Group II metabotropic glutamate receptors enhance NMDA receptor currents via a protein kinase C-dependent mechanism in pyramidal neurones of rat prefrontal cortex
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The action of glutamate in CNS is mediated by the activation of metabotropic and ionotropic receptors. The metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) are highly enriched in prefrontal cortex (PFC) – a brain region critically involved in the regulation of cognition and emotion. Emerging evidence has suggested that mGluRs are viable drug targets for neuropsychiatric disorders associated with PFC dysfunction. However, the mGluR-mediated signalling in PFC remains unclear. To understand the physiological functions of postsynaptic group II mGluRs (mGluR2/3) in PFC neurones, we investigated the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying the regulation of NMDA receptor channels by group II mGluRs. We found that APDC, a highly selective and potent group II mGluR agonist, reversibly increased NMDAR currents in acutely dissociated PFC pyramidal neurones. Selective group II mGluR antagonists, but not group I mGluR antagonists, blocked APDC-induced enhancement of NMDAR currents, suggesting the mediation by mGluR2/3 receptors. The APDC effect on NMDAR currents was independent of Mg$^{2+}$ block or membrane voltages, and primarily targeted NR2A subunits containing NMDARs. While changing protein kinase A levels was without effect, inhibiting protein kinase C (PKC) or dialysis with Ca$^{2+}$ chelators largely blocked the mGluR2/3 modulation of NMDAR currents. In contrast, inhibiting protein tyrosine kinases, cyclin-dependent kinase 5, Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin-dependent kinase II or the Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin-dependent phosphatase calcineurin failed to do so. Moreover, treatment of PFC slices with APDC significantly increased the PKC activity and PKC phosphorylation of NMDA receptors. These findings suggest that activation of mGluR2/3 receptors potentiates NMDAR channel functions in PFC through a PKC-dependent mechanism. This modulation may be relevant for developing novel mGluR-related pharmacological agents for the treatment of mental illnesses.
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Prefrontal cortex (PFC), which is highly associated with the control of cognition and emotion (Goldman-Rakic, 1995; Miller, 1999), is one of the most prominent brain regions affected by schizophrenia (Andreasen et al. 1997; Lewis & Lieberman 2000). PFC is composed of two major neuronal populations: glutamatergic pyramidal projection neurones and GABAergic interneurones. PFC neurones receive glutamatergic inputs from thalamus, hippocampus and other cortical areas, in addition to the recurrent glutamatergic inputs from PFC pyramidal neurones (Conde et al. 1995; Carr & Sesack, 1996). Glutamate, by activating ionotropic and metabotropic receptors, plays a central role in regulating synaptic transmission and neuronal excitability of PFC circuits under normal and pathological conditions.

The ionotropic glutamate receptors are classified as AMPA receptors, kainate receptors and NMDA receptors, based on their physiological and pharmacological properties. Each of these ligand-gated channels is an oligomeric complex composed of different subunits (Seeburg, 1993; Hollmann & Heinemann, 1994). Hypofunction of NMDA receptors has been implicated in schizophrenia (Tsai & Coyle, 2002). Administration of the non-competitive NMDA receptor antagonists, such
as phencyclidine (PCP), produces behavioural symptoms that remarkably resemble schizophrenia in humans and animals, and exacerbates symptoms in schizophrenics (Javitt & Zukin, 1991; Jentsch & Roth, 1999).

The metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) are classified into three groups based on structural homology, signal transduction mechanisms and pharmacological properties (Nakanishi, 1992). These receptors modulate glutamatergic neurotransmission in an anatomically and functionally distinct manner (Schoepp & Conn, 1993; Conn & Pin, 1997), and thus provide important pharmacotherapeutic targets for psychiatric disorders associated with glutamatergic abnormalities. The group II family of mGluRs, which consists of mGluR2 and mGluR3, is primarily expressed in forebrain regions including PFC (Ohishi et al. 1993a,b; Petralia et al. 1996). It has been found that systemic administration of an mGluR2/3 agonist reverses behavioural impairments in the phencyclidine model of schizophrenia (Moghadam & Adams, 1998). However, cellular mechanisms underlying the antipsychotic action of mGluR2/3 receptors remain unclear. The mGluR2/3-mediated presynaptic depression of evoked glutamate release (Baskys & Malenka, 1991; Lovinger & McCool, 1995; Cartmell & Schoepp, 2000) is thought to be one possible mechanism for the normalization of glutamatergic disruptions by group II mGluRs (Moghadam & Adams, 1998). We sought to examine the postsynaptic interactions between mGluR2/3 and NMDA receptors in PFC neurones, which could also be important for the reversal of NMDAR hypofunction by group II mGluRs in the schizophrenia model.

