## 70-321 / 80-242 Spring 2018 Carnegie Mellon University CONFLICT DISPUTE & RESOLUTION

## **Contentious Tactics**

	(A) Ingratiation can influence Other, by getting them to do what Party wants,	(A) This process may go badly if Other becomes aware of what is really going on
	, , , ,	(A) This process may go badly if Other becomes aware of what is really going on
s here is on the	possibly without Other realizing what is going on.  (B) Ingratiation also works well when Party has more power than Other.	and feels insulted and exploited by Party.  (B) Ingratiation is less likely to work if Other has more power than Party. This tactic may even backfire, making things worse.
conforming with		
	n use Other to get s here is on the guile. Ide giving conforming with roup, and positive	n use Other to get s here is on the guile. Ide giving conforming with

2. Promises [and Recommendations]:

	<b>T</b>	(C ( )
ontentious	lactics (	(Continued)

Tactic	What is it?	Advantages		Advantages		
Medium Tactics	edium Tactics					
1. Persuasive Ar	gumentation:					
2. Shaming:						
_						
3. Nonviolent Re	esistance:					
j. Homilolenene	.sistarree.					
Th. F. T.						
4. Tit-For-Tat:						

Contentious	Tactics (	(Continued)
		(

Tactic	What is it?	Advantages	Advantages
Heavy Tactics			

1. Threats [and Warnings]:

2. Coercive Commitments:

3. Violence: