Aβ Selectively Impairs mGluR7 Modulation of NMDA Signaling in Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Neurons: Implication in Alzheimer’s Disease
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Degeneration of basal forebrain (BF) cholinergic neurons is one of the early pathological events in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and is thought to be responsible for the cholinergic and cognitive deficits in AD. The functions of this group of neurons are highly influenced by glutamatergic inputs from neocortex. We found that activation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 7 (mGluR7) decreased NMDAR-mediated currents and NR1 surface expression in rodent BF neurons via a mechanism involving cofilin-regulated actin dynamics. In BF cholinergic neurons, β-amyloid (Aβ) selectively impaired mGluR7 regulation of NMDARs by increasing p21-activated kinase activity and decreasing cofilin-mediated actin depolymerization through a p75NTR-dependent mechanism. Cell viability assays showed that activation of mGluR7 protected BF neurons from NMDA-induced excitotoxicity, which was selectively impaired by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons. It provides a potential basis for the Aβ-induced disruption of calcium homeostasis that might contribute to the selective degeneration of BF cholinergic neurons in the early stage of AD.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD), a devastating neurodegenerative disorder, is characterized by the accumulation and aggregation of excessive β-amyloid peptides (Aβ), which triggers a complex cascade that leads to synaptic alterations, neurotransmitter deficiencies, and cognitive failure (Hardy and Higgins, 1992; Haass and Selkoe, 2007; Danyasz and Parsons, 2012; Sivanesan et al., 2013). In addition, numerous studies have shown that a selective loss of basal forebrain (BF) cholinergic neurons is one of the most consistent and unique abnormalities associated with AD at the early stage (Perry et al., 1978; Whitehouse et al., 1982; Coyle et al., 1983; Vogels et al., 1990; Winkler et al., 1995). It forms the basis for the “cholinergic hypothesis” of dementia (Bartus et al., 1982; Muir, 1997). Enhancement of cholinergic function has been the major treatment for symptoms in AD patients. However, the cellular mechanisms underlying the selective loss of BF cholinergic neurons in AD are still largely unknown.

BF is composed of two major groups of neurons: cholinergic and GABAergic neurons (Gritti et al., 1993). BF neurons receive intensive glutamatergic inputs primarily from cortical regions (Mesulam and Mufson, 1984; Zaborszky et al., 1997). These glutamatergic inputs activate both ligand-gated ion channels (ionotropic) and G-protein-coupled metabotropic receptors in BF neurons. It has been found that BF cholinergic neurons are vulnerable to the cytotoxic effects of glutamate analogues (Wenk and Willard, 1998). Overactivation of ionotropic glutamate receptors, especially NMDA receptors, is the key mechanism underlying excitotoxicity (Choi, 1992). Glutamate excitotoxicity has been suggested as one of the initiators of AD (Doble, 1999; Bordji et al., 2011). The newly approved therapeutic agent for moderate to severe AD, memantine, is a low-affinity NMDAR antagonist that works to prevent excitotoxicity mediated by the excessive Ca2+ influx during sustained glutamate release (Cummings, 2004; Cosman et al., 2007). However, because of the ubiquitous distribution and important physiological function of NMDARs, direct NMDAR blockade could incur undesirable and serious side effects; thus, the clinical application is limited.

Metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs), which are composed of eight subtypes (mGluR1–8), have been found to play a key role in neuronal communication and signal processing (Conn, 2003). The differential distribution of various mGluR subtypes on presynaptic and/or postsynaptic terminals puts them in a good position to provide subtle and localized modulation of ionotropic glutamate receptor functions (Baskys, 1992; Conn and Pin, 1997). Thus, mGluRs may serve as better targets for treatment of glutamate-related neurological disorders (Schoepf and Conn, 1993; Gubellini et al., 2004).
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Anatomic studies indicate that mGluR7 is highly expressed in BF regions, such as septal nucleus and nuclei of the diagonal band, whereas no significant expression of other members of Group III mGluRs is observed in these areas (Ohishi et al., 1995, Nakajima et al., 1993, Duvoisin et al., 1995). In this study, we found that activation of mGluR7 downregulated NMDAR currents in BF neurons, which was impaired by Aβ selectively in cholinergic neurons. Consistently, the mGluR7 protection of NMDA-induced excitotoxicity was selectively impaired by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons. It provides a potential mechanism for the selective degeneration of this neuronal population in the early stage of AD.