**Methods**

**Acute-dissociation of neurones**

PFC neurones from young adult (3–5 weeks postnatal) rats (Sprague Dowley) or mice (CS7 BL6) were acutely dissociated using procedures similar to those previously described (Yan & Surmeier, 1997; Feng et al. 2001). All experiments were carried out with the approval of State University of New York at Buffalo Animal Care Committee. In brief, rats were anaesthetized by inhaling 2-bromo-2-chloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane (1 ml (100 g)−1, Sigma) and decapitated; brains were quickly removed, iced and then blocked for slicing. The blocked tissue was cut in 400 µm slices with a Vibratome while bathed in a low Ca2+ (100 µM), Hepes-buffered salt solution (mM: 140 sodium isethionate, 2 KCl, 4 MgCl2, 0.1 CaCl2, 23 glucose, 15 Heps, 1 kynurenic acid, pH 7.4, 300–305 mosmol l−1). Slices were then incubated for 1–6 h at room temperature (20–22°C) in a NaHCO3-buffered saline bubbled with 95% O2, 5% CO2 (mm): 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 10 glucose, 1 pyruvic acid, 0.05 glutathione, 0.1 Nω-nitro-Arginine, 1 kynurenic acid, pH 7.4, 300–305 mosmol l−1). All reagents were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA).

Slices were then removed into the low Ca2+ buffer and regions of the PFC were dissected and placed in an oxygenated Cell-Stir chamber (Wheaton, Inc., Millville, NJ, USA) containing papain (0.4 mg ml−1, Sigma) in Hepes-buffered Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS, Sigma) at 35°C. After 20–40 min of enzyme digestion, tissue was rinsed three times in the low Ca2+, Hepes-buffered saline and mechanically dissociated with a graded series of fire-polished Pasteur pipettes. The cell suspension was then plated into a 35 mm Lux Petri dish, which was then placed on the stage of a Nikon inverted microscope.

**Whole-cell recordings**

Whole-cell recordings of currents in dissociated or cultured neurones employed standard voltage-clamp techniques (Yan et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2003). The internal solution consisted of (mm): 180 N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMG), 40 Heps, 4 MgCl2, 0.1 BAPTA, 12 phosphocreatine, 2 Na2ATP, 0.2 Na3GTP, 0.1 leupeptin, pH 7.2–7.3, 265–270 mosmol l−1. The external solution consisted of (mm): 127 NaCl, 20 CaCl2, 10 Heps, 1 CaCl2, 5 BaCl2, 12 glucose, 0.001 TTX, 0.02 glycine, pH 7.3–7.4, 300–305 mosmol l−1. Recordings were obtained with an Axon Instruments 200B patch clamp amplifier that was controlled and monitored with an IBM PC running pCLAMP (v. 8) with a DigiData 1320 series interface (Axon instruments, Union City, CA, USA). Electrode resistances were typically 2–4 MΩ in the bath. After seal rupture, series resistance (4–10 MΩ) was compensated (70–90%). Care was exercised to monitor the constancy of the series resistance, and recordings were terminated whenever a significant increase (> 20%) occurred. The cell membrane potential was held at −60 mV. NMDA (100 μm) was applied for 2 s every 30 s. About 30 min of recordings were performed on individual cells for most experiments. Cells with unstable NMDA responses (>20% decline throughout the recording) were discarded. Drugs were applied with a gravity-fed ‘sewer pipe’ system. The array of application capillaries (ca 150 μm i.d.) was positioned a few hundred micrometres from the cell under study. Solution changes were effect by the SF-77B fast-step
solution stimulus delivery device (Warner Instrument Co., Hamden, CT, USA).

