct grammatical category. Specifically, the DIRECTIONAL prepositional with its P node and the GROUND nominal with its N node cojoin under a new adverbial, or 'ADV', node which specifies the combination of components *DIRECTIONAL + GROUND*, or 'DG'. Onto each particular such cojunction is then lexically-inserted a vadic morpheme or morpheme-string which is keyed to it. A vadic form thus inserted will be termed a DIRECTIONAL+GROUND-specifying adverb (-string) or, abbreviatedly, a DG adverb (-string). The DG adverb (-string) and its ADV node now move into a right-sided Chomsky-adjunction with the previously-formed FM root and its V node under a new V node which may be taken as specifying the whole of the translatory situation itself, or '\( s_T \)', since under it are now represented all the components FIGURE, MOTIVE, DIRECTIONAL, and GROUND. The DG adverb (-string) remains at its adjoined location through succeeding derivational steps to appear at the surface immediately after the root as a suffix or suffix-train which will be termed the DIRECTIONAL+GROUND-specifying suffix (-train) or, abbreviatedly, the DG suffix (-train). The DG adverb has thus become a suffixal satellite to the root in the
verb-complex dominated by the latest-formed V node. The sequence of
transformations which leads to a DG suffix (-train) will be termed the
DG suffix subderivation. The set of all DG suffixes is closed.

While Atsugewi's underlying DIRECTIONAL-specifying prepositionals
are like those of English: i.e., complex constructions of closed sets
of bathic morphemes, it can be seen from the description of the DG
suffix subderivation that the underlying GROUND-specifying nominals are
not like those of English: i.e., vadic noun phrases drawn from the
open set, but rather are like Atsugewi's underlying FIGURE-specifying
nominals: i.e., bathic simple nouns which, taken together, constitute
a closed set.

One additional feature of the closed set of DG suffixes in
Atsugewi is that it constitutes a system. The several score members of
this system approximately exhaustively partition into as many areas the
whole semantic realm of 'DIRECTIONAL-paths oriented with respect to
GROUND-objects'. So well-formed and exhaustive is the partitioning of
this semantic realm that, for any actual translatory situation, the
particular DIRECTIONAL-path and GROUND-object nearly always lie clearly
within the semantic area specified by one or another DG suffix.

To illustrate the DG suffix subderivation, we turn for an example
first to English, which, though atypically for it, does have several
'DG satellites' which arise by derivational processes homologous with
those leading to the Atsugewi DG suffix. Thus, an underlying translatory
structure wherein the bathic DIRECTIONAL prepositional -- here represented
simply as TO -- has the meaning 'to' and the bathic GROUND noun -- here
represented as HOME -- has the meaning 'home' derives into a surface
structure containing the vadic 'DG satellite' home. The derivation, in phrase-marker form, is represented for a particular example in (21):

(21)

(a) $S_t (s_t)$

N (F) V (Mm) P (D) N (G)

he drove TO his HOME

(b) $S_t (s_t)$

N (F) V (Mm) ADV (DG)

he drove TO his HOME

(c) $S_t (s_t)$

N (F) V (Mm) ADV (DG)

he drove home

(d) (continued on following page)
(d) →

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S_r (s_r) \\
\downarrow \\
N (F) \\
\quad \downarrow \\
\text{he} \\
\quad \downarrow \\
V (MmDG) \\
\quad \downarrow \\
\text{drove} \\
\quad \downarrow \\
V (Nn) \\
\quad \downarrow \\
\text{ADV (DG)} \\
\quad \downarrow \\
\text{home}
\end{array}
\]

i.e., he drove home
(22) Comments on the derivation in (21):

1. -- The structure in (21a) is actually an 'effective' structure where he is the 'AGENT', but is here for simplicity treated as an 'autic' structure (see section 5).

   -- In (21a), there has already taken place that transformational process typical of English whereby an external MANNER adverbial -- in this case, one specifying the piloting of a vehicle -- moves into adjunction with the MOTIVE verb -- here, MOVE -- and keys in the insertion of a MOTIVE+MANNER verb -- here, drive.

2. -- In (21b), the DIRECTIONAL prepositional with its P node and the GROUND nominal with its N node have cojoined under a new ADV node marked for specifying the combination of components DIRECTIONAL + GROUND, or 'DG'.

   -- For the phrase-marker representation of a cojunction, the diagrammatic convention is here employed of depending both cojoined nodes from the new node by slant lines.

   -- The lexical-insertion onto the cojunction is indicated.

3. -- In (21c), the insertion has taken place.

4. -- In (21d), the DG adverb and its ADV node have Chomsky-adjointed to the right of the Mm verb and its V node under a new V node -- marked for specifying the combination of components 'MmDG' -- which now dominates the just-enlarged verb-complex. Thus, in this verb-complex, the DG adverb has become a postposed free-word satellite to the Mm verb in homology with the Atsugewi case where the DG adverb becomes a suffixal satellite to the FM root.

