

MTH 620: 2020-03-24 lecture

Alexandru Chirvasitu

1 δ -functors

We went over the topic briefly in class (Th, Mar 12 2020) right before Spring break. Recall:

Definition 1.1 A (cohomological) δ -functor $(F_i)_i : {}_R\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a sequence of (additive, as always) functors $F_i : {}_R\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which, for each short exact sequence

$$\mathcal{S} = 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$$

in the domain category ${}_R\text{Mod}$, give rise to long exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_n(X) \rightarrow F_n(Y) \rightarrow F_n(Z) \rightarrow F_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow F_{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

functorial in \mathcal{S} . ◆

See [2, Definition, p.359] for the analogous homological notion (or simply reverse arrows). [1, Chapter III, Section 1] is also an excellent (and, more importantly, short!) source for the material on δ -functors of interest to us.

Definition 1.2 A δ -functor (F_i) is *universal* if for every δ functor $(F'_i)_i$, every natural transformation $F_0 \rightarrow F'_0$ extends uniquely to a morphism $F_i \rightarrow F'_i$ of δ -functors in the sense of [2, Definition, p.359].

$(F_i)_i$ is *effaceable* if, for every object $X \in {}_R\text{Mod}$ and every $i \geq 1$ there is an embedding $X \rightarrow I$ with $F_i(I) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Once more, there are homological versions of all of this (where you would require epimorphisms $P \rightarrow X$ rather than monomorphisms $X \rightarrow I$, etc.). ◆

See also [2, pp.358-359] (again, for the dual, homological version). Note that what Rotman calls *effaceable* there Hartshorne calls *coeffaceable* in [1, Definition, p.306]. Don't sweat it too much; it'll be clear from context whether you mean the homological or cohomological version, so I will use the single word 'effaceable'.

Effaceability is relevant for the following reason:

Theorem 1.3 *Effaceable (co)homological δ -functors are universal.* ■

In particular, let $F : {}_R\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ be a left exact functor. We know that

- the derived functors $(R^i F)_{i \geq 0}$ constitute a cohomological δ -functor (indeed, Definition 1.1 is meant to abstract the long-exact-sequence construction for derived functors);
- every object X embeds into an injective;
- $R^i F(I) = 0$ for every $i \geq 1$ and every injective object I .

All in all, we have

Corollary 1.4 *For every left exact $F : {}_R\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$, the sequence $(R^i F)_i$ of corresponding derived functors constitutes an effaceable and hence universal cohomological δ -functor.*

The same goes for right exact functors and their left derived functors: in that case $(L_i F)_i$ is an effaceable and hence universal homological δ -functor. ■

2 Change of groups in (co)homology

We will consider group morphisms $H \rightarrow G$ and the sorts of structure they induce on group (co)homology. The reference for this (and whatever other related material future lectures might cover) is [2, §9.5, 9.6].

To fix ideas, and because the preceding section is biased towards cohomology, we focus on the cohomological setup.

2.1 Restriction and inflation (cohomology)

Let $H \rightarrow G$ be a group morphism. As noted in class, it induces a ring morphism $\mathbb{Z}H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G$ which in turn gives rise to a “scalar restriction” functor

$${}_G\text{Mod} \rightarrow {}_H\text{Mod}.$$

I will typically omit naming the functor explicitly, relying on context to distinguish between $M \in {}_G\text{Mod}$ regarded as a G -module vs and H -module.

Now, for any G -module M , an element $m \in M^G$ (i.e. G -invariant) will also be H -invariant. This means that we have we have a natural transformation

$$H^0(G, -) = (-)^G \rightarrow (-)^H = H^0(H, -) \quad (2-1)$$

between functors ${}_G\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. Now, since $H^i(G, -)$ and $H^i(H, -)$ constitute cohomological δ -functors ${}_G\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$, the universality of the δ -functor $(H^i(G, -))_i$ (Corollary 1.4) ensures that the natural transformation (2-1) extends uniquely to a morphism of δ -functors. This justifies

Definition 2.1 Let $H \rightarrow G$ be a morphism of groups. We then have *restriction morphisms*

$$\text{res} : H^i(G, -) \rightarrow H^i(H, -) \quad (2-2)$$

of functors ${}_G\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ that make up the δ -functor morphism uniquely extending (2-1). ◆

It can be shown that at the level of cocycles, restriction literally is restriction, as discussed in class: if $f : H \rightarrow G$ denotes the group morphism and $\psi : G^i \rightarrow M$ is an i -cocycle representing a cohomology class, then the image of that cohomology class through

$$\text{res} : H^i(G, M) \rightarrow H^i(H, M)$$

is represented by the cocycle

$$\psi \circ f^i : H^i \rightarrow M, \quad (h_1, \dots, h_i) \mapsto \psi(f(h_1), \dots, f(h_i)) \in M.$$

Often, people call these natural transformations (2-2) ‘restrictions’ only when $H \rightarrow G$ is an embedding, but I will use the term more generally.

When $H \rightarrow G$ is *surjective*, another phrase you’ll see in the literature is *inflation*: in that case $G \cong H/N$ for some normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq H$, and the inflation morphism is simply (2-2) adapted to this setting:

$$\text{inf} : H^i(H/N, M) \rightarrow H^i(H, M)$$

(see [2, §9.5.1]).