The mGluR ligands (2R,4R)-4-aminopyrrolidine-2,4-dicarboxylate (APDC), 2S-2-amino-2-[(1S,2S)-2-carboxycycloprop-1-yl]3-(xanth-9-yl) propanoic acid (LY341495), (RS)-1-amino-5-phosphonoinosan-1-carboxylic acid (APICA), 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine hydrochloride (MPEP) and 7-(hydroxyimino)cyclopropa[b]chromen-1a-carboxylate ethyl ester (CPCCOEt) were from Tocris (Ballwin, MO, USA). The second messenger reagents staurosporine, bisindolylmaleimide, cpt-cAMP, myristoylated PKI[14–22], U73122, 2-aminoethoxydiphenylborane (2APB), genistein, 3-(4-chlorophenyl)1(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1H-pyrrozolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-amine (PP2), roscovitine, KN-93 and cyclosporin A were from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA). They were made up as concentrated stocks and stored at −20°C. The final DMSO concentration in all applied solutions was less than 0.1%. Stocks were thawed and diluted immediately prior to use.

Data analyses were performed with A xoGraph (Axon Instruments), Kaleidagraph (Albeck Software, Reading, PA, USA) and StatView (Abacus Concepts, Inc.). For analysis of statistical significance, Mann–Whitney U tests were performed to compare the current amplitudes in the presence or absence of agonists. ANOVA was performed to compare the differential degrees of current modulation between groups subjected to different treatment.

**Western blot analysis**

For detecting activated PKC, a phospho-PKC (pan) antibody that recognizes PKCα, βI, βII, ε, η, and δ isoforms only when phosphorylated at a carboxy-terminal residue homologous to Ser660 of PKCβII was used in the Western blot analysis. PFC slices were prepared as previously described (Gu et al. 2003). Equal amounts of protein from slice homogenates were separated on 7.5% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The blots were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk for 1 h at room temperature. Then the blots were incubated with the phospho-PKC (pan) antibody (Cell Signalling 1:2000) for 1 h at room temperature. After being rinsed, the blots were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antirabbit antibodies (Amersham, 1:2000) for 1 h at room temperature. Following three washes, the blots were exposed to the enhanced chemiluminescence substrate. Then the blots were stripped for 1 h at 50°C followed by saturation in 5% non-fat dry milk and incubated with a PKC antibody (Santa Cruz 1:2000) recognizing the α, β, γ isoforms for the detection of the total PKC. For detecting NMDA receptors that are phosphorylated by PKC, a phospho-S896 NR1 antibody (Upstate, 1:1000) was used. Quantification was obtained from densitometric measurements of immunoreactive bands on films. Data correspond to the mean ± s.d. of 3–8 samples per condition, and were analysed by ANOVA.

**Results**

Enhancement of NMDAR currents by mGluR2/3 receptors in dissociated PFC pyramidal neurones

To test the potential impact of group II mGluR receptors on NMDAR functions, we examined the effect of APDC, a potent and highly selective mGluR2/3 receptor agonist (Schoepp et al. 1999), on NMDA receptor-mediated currents in acutely isolated PFC pyramidal neurones. The glutamatergic PFC pyramidal neurones were readily distinguished from GABAergic interneurones by their distinct morphological features: a pyramidal-shaped soma and a prominent apical dendrite (Feng et al. 2001; Cai et al. 2002). Application of NMDA evoked a partially desensitizing inward current that was blocked by the NMDA receptor antagonist D-APV (50 μm) or MK-801 (10 μm) (Fig. 1A and B), confirming mediation by the NMDA receptor. Application of APDC (50 μm) caused a potent enhancement in the amplitude of NMDAR currents in isolated PFC pyramidal neurones. The time course and current traces from a representative cell is shown in Fig. 1C and D. The APDC-induced increase of NMDAR currents was reversible and had fast onset kinetics. Following recovery from the first application, a second application of APDC resulted in a similar response (94.3 ± 3.4% of first response, n = 18). As summarized in Fig. 1E, APDC (50 μm) significantly (P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney) enhanced the amplitude of currents evoked by different concentrations of NMDA (100 μm: 19.2 ± 0.6%, n = 87; 50 μm: 10.3 ± 2.0%, n = 4; 500 μm: 22.5 ± 2.4%, n = 3; 1000 μm: 27.7 ± 2.3%, n = 3). In contrast, APDC had little effect on AMPAR currents in dissociated PFC pyramidal neurones (Figs 1F (2.1 ± 2.1%, n = 5, P > 0.05, Mann–Whitney). In cultured PFC pyramidal neurones (2–3 weeks in vitro), APDC also caused a potentiation of NMDA (100 μm)-evoked currents (14.2 ± 0.8%, n = 34, P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney, data not shown), consistent with the results obtained from acutely isolated neurones.

