

Honest Deception

Instructions

When doing the reading for this class, there are the two basic kinds of information you need to understand:

1. What are the main points or conclusions that an author accepts with respect to a particular issue?
2. What are the reasons, important considerations, and evidence that lead the author to accept that conclusion?

For our purposes, it is *information of the second sort that will be our primary concern* since our most basic task is to *evaluate the reasons and evidence* that are offered to support accepting one possible position on an issue, rather than another.

Reading

Carr, A. Z. (1968, January-February). Is business bluffing ethical? *Harvard Business Review*, 46(1), 143-153.

Questions

As you read, keep these questions in mind:

1. What does it mean to bluff in poker? Is bluffing in poker lying, or is it different from lying in some important way?
2. Why is it morally permissible to bluff in poker? What exactly counts as unethical behavior in poker?
3. What is business bluffing? Why does Albert Carr believe that the same arguments about bluffing in poker show that business bluffing is also morally permissible?
4. Carr's makes an argument by analogy, where a claim about poker is applied to business because the two are thought to be similar in important ways.

How compelling is this argument? In particular, are there any important differences between poker and business that might challenge Carr's analogy?

To answer these questions you will have to reflect critically on what you have read and possibly re-read important passages.

Although I strongly suggest that you write out brief answers to these questions, you do not have to turn in written responses. You do, however, need to be prepared to speak intelligently about these issues at our next class meeting.