   ***
For an Atsugewi example of the DG suffix subderivation, we take the underlying translatory structure previously seen in (15a):

\[
S_f (s_f)
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
N (F) \\
\text{DIRT}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
V (M) \\
\text{MOVE}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
P (D) \\
\text{INTO}_L
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
N (G) \\
\text{LIQUID}
\end{array}
\]

wherein the bathic DIRECTIONAL prepositional is represented as \(\text{INTO}_L\) and means 'to a point amidst (liquid)' and the bathic GROUND noun is represented as \(\text{LIQUID}\) and means 'liquid'. After the FM root subderivation, already shown in (15), the DG suffix subderivation ensues, leading to the appearance of the DG suffix \(-tät\) as represented in (23):
(23)

(a) \[\Rightarrow \Rightarrow\]

\[
S_T (s_T) \quad V \text{(FM)} \quad P \text{(D)} \quad N \text{(G)}
\]

\[-\text{qut-} \quad \text{INTO}_L \quad \text{LIQUID}\]

(b) \[\Rightarrow\]

\[
S_T (s_T) \quad V \text{(FM)} \quad \text{ADV (DG)}
\]

\[-\text{qut-} \quad \text{INTO}_L \quad \text{LIQUID} \quad -ict\]

(c) \[\Rightarrow\]

\[
S_T (s_T) \quad V \text{(FM)} \quad \text{ADV (DG)}
\]

\[-\text{qut-} \quad -ict\]

(d) \[\Rightarrow\]

\[
S_T (s_T) \quad V (s_1) \quad V \text{(FM)} \quad \text{ADV (DG)}
\]

\[-\text{qut-} \quad -ict\]
(24) Comments on the DG suffix subderivation in (23):

The stages in this derivation are the same as those for the English example in (21). The comments in (22) also apply here, except that:

1. -- In (23a), it is the FM root subderivation which has already taken place rather than the 'Mm verb subderivation' of the English example.

2. -- In (23d), the new V node formed by the adjunction of the DG adverb with the FM root could have been marked -- paralleling the 'MmDG' of the English example -- for specifying the combination of components 'FMDG', but since this latter makes up the whole of the transatory situation itself, the V has been marked with 'sT'.

   -- A grammatical surface structure is not yet present at this stage, there being still two more subderivations necessary.

   ***

In the same style in which the prose effect of (23a) was previously rendered in English as

(25a) (it) Dirded into liquid,

the structure in (23c) can be rendered -- using the devised word aliquid for the DG adverb -i:t -- as

(25b) (it) Dirted aliquid,

and the structure in (23d) can be rendered -- using a hyphen to suggest the affixal boundedness of the surface Atsugewi morphemes -- as
(25c) (it) Dirted-liquid.

To afford a more concrete notion of the Atsugewi DG suffix system, we now present in (26) a portion of that system -- in particular, those DG suffixes which specify such DIRECTIONAL-paths and GROUND-objects as English would specify by using the preposition into plus a noun phrase. The morphophonemic forms of the suffixes appear on the left and their meanings on the right (some of the DG suffixes are obligatorily followed by either of -im, 'thither', and -ik, 'hither'; the rest cannot be so followed).

(26)

-ict
  'into a liquid'

-cis
  'into a fire'

-isp -u -im/-ik
  'into an aggregate'
  [e.g., bushes, a crowd, a rib-cage]

-wam
  'down into a gravitic-container'
  [e.g., a basket, a cupped hand, a pocket, a depression in the ground, a lake basin]

-wamn
  'horizontally into an areal-enclosure'
  [e.g., a corral, a field, the area occupied by a pool of water]

-ipsn\u201d -im/-ik
  '(horizontally) into a volumar-enclosure'
  [e.g., a house, an oven, a crevice, a deer's stomach]

(continued on following page)
-tip -u -im/-ik.

's down into a (large) volumar-enclosure in the ground'
[e.g., a cellar, a pit for trapping deer]

-ikn -im/-ik.

's over-the-rim into a volumar-enclosure'
[e.g., a gopher-hole, a mouth]

-iks u -im/-ik.

's into a corner'
[e.g., the linear-corner formed by a wall and the floor,
the point-corner formed by two walls and the floor]

-mič

's down onto-the-surface-of/into-the-substance-of the ground'

-cis u -im/-ik.

