

# ETHICS of LEADERSHIP

## Analytic Summary: Grading Rubric

Student:

Points Earned:

Grader:

Normalized Grade:

	Excellent	Satisfactory	Mediocre	Unacceptable
<b>General Requirements</b>				
Follows the "General Technical Requirements for Written Assignments" (on page 11 of the syllabus).	0	-2	-4	-5
Followed the conventions of standard English, with no errors hindering comprehension.	0	-2	-4	-5
Organized to convey ideas clearly in a logical fashion.	0	-2	-4	-5
Followed the instructions concerning this paper's topic.	0	-8	-16	-20
<b>Introduction</b>				
Clearly and correctly identifies the central position (or main thesis) of the Argument Under Analysis (AUA).	5	4	2	0
Clearly presents any background information and explains any terminology necessary for someone unfamiliar with the AUA to readily understand its central position. <i>(This criteria also applies to claims made the body of this paper.)</i>	15	12	6	0
<b>Body</b>				
Clearly and correctly identifies the principle premises, reasons and/or evidence offered in support for the central position by the AUA.	15	12	6	0
Clearly and correctly identifies why these premises, reasons, and/or evidence are thought to be plausible, compelling, and difficult to deny by the AUA.	25	20	10	0
Clearly and correctly identifies the structure of the inferences used to show how those premises, reasons, and/or evidence are supposed to entail the central position of the AUA.	25	20	10	0
Summarizes these elements of the AUA using the student's own words and neither those of the AUA's original author nor those of the professor.	5	4	2	0
Remains neutral concerning the merits and faults of the AUA.	5	4	2	0
Remains focused on the AUA's defense of its central position without being distracted by inessential details.	10	8	4	0
<b>Conclusion</b>				
The paper ends once it has finished summarizing the AUA. There is no concluding paragraph summarizing the paper or explaining the consequences that result from accepting the AUA's central claim.	0	-2	-4	-5
Overall, demonstrates full comprehension of the AUA while adroitly summarizing its argumentative structure.	5	4	2	0