To verify that mGluR2/3 receptors were mediating the modulation seen with APDC, we examined the ability of selective mGluR2/3 receptor antagonists to prevent the action of APDC. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, APDC (50 μm)
produced a reversible potentiation of NMDAR currents in the dissociated PFC neurone, and this effect was blocked by LY341495 (0.5 μm), a highly selective mGluR2/3 receptor antagonist (Kingston et al. 1998). Washing off the antagonist restored the ability of APDC to enhance NMDAR currents. As summarized in Fig. 2C, APDC had significantly (P < 0.01, ANOVA) smaller effect on NMDAR currents in the presence of different concentrations of LY341495 (0.1 μm: 21.1 ± 10.5% of control modulation, n = 3; 0.5 μm: 34.9 ± 6.7% of control modulation, n = 9; 2 μm: 37.7 ± 8.7% of control modulation, n = 4). LY341495 (0.1–2 μm) alone had little effect on basal NMDAR currents (0.9 ± 0.8%, n = 16, P > 0.05, Mann–Whitney). Another selective mGluR2/3 receptor antagonist, APICA (200 μm), also greatly attenuated the APDC enhancement of NMDAR currents (Fig. 2D), while it alone had no significant effect on basal NMDAR currents (1.3 ± 1.8%, n = 7, P > 0.05, Mann–Whitney). In contrast, the mGluR5 antagonist MPEP (5 μm) or the mGluR1 antagonist CPCCOEt (50 μm) failed to block the APDC enhancement of NMDAR currents.

**Figure 1.** The group II mGluR agonist APDC enhanced NMDA receptor currents in acutely dissociated PFC pyramidal neurones

A, current traces evoked by different concentrations (10, 100, 1000 μM) of NMDA in a representative cell. Scale bars: 0.1 nA, 0.5 s. B, current traces evoked by NMDA (100 μM) in the absence (control) or presence of D-APV (50 μM) or MK-801 (10 μM). Scale bars: 0.1 nA, 0.5 s. C, plot of peak NMDAR current as a function of time and agonist application. APDC (50 μM) reversibly increased NMDA (100 μM)-evoked currents in the cell. D, current traces taken from the records used to construct C (at time points denoted by #). E, cumulative data (mean ± S.E.M.) showing the APDC-induced percentage modulation of currents evoked by different concentrations of NMDA (100 μM: n = 87; 50 μM: n = 4; 500 μM: n = 3; 1000 μM: n = 3). F, plot of peak AMPA (100 μM)-evoked currents as a function of time and agonist application. Inset, representative current traces (at time points denoted by #). Scale bars: 0.2 nA, 1 s.
Effect (Fig. 2E). As summarized in Fig. 2F, the effect of APDC on NMDAR currents in the presence of APICA was significantly ($P < 0.01$, ANOVA) smaller (31.2 ± 8.4% control modulation, $n = 7$), but was almost unchanged by MPEP (91.7 ± 1.4% control modulation, $n = 4$) or CPCCOEt (94.4 ± 1.3% control modulation, $n = 6$). The pharmacological profile of these responses thus identifies mGluR2/3 as the receptor underlying the APDC-induced enhancement of NMDAR currents.

**Characterization of the mGluR2/3 modulation of NMDAR currents**

The opening of NMDAR channels is regulated by the voltage-sensitive Mg$^{2+}$ block; we therefore examined whether the mGluR2/3 modulation of NMDAR currents was through changing the Mg$^{2+}$ block in a voltage-dependent mechanism. Membrane potentials were held at different levels, and NMDAR currents were recorded in Mg$^{2+}$-containing versus Mg$^{2+}$-free solutions. As shown in Fig. 3A and B, APDC produced similar enhancement of NMDAR currents irrespective of the holding potentials ($−70$, $−40$ and $−20$ mV) in the cell perfused with an Mg$^{2+}$-free solution. Moreover, the APDC effect on NMDAR currents was similar irrespective of the extracellular Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations (0 mm, 2 mm) in the cell voltage-clamped at $−40$ mV. The percentage control modulation of NMDAR currents by APDC at different membrane potentials and different concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$ is summarized in Fig. 3C. The lack of changes of the APDC effect suggests that mGluR2/3 receptors potentiate NMDAR currents through a mechanism independent of membrane voltages or Mg$^{2+}$ block.