's down onto-the-upper-surface-of/into-the-substance-of
a solid (resting on the ground)'
[e.g., the top of a tree stump]

-iks

's horizontally onto-the-lateral-surface-of/into-the-substance-of
a solid (resting on the ground)'
[e.g., the side of a tree trunk]
3.3 The F Prefix Subderivation

In order to account for the meaning and form of certain surface structures in Atsugewi and, as will be seen later, in English as well, it is necessary to assume that a component of a semantic situation can be multiply specified -- i.e., concurrently specified by more than one expression -- in an underlying syntactic structure, and hence necessary to build in as a formal property of the latter that a constituent of it can be multiply particularized -- i.e., concurrently contain more than one expression (where each is attached to its own node and the nodes are of the same grammatical category). The constraint is imposed that, for any multiply particularized constituent, the concurrent expressions must participate in distinct subderivations such that they come to appear (whether or not incorporated in lexically-inserted morphemes) at distinct locations within the surface structure. We will introduce later a diagrammatic convention for representing a multiply particularized constituent and for marking each of the concurrent expressions therein for the particular subderivation in which it is to participate.

Of present relevance is the existence in Atsugewi, in addition to the bathic FIGURE-specifying nouns of the FM root subderivation, of a wholly distinct set of bathic nouns which can also specify the FIGURE, where a member from the former set and a member from the latter set can both appear, concurrently specifying the same FIGURE, in a single underlying structure. Here, the subderivation which ensues for the root-destined bathic noun is the same as described in section 3.1, while that for the new bathic noun is as follows:
The bathic morpheme keys in the insertion of a corresponding vadic morpheme which, together with its N node, then moves into daughter-adjunction to the left of the already-formed s₁-specifying V node, where it remains to appear at the surface as an immediate prefix to the root. A prefix arising thus will be termed a FIGURE-specifying prefix or, abbreviatedly, an F prefix, and the sequence of transformations which leads to it, the F prefix subderivation.

The bathic nouns which participate in the F prefix subderivation and the F prefixes to which they lead each constitute a closed set of some two dozen forms. Each closed set is, moreover, a system which partitions a portion of the semantic realm of 'objects, materials, and energies (e.g., heat, light)' into some two dozen semantic areas, these being wholly distinct from those specified by the bathic nouns (systematic or otherwise) of the FM root subderivation.

A sentential-verb with a FIGURE+MOTIVE-specifying root and a FIGURE-specifying prefix can thus be seen to contain two concurrent, but independent, specifications of the single FIGURAL component of the transatory situation to which the sentential-verb as a whole refers. The F prefix system may also occur in sentential-verbs with roots of the other derivational types treated in this paper. Except when appearing with a MOTIVE+DIRECTIONAL+GROUND-specifying root (section 6), in which case a prefix of this system contributes, within the sentential verb, the sole specification of the FIGURE, the same conditions of concurrent, independent specification as expressed above obtain.

To illustrate the F prefix subderivation we take the same underlying structure as was used in the previous Atsugewi examples, but now
containing an additional FIGURE-specifying bathic noun. This bathic noun -- here represented as FREEBODY -- specifies the FIGURE as 'an object or material which is in free-fall or free-flight' and leads to the F prefix uh-.

The field-elicited sentential-verb on which the present example is based can be alternatively interpreted as containing not the F prefix given here, but an FC prefix (explained in sect. 5.2) of the same phonologic shape:

\[
\text{uh-} \quad \text{'from gravity/the FIGURE's own weight acting on the FIGURE'}
\]

The latter is in fact the preferable interpretation since FM roots inserted onto underlying MOVE, rather than underlying BE\_L, do not generally take an F prefix. However, the former interpretation is used here in order to facilitate the present step-by-step exposition of the Atsugewi sentential-verb. More suitable examples of sentential-verbs with an F prefix will be found throughout Part III. The F prefix subderivation, then, is presented in (27):
(27)

(a) 
\[ S_T (s_T) \]

(F) Np: FREEBODY
N_R: DIRT

V (M) P (D) N (G)

MOVE INTOL LIQUID

(b) \[\rightarrow\] 
\[ S_T (s_T) \]

Np (F)

FREEBODY uh-

V (s_T)

V (FM) ADV (DG)

-qput- -ict

(c) \[\rightarrow\] 
\[ S_T (s_T) \]

V (s_T)

Np (F) V (FM) ADV (DG)

uh- -qput- -ict
(28) Comments on the F prefix subderivation in (27):

1. -- A diagrammatic representation of multiple specification which is in certain respects more consonant with usual phrase-marker conventions might appear as in (i):

   (i)

   Rejecting this as too cumbersome, we instead represent multiple specification as in (27a), where a single constituent-line is drawn and the concurrent expressions appear to the right of their nodes connected by a colon. The subderivation in which each expression is to participate is indicated by a subscript to its categorial node:

   'R' for a subderivation leading to the root, and
   'P' for a subderivation leading to a prefix.

2. -- In (27b), the FM root and DG suffix subderivations have taken place.

   -- The lexical-insertion onto the bathic noun of the F prefix subderivation is indicated.

