Ethical Theory

Analytic Summary #1: *Grading Sheet*

Student: Grade:

Grader:

| | Excellent | Satisfactory | Mediocre | Unacceptable |
|---|-----------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| Structure/Organization | | | | |
| Does the paper follow the "General Technical Requirements for Formatting Papers"? | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| Does it have a proper introduction as specified by the assignment? | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Does it have a proper conclusion as specified by the assignment? | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Does its organization and use of language convey the paper's ideas in a clear and transparent way? | 10 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Content | | | | |
| Does the paper provide the necessary background information for understanding the argument under analysis (AUA)? | 20 | 16 | 8 | 0 |
| Does it clearly and compellingly identify the main thesis or conclusion of the AUA? | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| Does it clearly and compellingly identify the principle premises or reasons offered as support for the main thesis or conclusion by the AUA? | 25 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| Does it clearly and compelling identify the structure of the inferences used to show how the premises are supposed to entail the conclusion of the AUA? | 25 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| Does it provide a relevant and compelling response to the assigned topic? | 10 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Does it remain focused on the essential elements of the AUA without being distracted by inessential details? | 10 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Does it remain neutral concerning the merits and faults of the AUA? | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| Overall, does the paper show adroit skill at explaining the AUA to the reader? | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 |

General Comments