NMDAR functional properties are determined by subunit composition (Monyer et al. 1994; Vicini et al. 1998). The primary NMDARs in mature cortical synapses, which are composed of NR1/NR2A or NR1/NR2B, differ in deactivation kinetics and subcellular localization (Cull-Candy et al. 2001). To determine which subpopulation(s) of NMDARs is targeted by APDC, we applied the selective inhibitor of NR2B subunit, ifenprodil (Williams, 1993). Blocking NR2B subunit-containing NMDARs with ifenprodil (10 µM) reduced the amplitude of NMDAR currents by 44.6 ± 2.7% ($n = 9$). As shown in Fig. 3D, in
the presence of ifenprodil, APDC enhanced the remaining NMDAR currents to a larger extent than the APDC effect on the whole-cell NMDAR currents (166 ± 14.6% control modulation, n = 9, Fig. 3D, inset), suggesting that mGluR2/3 receptors may primarily target NR2A subunit containing NMDA receptors.

**Involvement of PKC in the mGluR2/3 potentiation of NMDAR currents**

We next examined the mechanisms mediating the potentiation of NMDAR currents by group II mGluR receptors. A classical signal transduction pathway for mGluR2/3 receptors is to couple to Gi/o-type G proteins to inhibit adenylate cyclase and cAMP formation (Conn & Pin, 1997). While PKA phosphorylation of NMDAR subunits changes the channel activity (Raman et al. 1996; Tingley et al. 1997; Westphal et al. 1999), we found that the mGluR2/3 enhancement of NMDAR currents was unlikely through the inhibition of PKA. As shown in Fig. 4A, application of the membrane-permeant PKA activator cpt-cAMP (50 µm) failed to block APDC-induced increase of NMDAR currents. Dialysis with the PKA inhibitory peptide PKI[5–24] (20 µm) also failed to prevent APDC from potentiating NMDAR currents (n = 5, data not shown).

Previous biochemical data show that an mGluR2/3 agonist increases the activity of phospholipase C (PLC) in hippocampal slices (Klein et al. 1997), and enhances the turnover of phosphoinositides in cortical slices (Mistry et al. 1998). Since triggering the phospholipid cascade could lead to the activation of PKC, we tested whether the APDC enhancement of NMDAR currents was through activated PKC.

If mGluR2/3 receptors were exerting their effect through PKC, then inhibiting the activation of PKC should eliminate the effect of APDC on NMDAR currents. As shown in Fig. 4B, the broad-spectrum kinase inhibitor staurosporine (1 µm), which has a high affinity to PKC, largely blocked the APDC-induced enhancement of NMDAR currents. A more specific PKC inhibitor, bisindolylmaleimide (Bisl, 1 µm), with high selectivity for PKCα, β, γ, δ and ε isozymes (Toullec et al. 1991), also eliminated the APDC effect, and washing off the PKC inhibitor led to recovery of APDC enhancement of NMDAR currents (Fig. 4C, D). Since conventional PKC isoforms (PKCα, β, γ) depend on Ca2+ for their activation (Tanaka & Nishizuka 1994), we dialysed neurones with a high concentration (10 mm) of BAPTA, a potent and rapid Ca2+ chelator, and examined APDC modulation of NMDAR currents under this condition. As shown in Fig. 4E, high BAPTA significantly attenuated the effect of APDC on NMDAR currents.

The ability of various inhibitors to block the APDC effect on NMDAR currents, expressed as a percentage of the modulation in the absence of these reagents, is
summarized in Fig. 4F. APDC had a significantly \( P < 0.005 \), ANOVA) smaller effect on NMDAR currents in the presence of staurosporine \( (30.7 \pm 6.8\% \) of control modulation, \( n = 13 \)). Similarly, the effect of APDC on NMDAR currents in the presence of bisindolylmaleimide was significantly \( P < 0.005 \), ANOVA) smaller \( (26.2 \pm 7.9\% \) of control modulation, \( n = 14 \)). However, the effect of APDC was not affected by cpt-cAMP \( (98.3 \pm 10.3\% \) of control modulation, \( n = 10 \)) or the membrane-permeant PKA inhibitor, myristoylated PKI[14–22] \( (81.8 \pm 6.4\% \) of control modulation, \( n = 9 \)).

Taken together, these data suggest that mGluR2/3 receptor-mediated potentiation of NMDAR currents is through a mechanism dependent on PKC activation.