3. -- In (27c), the lexical-insertion has taken place, and the inserted morpheme has daughter-adjointed to the left of the s1-specifying V, thus
becoming the second satellite to the FM root. The $s_T$-specifying $V$ -- the node of the growing verb-complex -- at this stage dominates the core of the still-to-be-completed sentential-verb.

***

The prose-effect of the last stage, (27c), of the subderivation can be rendered in English as

(it) freebody-dirted-aliquid

No indication of other members of the F prefix system is given here, because a fairly thorough listing of their forms and meanings is given in section 12 of Part II.
3.4 The G Prefix Subderivation

Of the bathic nouns treated in the preceding section, where they were discussed as specifying the FIGURE of an $s_T$, a subset -- those dozen or so which specify 'objects' (rather than 'materials' or 'energies') -- can also specify the GROUND of an $s_T$. When performing this specificatory function, a bathic noun of the subset appears in an underlying structure in the GROUND-specifying constituent (concurrently with the other expressions there). With the bathic noun thus located, there ensues the same sequence of transformations as constitutes the F prefix subderivation: the bathic morpheme keys in the same vadic morpheme as before, and this morpheme moves into a left-sided daughter-adjunction to appear at the surface as an immediate prefix to the root. A prefix arising in this way will be termed a GROUND-specifying prefix or, abbreviatedly, a $G$ prefix, and the sequence of transformations leading to it will now be termed the $G$ prefix subderivation. The bathic nouns leading to the G prefixes and the G prefixes themselves are each, of course, closed sets, and also constitute systems partitioning the semantic realm of 'objects' into some dozen areas.

The one difference between the FIGURE-specifying prefix system and the GROUND-specifying prefix system is that the latter may appear only in sentential-verbs with an 'MDG' or 'FMDG' root (see sections 6 and 7). A sentential-verb with a G prefix and either of these roots thus contains two concurrent, independent specifications of the GROUND of the translatory situation to which the sentential-verb as a whole refers. Although it is semantically plausible that such a sentential-verb could
contain both a specification of the GROUND with a G prefix and a specification of the FIGURE with an F prefix, such a circumstance is syntactically disallowed, since both prefix types occupy the same surface position-slot. Thus, either one or the other prefix type (if not an \( FC \) or \( BC \) prefix, described in sections 5.2 and 5.3) must appear in the position-slot with a corresponding specificatory emphasis on one or another component of the referred-to translatory situation.

The G prefix subderivation is not illustrated here since it is so similar to the F prefix subderivation shown in (27). The G prefixes themselves are indicated in section 12 of Part II and exemplary sentential-verbs containing them appear throughout Part III.
3.5 The Inflectional Subderivation

By way of introduction to the presentation of certain aspects of the Atsugewi inflectional system, some theoretical issues are first discussed.

We theorize that for each language there is a particular closed set of bathic noun phrases which constitutes a system that exhaustively partitions the whole semantic realm of objects into 'personal' categories and which keys in the lexical-insertion of the vadic personal-pronominal forms of that language. E.g., for English, something like the five bathic noun phrases in (29) may well underlie the vadic pronouns in (30) (x is written with a lower case letter to indicate that it is vadic):

(29) (a) the ENTITY SPEAKING
     (b) the ENTITY SPOKEN TO
     (c) the MALE ENTITY SPOKEN ABOUT
     (d) the FEMALE ENTITY SPOKEN ABOUT
     (e) the THING SPOKEN ABOUT

(30) (a) i
     (b) you
     (c) he
     (d) she
     (e) it

(To represent the head noun of the bathic NP's in (29a-d), the term ENTITY, with its non-restrictive suggestion of sentience and selfhood, has been chosen over PERSON since in stories, fanciful speech, and the like the object to be specified is not restricted to humanhood.)

In some semantic cases, e.g., where the 'AGENT of an effective situation' (to be discussed in section 5.3) is to be specified, a personal bathic expression containing the term ENTITY -- e.g., any of the expressions in (29a-d) -- is deemed sufficient for such specification
as it stands. In other semantic cases, e.g., where the FIGURE of a transalatory situation is to be specified, such an expression must, we theorize further, appear together with an additional bathic noun like BODY in a larger noun phrase, this last now being deemed sufficient for the specification required. Thus, e.g., the personal expression in (29a) would in the latter case appear in an expanded noun phrase something like

(31) the BODY of the ENTITY SPEAKING.

Lexical insertion for such an expanded noun phrase proceeds in two stages: first, the vadic pronoun which is keyed to the simple personal expression is inserted, as indicated in (32a), resulting in an expression of mixed bathic and vadic forms, as shown in (32b); then, a second vadic pronoun is inserted onto the mixed expression, as indicated in (32c), and this pronoun constitutes the form finally appearing at the surface, as shown in (32d). The second pronoun is marked with the subscript \textsuperscript{B} as a mnemonic for the morpheme BODY underlying it.

