BUSINESS, SOCIETY & ETHICS

Debate Position Paper: Grading Rubric

Student:Points Earned:Grader:Normalized Grade:

	Excellent	Satisfactory	Mediocre	Unacceptable
General Requirements				
Follows the "General Technical Requirements for Written Assignments" (on page 15 of the syllabus).	0	-2	-4	-5
Followed the conventions of standard English, with no errors hindering comprehension.	0	-2	-4	-5
Organized to convey ideas clearly in a logical fashion.	0	-2	-4	-5
Followed the instructions concerning this paper's topic.	0	-8	-16	-20
Introduction: Background & Statement of the Centr	al Position			
Begins with a brief introductory paragraph that is no more than four sentences long.	0	-2	-4	-5
Clearly presents the central position (or main thesis) that the paper intends to defend in the introductory paragraph.	5	4	2	0
Clearly presents any background information and explains any terminology necessary for someone unfamiliar with the paper's topic to readily understand its central position. (<i>This criteria also applies to claims made in the body of this paper.</i>)	15	12	6	0
Body: Justification of the Central Position				
Clearly presents the principle premises, reasons and/or evidence in support of this paper's central position.	15	12	6	0
Clearly and persuasively explains why these premises, reasons, and/or evidence are plausible, compelling, and difficult to deny.	25	20	10	0
Clearly and persuasively explains the inferences used to show how these premises, reasons, and/or evidence support the central position.	25	20	10	0
Anticipates and persuasively responds to potential criticisms of its central position and supporting arguments.	20	16	8	0
Provides relevant and concise examples to help clarify and illustrate important points and concepts.	10	8	4	0
Remains focused on defending its central position without being distracted by inessential details.	10	8	4	0
Provides a generally compelling defense of its central position.	15	12	6	0
Conclusion: One <i>Practical</i> Implication of the Central	Position			
Ends by explaining one important <i>practical</i> implication that should result from accepting this paper's central claim.	15	12	6	0
Overall				
Demonstrates creative and sustained research into the debate's motion and associated arguments, while showing a pronounced improvement over the debate preparation outline.	30	24	12	0