#### **Introduction to Logical Reasoning** *Logical Analysis via Truth Tables*

#### **Professor David Emmanuel Gray**

Northwestern University in Qatar Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar

## Truth and Falsity

Recall that a statement is either true or false. According to classical logic (the logic assumed for this class), a proposition *cannot* be both.

The truth or falsity of any statement ultimately depends upon the truth values of the simple positive statements making it up.

#### Conjunction

The **conjunctive statement** *p* & *q* asserts that *both* statements *p* and *q* are true. It is false if and only if *any one* conjunct is false. This meaning of conjunction is neatly expressed with what is called a "truth table":



eaation

The **negative statement** ~*p* asserts that statement *p* is false. This negative statement is itself false if and only if *p* is true. The truth table for negation is here:



#### ». Disjunction

The **disjunctive statement**  $p \lor q$  asserts that *at least one* of statements p and q is true. It is false if and only if *both* disjuncts are false. This is expressed in the truth table for disjunction:



#### ».Implication

The **hypothetical statement**  $p \rightarrow q$  asserts that whenever p is true, then q must be true as well. It is false if and only if the antecedent is *true* but the consequent is *false*. Here is its truth table:



Given any statement, we can construct a truth table to see what possible truth values it can have.

For instance, let's construct the truth table for the following statement:

If I do not hate logic, then I am smart.

**Step 1:** Translate the statement.

In this example, there are two simple positive statements to symbolize:

1. I hate logic. (H)2. I am smart. (S)

So the entire statement is symbolized as follows:

Step 2: Construct the columns.

The columns are determined by taking apart the statement until we reach its simple positive statements that cannot be broken down any further (because they are just a single letter).

 $\sim H \rightarrow S$ 

Start with the original statement:

Next, identify the main connective:



Now identify the main parts it connects.

 $\sim H \rightarrow S$ 



#### ». Truth Table Construction Next add one column for each part. ~H $\sim H \rightarrow S$ S To make things easier later on, always put any single letters to the far left (because they cannot be taken further apart). Logical Analysis via Truth Tables—Introduction to Logical Reasoning—Professor Gray

Repeat the process with the parts just found.



#### S cannot be broken down any further, but ~H can.

Keep putting anything that cannot be broken down to the far left.

Η	S	~ <b>H</b>	$\sim H \rightarrow S$

And since H cannot be broken down any further, there is nothing more to do.

Η	S	~H	$\sim H \rightarrow S$

**Step 3:** Construct the rows.

If there are an n number of single letters, then there will be  $2^n$  rows.

In this example, there is only H and S, so n = 2. As a result, there are  $2^2$  or 4 rows.



For each of these halves, repeat this process for the next single letter (in this case S).



For each of these halves, repeat this process for the next single letter (in this case S).



Repeat this process for all the single letters (in this case, there are no more to do).



**Step 4:** Fill out the remaining columns. Work across each column from left to right, calculating the truth value for each column based on the truth values of statements to the left and the connective used in that column.

Staring with the leftmost column that is not filled in, the main connective is ~ and the main part is H.

Η	S	~ <b>H</b>	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
Т	Т		
Т	F		
F	Т		
F	F		

Use the truth table for negation, given the values from H's column, to fill in ~H's column.

Η	S	~H	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
Т	Т	F	
Т	F	F	
F	Т	Т	
F	F	Т	

Repeat this for the next column; here the main connective is  $\rightarrow$  and the main parts are  $\sim$ H and S.

Η	S	~H	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
Т	Т	F	
Т	F	F	
F	Т	T	
F	F	T	

Use implication's truth table, given the values from  $\sim$ H's and S's columns, to fill in  $\sim$ H $\rightarrow$ S's column.



And it is all done!



Now suppose H is true but S is false. The truth table can be read to reveal the value of  $\sim H \rightarrow S$ .



## So when I hate logic but I am not smart, this entire statement is still true.

#### Truth and Statements

A **contingent statement** is a statement that can either be true or false.

A **tautology** is a statement that is always true because of its logical form.

A **contradiction** is a statement that is always false because of its logical form.





#### No matter what, this statement is always true.

## ». Contradictions The statement "It will **rain** tomorrow *and* it will not rain tomorrow" is a contradiction. $R \mid \sim R \mid R \& \sim R$ TFFFTF

#### No matter what, this statement is always false.



# We will do a workshop on translating English to the language of logic and constructing truth tables.