(32) (a) the BODY of \underline{\text{Entity Speaking}}

\begin{align*}
\text{(b) the BODY of } & i \\
\text{(c) the BODY of } & i^	ext{B} \\
\text{(d) } & i^	ext{B} 
\end{align*}

In a semantic case similar to the preceding, where the 'EXPERIENCER of an emotive situation' (not discussed in this paper) is to be specified, a personal expression like (29a) might be posited to appear
obligatorily together with an additional bathic noun representable as MIND or FEELINGS in an expanded noun phrase something like

(33) the MIND of the ENTITY SPEAKING

which would then be deemed sufficient for the specification required. For English, a two-stage lexical-insertion onto such an expression would then give the vadic pronoun \( \hat{M} \).

The rationale for positing such distinct bathic noun phrases as those in (29a), (31), and (33) is to be able to represent at the underlying level such intuitively-evident semantic distinctions as between the 'I' of

(34) I fell down, or I weigh 150 lbs.,

which specifies my body and hence is presumably an instance of the \( B^i \) form deriving from (31), and the 'I' of

(35) I am angry, or I like her,

which specifies my mind or feelings and hence is presumably an instance of the \( M^i \) form deriving from (33). It is noteworthy that apparently for all languages there are no distinctions in surface pronominal form corresponding to such distinctions in underlying form as in (29a), (31), and (33) or to such distinctions in specification as in (34) and (35). Thus, there is, e.g., no phonological distinction in English between \( B^i \) and \( M^i \).

A few remarks are now in order on the two-stage insertional process -- whereby a vadic form is inserted onto an expression already
containing an inserted vadic form -- which was illustrated in (32) for the bthic personal system and which will appear several more times in the succeeding exposition for other areas of syntax. First, it is to be noted that in some areas of syntax, such as that of the personal system, the later-inserted vadic form is always phonologically identical to the earlier (e.g., the \( B^i \) of (32d) is identical to the \( i \) of (32b)), whereas in other areas, the later form sometimes differs from the earlier. Secondly, it is an important observation that for still other areas of syntax, it is only the later-inserted vadic form which ever appears at the surface, the earlier form never so doing. Assuming that for such areas there are good syntactic reasons (not discussed here) for considering the earlier form vadic rather than bthic, it thus becomes necessary to extend the previous notion of 'vadic' to comprehend such non-appearing forms. A non-appearing vadic form, like a bthic form, requires special representation; accordingly the convention will henceforth be employed of placing a line over a (devised) form written in lower-case letters.

In Atsugewi, the bthic personal system consists of ten or so members which distinguish such characteristics in the objects to be specified as person (first, second, third), number (singular, dual, plural), and grouping (inclusive, exclusive). E.g., three particular members of the system may be represented by the bthic noun phrases in (36):

\[
(36) \begin{align*}
(a) & \quad \text{the ENTITY SPEAKING} \\
(b) & \quad \text{the ENTITY SPOKEN TO} \\
(c_1) & \quad \text{the ENTITY (-IES)} \\
(c_2) & \quad \text{the THING(-S)} \\
\end{align*}
\]
The category (36c) is here shown in two parts out of semantic and underlying-structural considerations: e.g., if (36c) is to specify the FIGURE of a translatory situation, the expression in (36c₁) will have to appear together with the bathic noun BODY (-IES) in an expanded noun phrase, while the expression in (36c₂) will appear as is; or, if (36c) is to specify the AGENT of an effective situation, only (36c₁) and not (36c₂) can be used. Conversely, the two parts of (36c) are here shown as a single category out of surface-formal considerations: no portion of the Atsugewi surface pronominal system overtly marks distinctions as to entityhood (or number or, for that matter, gender) among 'SPOKEN ABOUT' or, as they will henceforth be designated, third-personal objects.

Now, it is a requirement in Atsugewi that the FIGURE of an s₁ -- aside from whatever other bathic expressions may concurrently be specifying it in the underlying translatory structure -- must be specified as to its personal characteristics by a member of the bathic personal system. This member of the system, attached to its N node in the underlying FIGURAL constituent, then undergoes the following inflectional subderivation:

(37)

1. -- Onto the FIGURE-specifying personal bathic expression
   is lexically-inserted a vadic form by the one-[in the case of (36c₂)]
   or two-[in all other cases] stage process. In either case, the vadic
   form is still non-surface-appearing.

2. -- The vadic form becomes marked for functioning as grammatical
   subject.
3. -- At the same time as (1), onto the bathic expression specifying the MODE component of the $s_T$ (not previously discussed) is lexically-inserted a non-surface-appearing vadic form.