Since activation of PKC is often triggered by the phospholipid cascade, we then tested whether the phospholipid pathway is involved in the mGluR2/3 regulation of NMDA receptors. Application of the phospholipase C (PLC) inhibitor U73122 \( (4 \ \mu M) \) had little effect on basal NMDAR currents. However, in the presence of U73122, APDC significantly lost the ability to potentiate NMDAR currents in half of the neurones we tested \( (n = 12/24) \). A representative example is shown in Fig. 5A and B. In the other 12 neurones, U73122 failed to alter the APDC enhancement of NMDAR currents (data not shown). To examine the involvement of intracellular Ca\(^{2+} \) stores, the membrane-permeant

**Figure 4.** The mGluR2/3 enhancement of NMDAR currents was dependent on PKC, but not PKA

A, B and C, plot of peak NMDAR currents as a function of time and drug application. Application of the broad-spectrum kinase inhibitor staurosporine \( (1 \ \mu M, B) \) or the specific PKC inhibitor bisindolylmaleimide \( (Bisl, 1 \ \mu M, C) \), but not the PKA activator cpt-cAMP \( (50 \ \mu M, A) \), largely blocked the APDC-induced enhancement of NMDAR currents. D, representative current traces taken from the records used to construct C (at time points denoted by #). Scale bars: 0.15 nA, 0.5 s. E, plot of peak NMDAR currents in neurones dialysed with internal solutions containing low \( (0.5 \ \text{mm}) \) or high \( (10 \ \text{mm}) \) concentrations of BAPTA. APDC had significantly smaller effect in the neurone loaded with high BAPTA. F, cumulative data (mean ± s.e.m.) showing the percentage control modulation of NMDAR currents by APDC in the absence \( (n = 12) \) or presence of staurosporine \( (STS, n = 16) \), bisindolylmaleimide \( (Bisl, n = 19) \), high BAPTA \( (n = 13) \), cpt-cAMP \( (n = 10) \) or the PKC inhibitor PKI[14–22] \( (0.1 \ \mu M, n = 9) \). \( *P < 0.005, \) ANOVA.
IP$_3$ receptor antagonist 2APB (15 µm) was applied. In many of the neurones we tested ($n = 10/17$), 2APB caused an increase in NMDAR currents, whereas in others ($n = 7/17$), 2APB had little effect on basal NMDAR currents. The enhancing effect of APDC was markedly diminished by 2APB in most cases ($n = 12/17$). A representative example is shown in Fig. 5C. In the other five neurones, 2APB failed to affect the APDC enhancement of NMDAR currents (data not shown). As summarized in Fig. 5D, in a subset of PFC pyramidal neurones, inhibiting PLC with U73122 significantly ($P < 0.01$, ANOVA) attenuated the APDC enhancement of NMDAR currents (25.1 ± 6.4% of control modulation, $n = 12$ out of 24 neurones), whereas blocking Ca$^{2+}$ release from intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ stores via IP$_3$ receptors prevented APDC from increasing NMDAR currents (16.4 ± 5.5% of control modulation, $n = 12$ out of 17 neurones). Thus, these results suggest that the phospholipid pathway is involved in the mGluR2/3 regulation of NMDAR currents in a subset of PFC pyramidal neurones. In other neurones, mGluR2/3 receptors may activate PKC through an unknown mechanism to enhance NMDAR currents.

**Role of other signalling molecules in the mGluR2/3 potentiation of NMDAR currents**

Given the PKC dependence of mGluR2/3 enhancement of NMDAR currents, we would like to know whether it is through a direct action of PKC (Tingley et al. 1997; Lan et al. 2001) or indirectly via a PKC downstream signalling molecule. Two possible candidates that can be activated by PKC and can directly regulate NMDARs are the tyrosine kinase Src (Lu et al. 1999) and the cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (cdk5, Li et al. 2001; Liu et al. 2001). Thus, we tested the role of these kinases in mGluR2/3 regulation of NMDAR currents.

As shown in Fig. 6A, bath application of the broad-spectrum tyrosine kinase inhibitor genistein (50 µm) did not affect the ability of APDC to enhance NMDAR currents. The specific Src kinase inhibitor PP2 (20 µm) also failed to block the APDC-induced increase of NMDAR currents ($n = 4$, data not shown). Similarly, suppressing cdk5 activity with the specific inhibitor roscovitine (50 µm, Hellmich et al. 1992) was without effect on the APDC potentiation of NMDAR currents (Fig. 6B and C). Furthermore, in mutant mice with targeted deletion of the neuronal specific activator of cdk5, p35 (Tsai et al. 1994; Chae et al. 1997), APDC caused similar enhancement of NMDAR currents as in wild-type mice (p35$^-/-$: 19.5 ± 2.0%, $n = 8$; WT: 22.8 ± 2.6%, $n = 10$).

