

# Introduction to Logical Reasoning

Lecture #II

*Logical Analysis via Truth Tables*

Professor David Emmanuel Gray

---

*Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar*

*Northwestern University in Qatar*

# Truth and Falsity

---

Recall that a statement is either true or false. According to classical logic (the logic assumed for this class), a statement *cannot* be both.

The truth or falsity of any statement ultimately depends upon the truth values of the simple positive statements making it up.

# Conjunction

---

The **conjunctive statement**  $p \ \& \ q$  asserts that *both* its conjuncts  $p$  and  $q$  are true. It is false if and only if *any one* conjunct is false. This meaning of conjunction is neatly expressed with what is called a “truth table”:

$p$	$q$	$p \ \& \ q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

# Negation

---

The **negative statement**  $\sim p$  asserts that statement  $p$  is false. This negative statement is itself false if and only if  $p$  is actually true. The truth table for negation is here:

$p$	$\sim p$
T	F
F	T

# Disjunction

---

The **disjunctive statement**  $p \vee q$  asserts that *at least one* of its disjuncts  $p$  and  $q$  is true. It is false if and only if *both* disjuncts are false. This is expressed in the truth table for disjunction:

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

# Implication

---

The **hypothetical statement**  $p \rightarrow q$  asserts that whenever  $p$  is true, then  $q$  must be true as well. It is false if and only if the antecedent is *true* but the consequent is *false*. Otherwise it is true. Here is its truth table:

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

This is a tricky one to remember!

# Truth Table Construction

---

Given any statement, we can construct a truth table to see what possible truth values it can have. For instance, let's construct the truth table for the following statement:

If I do not hate logic, then I am smart.

# Truth Table Construction

---

**Step 1:** Translate the statement.

In this example, there are two simple positive statements to symbolize:

H: I hate logic.

S: I am smart.

So the entire statement is symbolized as follows:

$$\sim H \rightarrow S.$$



# Truth Table Construction

---

**Step 2:** Construct the columns.

The columns are determined by taking apart the statement until we reach its simple positive statements that cannot be broken down any further (because they are just a single letter).

# Truth Table Construction

---

Start with the original statement:

$$\sim H \rightarrow S$$

---

# Truth Table Construction

---

Next, identify the main connective:

$$\sim H \rightarrow S$$

---

# Truth Table Construction

---

Now identify the main parts it connects:

$$\sim H \rightarrow S$$

---

# Truth Table Construction

---

Next add one column for each part:

S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
---	----------	------------------------

---



To make things easier later on, always put any single letters to the far left (because they cannot be taken further apart).

# Truth Table Construction

---

Repeat the process with the parts just found:

S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
<hr/>		

# Truth Table Construction

---

Keep putting anything that cannot be broken down to the far left:

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
<hr/>			

# Truth Table Construction

---

And since H cannot be broken down any further, there is nothing more to do:

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
<hr/>			



# Truth Table Construction

---

**Step 3:** Construct the rows.

If there are an  $n$  number of single letters, then there will be  $2^n$  rows.

In this example, there is only H and S, so  $n = 2$ . As a result, there are  $2^2$  or only 4 rows.

# Truth Table Construction

---

For the first single letter (in this case H), set the first half of the rows to true and the second half to false:

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T			
T			
F			
F			

# Truth Table Construction

---

For each of these halves, repeat this process for the next single letter (in this case S):

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T		
T	F		
F			
F			

# Truth Table Construction

---

For each of these halves, repeat this process for the next single letter (in this case S):

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T		
T	F		
F	T		
F	F		

# Truth Table Construction

---

Repeat this process for all the single letters (in this case, there are no more to do):

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T		
T	F		
F	T		
F	F		

# Truth Table Construction

---

Notice that these are *all* the possible truth value combinations for these two statements:

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F

# Truth Table Construction

---

**Step 4:** Fill out the remaining columns.

Work across each column from left to right, calculating the truth value for each column based on the truth values of statements to the left and the connective used in that column.

# Truth Table Construction

---

Starting with the left-most column that is not filled in, the main connective is  $\sim$  and the statement is H:

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T		
T	F		
F	T		
F	F		



# Truth Table Construction

---

Use the truth table for negation plugging in the values from H's column to fill in  $\sim H$ 's column:

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T	F	
T	F	F	
F	T	T	
F	F	T	

# Truth Table Construction

---

Repeat this for the next column; here the main connective is  $\rightarrow$  and the statements are  $\sim H$  and  $S$ :

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T	F	
T	F	F	
F	T	T	
F	F	T	

# Truth Table Construction

---

Use implication's truth table plugging in the values from  $\sim H$ 's and  $S$ 's columns to fill in  $\sim H \rightarrow S$ 's column:

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F

# Truth Table Construction

---

And it is all done!

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F

# Truth Table Construction

---

Now suppose H is true but S is false. The truth table then reveals the value of  $\sim H \rightarrow S$ :

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F

So even when I hate logic (H is true) but I am not smart (S is not true), this entire statement ( $\sim H \rightarrow S$ ) is still true.

# Truth and Statements

---

A **contingent statement** is a statement that can *either* be true *or* false.

A **tautology** is a statement that is logically *always true*.

A **contradiction** is a statement that is logically *always false*.

# Contingent Statements

---

The truth table we just did for “If I do not hate logic, then I am smart” reveals that it is a *contingent* statement:

H	S	$\sim H$	$\sim H \rightarrow S$
T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F

The truth table shows that it may logically be either true (as it is in rows 1, 2, and 3) or false (as it is in row 4).

# Tautologies

---

“It will **rain** tomorrow *or* it will not **rain** tomorrow” is a tautology:

R	$\sim R$	$R \vee \sim R$
T	F	T
F	T	T

No matter what, this statement is always true (as it is in all the rows).



# Contradictions

---

“It will **rain** tomorrow *and* it will not **rain** tomorrow” is a contradiction:

R	$\sim R$	$R \ \& \ \sim R$
T	F	F
F	T	F

No matter what, this statement is always false (as it is in all the rows).

## Next Class...

---

We will do a workshop on translating English to the language of logic and constructing truth tables.

Also, please do not forget to turn in your response to the Lecture #II Questionnaire on your way out.