4. -- The marked personal vadic form and the modal vadic form cojoin.

5. -- Onto this cojunction of non-surface-appearing vadic forms is lexically-inserted the particular set of surface-appearing vadic morphemes which is keyed to it.

6. -- The member morphemes of the inserted set move to the appropriate inflectional position-slots which make up the outer periphery of the surface sentential-verb.

To illustrate the inflectional subderivation we take the same underlying structure as was used in the previous Atsugewi examples, but which now contains an additional constituent, labeled 'MODAL' and specifying the MODE component -- symbolized as 'μ' -- of the $s_T$, and contains additionally within its FIGURAL constituent an expression of the personal system. For this example, the expression attached to the MODAL node is chosen as FACTUAL and the personal expression as the THING (-S) SPOKEN ABOUT [(36c2)], abbreviatedly indicated as 'T-S-A'. This particular choice of expression ultimately leads to the vadic inflectional affix-set ' -ω- -a', as shown in (38):
(39) Comments on the inflectional subderivation in (38):

1. -- In (38a), the N node dominating the personal expression is given the subscript '_i' to indicate that the expression is to participate in the inflectional subderivation.

2. -- In (38b), the previously treated subderivations have all taken place.
   -- The lexical-insertions onto the personal and modal expressions by non-surface-appearing vadic forms, represented as 'x' and 'y', are indicated.
   -- The FIGURAL vadic form is marked for functioning as grammatical subject.

3. -- In (38c), the lexical-insertions have taken place.
   -- The nodes dominating the personal and modal vadic forms have cojoined under a new node labelled INFLECTION.
   -- The lexical-insertion onto the conjunction by the surface-appearing vadic morpheme-set keyed to it is indicated.

4. -- In (38d), the lexical insertion has taken place.

5. -- In (38e), the INFLECTION node has moved into daughter-adjunction with the sT-specifying V node.
   -- The member morphemes of the morpheme-set dominated by the INFLECTION node have moved to their respective affixal positions.
   -- The subnodes which make up the single, but discontinuous, INFLECTION node are connected to the V node by wavy lines.

* * *
The inflectional subderivation completes the series of subderiva-
tions which a translatory structure must undergo. By moving into it
as a satellite, the INFLECTION node has rendered the verb-complex
fully grown so that the sT-specifying V node now finally dominates a
complete surface sentential-verb.

We now consider the sentential-verb just derived in (38) purely
from the surface level, synthesizing its phonologic shape and meaning
from those of its component morphemes, in order to introduce the format
in which sentential-verbs will be presented throughout Part III:

Indicating the morphophonemic shape of a vadic morpheme on the
left and the meaning on the right, the presently-discussed surface
sentential-verb contains in its centralmost position-slot the FIGURE+
MOVE-specifying root

-qput-       'for dirt-like material to move',

in its first-suffixal position-slot the DIRECTIONAL+GROUND-specifying
suffix

-íct        'into liquid',

in its first-prefixal position-slot the FIGURE-specifying prefix

uh-       'an object or material which is in free-
fall or free-flight'

and in the position-slots of the outer-periphery the inflectional
affix-set

'- w- -a     'third-person [FIGURE, subject], factual
[MODE]'
With its component vadic morphemes arrayed in their proper surface-structural adjacent sequencing, the sentential-verb appears as

\/
' w- uh- qput -ic't -a/
\/

The sentential-verb in this morphophonemic surface-structural form then passes through the phonological component (which in Atsugewi is quite elaborate and, as can be seen in many of the examples of Part III, often produces phonetic sequences disguisingly divergent from their morphophonemic precursors), coming out with the specifications for its actual pronunciation, which can be represented in broad phonetic transcription in the present case as

[w'woq'put'ićta]

Taking together the meanings of the vadic morphemes, the full, specific meaning of the whole sentential-verb, or what will be termed its literal translation, can be represented as:

'dirt-like material, which was material in free-fall, (and which was the thing spoken about), (in fact) moved into liquid'

In the style introduced previously for rendering the effect of an Atsugewi surface sentential-verb by a devised English construction patterned after, and suggestive of, the sentential-verb, the present example may be represented (using it for 'third-person [FIGURE]' and enclosing this form in parentheses to indicate the lack of any simple correspondence to a particular morpheme) by what will be termed its
rendered translation as:

'(it)-freebody-dirted-aliquid'

Finally, we note that a speaker of both languages will often translate an Atsugewi sentential-verb by an English sentence which contains words of very specific reference not implied by the sentential-verb. Such specific reference depicts a specific situation to which the sentential-verb may, however, be used to refer. Any English sentence of this sort will be termed a casual translation, and one such for the present Atsugewi example might be:

'the ashes from the fire fell into the soup'