2.2 Corestriction (cohomology)

When $H \leq G$ is a *finite-index* subgroup though, there's a “wrong-way” natural transformation too, called (unsurprisingly?) ‘corestriction’. We need to elaborate a bit.

Throughout the remainder of this section, assume $H \leq G$ is a subgroup with finite index d , say, and that s_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$ are representatives for the left cosets G/H . Now consider a G -module M (*not* an H -module!).

Problem 1 *Show that*

$$M^H \ni m \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^d s_i m$$

is an abelian group morphism from M^H to M^G (you pretty much just have to show the image is contained in M^G).

Clearly, the morphism $M^H \rightarrow M^G$ in Problem 1 (once you show it is a morphism) will be functorial in $M \in {}_G\text{Mod}$. This then gives you a natural transformation

$$\text{cor} : H^0(H, -) \rightarrow H^0(G, -) \tag{2-3}$$

(*corestriction*, as the notation suggests) of functors ${}_G\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. This would extend uniquely to a morphism of δ -functors

$$H^i(H, -) \rightarrow H^i(G, -) \tag{2-4}$$

(by Theorem 1.3) *if* we knew that the δ -functor $H^i(H, -)$ on ${}_G\text{Mod}$ is effaceable.

Remark 2.2 Careful of the slight subtlety: we already know from Corollary 1.4 that

$$H^i(H, -) : {}_H\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

constitute an effaceable δ -functor. The problem here is different: we want these to make up an effaceable δ -functor on ${}_G\text{Mod}$ instead! ◆

The remaining few problems will guide you through the effaceability of the δ -functor

$$H^i(H, -) : {}_G\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}.$$

Taking that for granted for now, we have

Definition 2.3 Let $H \leq G$ be a finite-index subgroup (of a possibly-infinite group G). For G -modules M , the *corestriction* morphisms

$$\text{cor} : H^i(H, M) \rightarrow H^i(G, M)$$

are the components of the unique δ -functor morphism extending the natural transformation

$$H^0(H, -) \rightarrow H^0(G, -)$$

from Problem 1. ◆

2.3 An application

Suppose $H \leq G$ is a finite-index subgroup, as in §2.2. We now have natural transformations

$$H^i(H, -) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{cores}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{res}} \end{array} H^i(G, -)$$

of δ -functors ${}_G\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. It will be of some interest to see what happens if we compose them. Specifically, I want to see what

$$\text{cores} \circ \text{res} : H^i(G, -) \rightarrow H^i(G, -)$$

does. Well, we know what it does at $i = 0$: it is immediate, from the very definition(s) of the two natural transformations at level 0, that

$$\text{cores} \circ \text{res} : H^0(G, -) \rightarrow H^0(G, -)$$

is nothing but multiplication by the index $[G : H]$ (check this!). But now we're done in general: by the effaceability of $H^i(G, -)$ (which is still relegated to the problems attached below), $\text{cores} \circ \text{res}$ extends *uniquely* from degree 0 to a δ -functor morphism. Since clearly, multiplication by $[G : H]$ (on every $H^i(G, -)$) is such an extension, that must be it:

Theorem 2.4 *Let $H \leq G$ be a finite-index subgroup. Then, for every G -module M , the composition*

$$\text{cores} \circ \text{res} : H^i(G, M) \rightarrow H^i(G, M)$$

is multiplication by the index $[G : H]$. ■

In particular, consider the case where G itself is finite and H is trivial.

Corollary 2.5 *For any finite group G , the higher cohomology groups $H^i(G, -)$, $i \geq 1$ are annihilated by the order $|G|$.*

Proof For every $i \geq 1$, $\text{cores} \circ \text{res}$ factors through the trivial abelian groups $H^i(H, -)$, and hence $\text{cores} \circ \text{res}$ vanishes. But according to Theorem 2.4, it is also multiplication by $[G : H] = |G|$. In conclusion, multiplication by $|G|$ annihilates every $H^i(G, -)$, $i \geq 1$. ■

2.4 Leftover problems

This section guides you through the claim (made and taken for granted in §2.2 above).

Problem 2 *Let $R \rightarrow S$ be a ring morphism, making S into a flat right R -module. Show that injective left S -modules are also injective when regarded as left R -modules.*

As a hint, consider the adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & R \otimes_S - & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ {}_R\text{Mod} & \xleftarrow{\quad \perp \quad} & {}_S\text{Mod} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \text{scalar restriction} & \end{array}$$

You're trying to show that scalar restriction, which is the *right* adjoint in this adjunction, preserves injectivity. Try to show that this follows from the fact that its *left* adjoint $R \otimes_S -$ is exact (and the definition of injectivity).

Finally, we can apply this to groups.

Problem 3 Let $H \leq G$ be an inclusion of groups.

- (a) Show that injective G -modules are also injective over H .
- (b) Conclude that the sequence of functors $H^i(H, -) : {}_G\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is an effaceable δ -functor. In other words, every G -module X embeds into a G -module I whose higher cohomology groups $H^i(H, I)$ over H vanish.

References

- [1] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [2] Joseph J. Rotman. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Universitext. Springer, New York, second edition, 2009.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260-2900, USA
E-mail address: achirvas@buffalo.edu