If mGluR2/3 receptors couple to the phospholipid pathway in PFC neurones, the IP$_3$-triggered increase of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ levels could activate Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CaMKII) or the Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin-dependent phosphatase calcineurin, which can also
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Figure 6. The mGluR2/3 enhancement of NMDAR currents was independent of various kinases or phosphatases

A, B, D and E, plot of peak NMDAR currents as a function of time and drug application. The APDC-induced enhancement of NMDAR currents was not blocked by application of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor genistein (50 µM, A), the cdk5 inhibitor roscovitine (50 µM, B), the CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 (10 µM, D), or the calcineurin inhibitor cyclosporin A (20 µM, E). C, representative current traces taken from the records used to construct B (at time points denoted by #). Scale bars: 0.2 nA, 0.5 s. F, cumulative data (mean ± S.E.M.) showing the percentage control modulation of NMDAR currents by APDC in the absence (n = 9) or presence of genistein (n = 10), roscovitine (n = 14), KN-93 (n = 9) or cyclosporin A (n = 4).

Figure 7. Activation of mGluR2/3 receptors increased PKC activity and PKC phosphorylation of NMDA receptors in PFC slices

A, immunoblots of phospho-PKC and PKC. PFC slices were incubated in the absence or presence of the selective mGluR1/5 antagonists MPEP (5 µM) plus CPCCOEt (50 µM) or the mGluR2/3 antagonist LY341495 (1 µM) for 15 min, followed by a 10-min treatment with APDC (50 µM). After treatment, slice lysates were blotted with an antiphospho-PKC antibody. Following stripping out signals, membranes were reblotted with an antibody recognizing the total PKC.

B, quantification of p-PKC induced by APDC under different treatments. *P < 0.001, ANOVA, compared to the effect under control conditions (–).

C, quantification of pS896-NR1 in PFC slices treated without or with APDC (50 µM, 10 min). *P < 0.01, ANOVA. Inset, immunoblots of pS896-NR1 and total NR1.

directly regulate NMDARs (Lieberman & Mody, 1994; Omkumar et al. 1996; Strack & Colbran, 1998). Hence, we examined the involvement of CaMKII and calcineurin in the mGluR2/3 modulation. As shown in Fig. 6D and E, application of the specific CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 (10 µM) did not block the APDC-induced enhancement of NMDAR currents. Likewise, the specific calcineurin inhibitor cyclosporin A (20 µM) also failed to alter the APDC effect. Figure 6F summarizes the percentage control modulation of NMDAR currents by APDC in the presence of various kinase inhibitors (genistein: 79.9 ± 4.0% of control modulation, n = 10; roscovitine: 89.7 ± 2.6% of control modulation, n = 14; KN-93: 82.9 ± 3.7% of control modulation, n = 9; cyclosporin A: 106.4 ± 2.5% of control modulation, n = 4; P > 0.05, ANOVA). Taken together, these data suggest the lack of involvement of tyrosine kinases, cdk5, CaMKII or calcineurin in the mGluR2/3 modulation of NMDA receptors.

The mGluR2/3-induced increase in PKC activity and PKC phosphorylation of NMDA receptors in PFC slices

Since the electrophysiological data suggest that the APDC-induced potentiation of NMDAR currents is likely to be through a direct activation of PKC, we then examined whether activation of mGluR2/3 receptors can indeed increase PKC activity. Because the catalytic competence of many PKC isozymes depends on autophosphorylation at the carboxyl terminus on a conserved residue (Behn-Krappa & Newton, 1999), a phospho-specific pan PKC antibody that detects PKC isozymes only when phosphorylated at this residue was used to detect activated PKC. As shown in Fig. 7A, application of APDC (50 µM,
functions in PFC could play a significant role in the reversal of behavioural impairments by group II mGlurRs in the phencyclidine model of schizophrenia (Moghaddam & Adams, 1998). This modulation provides a homeostatic feedback mechanism for mGlurR2/3 receptors to correct or normalize glutamatergic functions. A recent study (Wittmann et al. 2002) shows that the function of group II mGlurRs is modulated by dopamine, a neurotransmitter highly involved in schizophrenia (Davis et al. 1991; Carlsson et al. 2001). It further implicates that mGlurR2/3 receptors may play a key role in regulating cognitive and emotional processes in neuropsychiatric disorders.