In concluding this section, we give an instance of the appropriate use in Atsugewi of an expanded noun phrase like the BODY of the ENTITY SPEAKING: an underlying structure which contains in its FIGURAL constituent this personal expression concurrently with the N_p FREEBODY and the N_R A LINEAR-OBJECT, and contains in its MOTIVE constituent MOVE, in its DIRECTIONAL constituent ALONG, and in its GROUND constituent a SURFACE, and which has a MANNER constituent containing the adverb AXIALLY, derives into a perfectly acceptable surface sentential-verb for which the literal translation may be represented as

'a linear-object, which was an object in free-fall or free-flight, and which was the body of the entity speaking, moved axially along a surface'

and for which one casual translation can be 'I slid (lengthwise) along the ice'.
3.6 External Expressions

Thus far it has been seen that FIGURE and GROUND must be specified in an underlying translatory structure in English -- except for the examples cited as atypical for this language -- by open-set vadic noun phrases which survive to the surface as expressions external to the verb-complex, whereas in Atsugewi they need only be specified by closed-set bathic nouns which derive to the surface incorporated within the verb-complex (i.e., the sentential-verb). Since, in the latter case, the memberships of the closed sets -- although large -- are fixed, it is clear that the Atsugewi sentential-verb is ultimately limited in the distinctional delicacy with which it can specify the infinitude of (real, conceived, etc.) circumstances. However, there does additionally exist in Atsugewi the option that, of the FIGURE and GROUND, each -- aside from whatever bathic nouns are already specifying it -- may be concurrently specified by an open-set vadic noun phrase which, homologously with English, survives to the surface as an expression external to the sentential-verb.

To illustrate the subderivation which leads to an external NP, we turn first to the atypical examples of English. Taking first an example parallel to that in (11), we allow the FIGURE to be specified not only by the bathic noun *RAIN*, which participates in a subderivation leading to the 'FM verb' *rain*, but concurrently by the vadic noun phrase *polluted water*, as in (40):
(40)

(a) $S_T(s_T)$

(F) $\text{polluted water}$

VR $\text{RAIN}$

MOVE into the reservoir

(b) $\Rightarrow$

$S_T(s_T)$

$N_E(F)$

$V(FM)$

$P(D)$

$N(G)$

$N_R(F)$

$V(M)$

RAIN MOVE

polluted water rain into the reservoir

(c) $\Rightarrow$

$S_T(s_T)$

$N_E(F)$

$V(FM)$

$P(D)$

$N(G)$

polluted water rain into the reservoir

i.e., (with tense)

polluted water rained into the reservoir
(41) Comments on the derivation in (40):

1. -- In (40a), the first FIGURAL N has been marked with the subscript 'E' to indicate that it is to become an external expression.
   -- The second FIGURAL N has been marked with the subscript 'R' to indicate that it participates in a subderivation homologous with Atsugewi's root-forming subderivation.

2. -- In (40b) and (40c) are repeated the derivational stages shown in (11b) and (11c).

3. -- In (40c), since an external expression now fills the subject position, there is no vacancy for an expletive form (it) to fill, as in (11c).

***

Taking next the English example in (21), we allow the GROUND to be specified not only by the bathic noun phrase *his* HOME, but concurrently by the vadic noun phrase *his cottage in the suburbs*. The DIRECTIONAL is correlatively specified here not only by the bathic construction represented as *TO*, but concurrently by the vadic preposition *to*. The two bathic expressions still participate in the subderivation leading to the 'DG satellite' HOME, as in (21), while the vadic expressions remain external to the verb complex, as indicated in (42):
(42)

(a)  
\[ S_T (s_T) \]
\[ \text{N (F)} \quad \text{V (Mm)} \quad \text{(D)} \quad \text{P_E:to} \quad \text{(G)} \text{N_E:his cottage in the suburbs} \]
\[ \text{he} \quad \text{drove} \]
\[ \text{N_S:his HOME} \]

(b)  
\[ S_T (s_T) \]
\[ \text{N (F)} \quad \text{V (MmDG)} \quad \text{P_E (D)} \quad \text{N_E (G)} \]
\[ \text{V (Mm)} \quad \text{ADV (DG)} \quad \text{to} \quad \text{his cottage in the suburbs} \]

i.e.,

he drove home to his cottage in the suburbs
(43) Comments on the derivation in (42):

1. -- In (42a), the lower of the concurrent P and N nodes have been marked with the subscript '_S' to indicate that they participate in a subderivation homologous with Atsugewi's DG suffix-forming subderivation.

2. -- In (42b), there have taken place the derivational steps indicated in (b) through (d) of (21).

***

To illustrate for Atsugewi the subderivation leading to external expressions, we take the underlying structure derived in (38) but now amplified as follows:

-- the FIGURE, which was last specified by three concurrent bathic nouns, is now additionally specified by the Atsugewi vadic noun phrase equivalent of the soot;

-- the GROUND, which was last specified only by the bathic noun LIQUID, is now additionally specified by the Atsugewi vadic noun phrase equivalent of the creek;

-- and, correlatively with the additional GROUND specification, the DIRECTIONAL, which was last specified only by the bathic construction represented as INTO_L, is now additionally specified by the Atsugewi vadic prepositional approximately equivalent to English to.

In the derivation of this amplified underlying structure, all the bathic expressions still participate in the subderivations previously described as appropriate to them, thus leading to the formation of the sentential-verb, while the vadic expressions remain external to that
sentential-verb. For clarity in the presentation of the derivation of this underlying structure -- the final development of our running example -- lexical-insertions are not carried out but only indicated; thus, all underlying bathic forms and all transformationally-produced adjunctions and cojunctions can be clearly seen at every stage, as presented in (44):

(44)

(a)

\[ \begin{align*}
S_T (s_T) & \\
(F) N_E: \text{the soot} & V(M) & (D) P_E: \text{to} & (G) N_E: \text{the creek} \\
N_I: T-S-A & & P_S: \text{INTO}_L & N_S: \text{LIQUID} \\
N_P: \text{FREEBODY} & & MOVE & \\
N_R: \text{DIRT} & \\
\end{align*} \]

(b) \[ \Rightarrow \]

\[ \begin{align*}
S_T (s_T) & \\
(F) N_E: \text{the soot} & V(FM) & (D) P_E: \text{to} & (G) N_E: \text{the creek} \\
N_F: T-S-A & & P_S: \text{INTO}_L & N_S: \text{LIQUID} \\
N_P: \text{FREEBODY} & & MOVE & \\
N_R (F) & & V(M) & \\
\text{DIRT} & & MOVE & \text{-qput-} \\
\end{align*} \]
(45) Comments on the derivation in (44):

1. -- In (44a), the MODE-specifying constituent is omitted for simplicity.

2. -- In (44b), there has taken place the FM root subderivation first shown in (15).

3. -- In (44c), there has additionally taken place the DG suffix subderivation first shown in (23).

4. -- In (44d), there has additionally taken place the F prefix subderivation first shown in (27).

5. -- In (44e), there has additionally taken place the inflectional subderivation first shown in (38). For suggestiveness, the $N_1$ and MODAL nodes are here shown without having been put through their proper conjunctional and insertional steps; accordingly, of course, they are not to be interpreted as immediately dominating the vadic inflectional morphemes shown under them.

6. -- Also in (44e), the vadic expressions, which have been unaffected by the preceding subderivations, have remained to appear externally to the sentential-verb, and are now given in their actual Atsugewi forms.

   * * *

   The vadic morphemes of the surface structure in (44e) are shown alone, in morphophonemic form, in (46):
(46) /niqap 'w- uh- qput -i't -a ćumi:y -i?/

Word-order in Atsugewi, though extremely free, has certain preferential tendencies; independent noun phrases are optionally, though preferentially, preceded by the marker a. The somewhat preferable form of the sentence in (46) is as follows:

(47) / 'w- uh- qput -i't -a c niqap c ćumi:y -i?/

In broad phonetic transcription, this sentence can be represented as in (48):

(48) [ọq'puti'cta cọ niq̩q̩aph cọ ćum̩é.yi?].

The literal translation of the surface structure in (44e) can be represented as:

'dirt-like material, which was material in free-fall, (and which was the thing spoken about), and which was the soot, moved into liquid, which was the creek.'

The rendered translation can be represented as:

'soot (it)-freebody-dirted-aliquid creek-to'.

And a casual translation might simply be:

'the soot fell into the creek'.

Though it will not be applied rigorously in this paper, we introduce the notion of a dual-category node, to be represented by the
notational convention 'C₁/C₂'. Such a node is asserted to be of one grammatical category as a constituent dominated by a higher node and of another grammatical category as a dominator of its own constituents. Of immediate relevance is the fact that in the structure in (44e) the sentential-verb node functions grammatically as a verb beside the external prepositional and pair of nominals under the highest Sₜ node, whereas it functions grammatically as a sentence over the root and satellites. Using the new notational convention for a dual-category node, the structure in (44e) can thus be represented as in (49):

(49)

Similarly, a sentential structure functioning as a nominal, as happens twice in (50) (a sentence type to be encountered later):

(50) the aerial('s) coming down off the roof resulted
      from the branch('s) falling on it

can be represented, using the new notation, as in (51):

(51)
One advantage of the dual-category node written in 'slash-category' notation over, say, two distinct categorial nodes written one under the other is that, for the former, a parenthesized semantic marking need be given only once and clearly indicates that, though there are two grammatical functions, only one semantic component or situation is being specified.