The NMDAR channel activity can be regulated by protein phosphorylation/dephosphorylation via a variety of protein kinases/phosphatases (Lieberman & Mody, 1994; Lu et al. 1999; Westphal et al. 1999). Different groups of mGlurRs, by coupling to distinct second-messenger cascades, can regulate NMDAR channels in a complex manner. Activation of group I mGlurRs in cortical neurones induced an enhancement of NMDAR currents through the Pyk2/Src-family kinase pathway (Heidinger et al. 2002). In this study, we found that group II mGlurRs enhanced NMDAR currents in PFC pyramidal neurones via a mechanism dependent on PKC activation. Biochemical data also confirmed that mGlurR2/3 receptors elevated the level of activated PKC in PFC slices. These data suggest that postsynaptic group II mGlurRs in PFC neurones may link to the phospholipid cascade, instead of coupling to the classical inhibition of PKA pathway, to regulate NMDA receptors. Consistent with this, it has been found that postsynaptic group II mGlurRs induced long-term depression in PFC via presynaptic mechanisms (Marek et al. 2000; Cartmell & Schoepp, 2000), suggesting that mGlurR2/3 receptors could play a key role in reducing glutamate hyperexcitability under pathological states resulting from excessive glutamate transmission (Schoepp & Marek, 2002). Less is known about postsynaptic modulation of synaptic transmission and neuronal excitability. In this study, we demonstrated that activation of group II mGlurRs significantly potentiated the currents through NMDAR channels in acutely dissociated PFC pyramidal neurones. It suggests that the postsynaptic NMDA receptor is one of the key targets of mGlurR2/3 receptors in PFC. Since the schizophrenia-like behaviours induced by administration of NMDAR antagonists (Javitt & Zukin, 1991; Jentsch & Roth, 1999) have implicated NMDAR hypofunction in mental disorders, the mGlurR2/3 potentiation of NMDAR channel functions in PFC could play a significant role in the reversal
effect of group II mGluRs on NMDAR functions in vivo could be complicated. We hypothesize that in response to mGluR2/3 activation, the decreased glutamate release will prevent the activation of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors and reduce the number of synaptic NMDA receptors that are activated. Meanwhile, the activated synaptic NMDA receptors will have higher sensitivity or conductance due to the postsynaptic modification of channel properties. The net consequence could be a preferential facilitation of subsets of synaptic NMDA receptors and suppression of other synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors, which could lead to a higher ‘signal-to-noise’ ratio of the NMDA signalling at some synaptic sites.

Several mechanisms have been proposed to account for the PKC-mediated up-regulation of NMDAR channels. One mechanism for PKC to potentiate the NMDA response is to increase the probability of channel openings and reduce the voltage-dependent Mg2+ block of NMDAR channels (Chen & Huang, 1992). Alternatively, PKC enhances NMDA-evoked currents through activation of the non-receptor tyrosine kinase (Src) signalling cascade (Lu et al. 1999). Our data ruled out these two possibilities, suggesting that the group II mGluR/PKC regulation of NMDAR channels is through a mechanism independent of Mg2+ block or Src, as well as other signalling molecules including cdk5, CaMKII and calcineurin. Thus, mGluR2/3 may alter the biophysical properties of NMDAR channels through direct PKC phosphorylation of NR1 subunit (Tingley et al. 1993). Biochemical evidence has shown that PKC phosphorylation of NR1 decreases its affinity for calmodulin (Hisatsune et al. 1997). Hence, mGluR2/3 activation of PKC could potentiate NMDAR currents by preventing calmodulin from binding to the NR1 subunit and thereby inhibiting the inactivation of NMDARs by Ca2+/calmodulin (Ehlers et al. 1996; Zhang et al. 1998). The possible involvement of this mechanism is confirmed by our results showing the blockade of APDC effects with a high concentration of Ca2+ chelator. Another mechanism that may underlie the mGluR2/3 potentiation of NMDAR currents involves the PKC-induced rapid delivery of functional NMDARs to the surface of dendrites and spines through regulated exocytosis (Lan et al. 2001). The exact mechanism for the mGluR2/3-PKC regulation of NMDAR channels awaits to be further examined.
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