Materials and Methods

Acute-dissociation procedure and primary neuronal culture. Neurons from young (3–5 weeks postnatal) Sprague Dawley rats were acutely dissociated using procedures similar to those described previously (Gu et al., 2005, 2012). All experiments were performed with the approval of State University of New York at Buffalo Animal Care Committee. Briefly, after incubation of brain slices in a NaHCO3-buffered saline, a small area containing nucleus basalis of Meynert (NBM) was dissected (Nakajima et al., 1985) and placed in an oxygenated chamber containing papain (1 mg/ml, Sigma) in HEPES-buffered Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS, Sigma) at room temperature. After 40 min of papain digestion, tissue was rinsed three times in low Ca2+, HEPES-buffered saline and mechanically dissociated with a graded series of fire-polished Pasteur pipettes. The cell suspension was then plated into a 35 mm Lux Petri dish, which was then placed on the stage of a Nikon inverted microscope.

Neuronal cultures were prepared as previously described (Gu et al., 2005, 2009) with modification. Briefly, NBM area was dissected from E16 rat brain (Dunnett et al., 1986), and cells were dissociated using trypsin and trituration through a Pasteur pipette. The neurons were plated on coverslips coated with poly-L-lysine in DMEM with 10% FCS at a density of 300–400 cells/cm2. After 7–14 days in vitro, the coverslips were then detached and transferred to 35 mm tissue culture dishes. For experiments performed before being used for immunostaining.

Aβ oligomer preparation and an AD model. The procedure of Aβ oligomer preparation was similar to what was described previously (Dahlgren et al., 2002; Gu et al., 2009). In brief, the Aβ1–42 peptide (Tocris Bioscience) was dissolved in hexafluoroisopropanol to 1 mM. Hexafluoroisopropanol was then removed under vacuum. The remaining peptide was then resuspended in DMSO to 5 mM and diluted in H2O to 0.1 μM. The oligomer Aβ was formed by incubating at 4°C for 24 h.

APP transgenic mice carrying the Swedish mutation (K670N, M671L) were purchased from Taconic. Eight-week-old transgenic males (on B6SILF1 hybrid background) were bred with mature B6SILF1 females. Genetic background of these mice is the same with this breeding scheme. Genotyping were performed by PCR according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Different ages of male transgenic and wild-type (WT) littermates were used in the experiments.

Whole-cell recordings. Recordings of NMDAR-mediated ionic currents used standard voltage-clamp techniques (Gu et al., 2005, 2012). The internal solution consisted of the following (in mM): 180 N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMG), 40 HEPES, 4 MgCl2, 0.1 BAPTA, 12 phosphocreatine, 3 NaATP, 0.5 Na2GTP, 0.1 leupeptin, pH 7.2–7.3, 265–270 mosM/L. The external solution consisted of the following (in mM): 127 NaCl, 20 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 1 CaCl2, 5 BaCl2, 12 glucose, 0.001 TTX, 0.02 glycerine, pH 7.3–7.4, 300–305 mosM/L. Recordings were obtained with an Molecular Devices 200B patch-clamp amplifier that was controlled an Molecular Devices 200B patch-clamp amplifier and monitored with an IBM PC running pCLAMP with a DigiData 1320 series interface (Axon Instruments). Electrode resistances were typically 2–4 MΩ in the bath. After seal rupture, series resistance (4–10 MΩ) was monitored and periodically corrected. The cell membrane potential was held at −60 mV, NMDA (100 μM) was applied for 2 s every 30 s to minimize desensitization–induced decrease of current amplitude. Peak values were measured for generating the plot as a function of time and drug application. Drugs were applied with a gravity-fed “sewer pipe” system. The array of application capillaries (~150 μm i.d.) was positioned a few hundred microns from the cell under study. Solution changes were effected by the SF-77B fast-step solution stimulus delivery device (Warner Instrument). The voltage-dependent Ca2+ current (VDCG) was evoked by a voltage ramp protocol.

The whole-cell voltage-clamp technique was used to measure NMDAR-EPSC in BF slices (Gu et al., 2005, 2009). The slice (300 μm) was incubated with ACSF containing 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, followed by 1 h incubation with 5% BSA to block nonspecific staining. For the staining of surface NR1, neurons were fixed but not permeabilized. Neurons were incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Antibodies used include the following: anti-CHAT (1:500, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), anti-MAP2 (1:500, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), anti-NR1 (extracellular domain, 1:100, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), anti-p-collin (1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-collin (1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-p-PK (1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-p-PK (1:100, Biosource), and anti-p75NTR (1:500, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents).

After washing, neurons were incubated with Alexa-647 (blue), Alexa-488 (green), or Alexa-594 (red)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes, 1:500) for 2 h at room temperature. For the staining of F-actin, neurons were incubated with Alexa-568-conjugated phalloidin (1 U/ml, Invitrogen) at room temperature for 15 min. After washing in PBS for three times, coverslips were mounted on slides with VECTASHIELD mounting media (Vector Laboratories).

Fluorescent images were obtained using a 40× or 100× objective with a Nikon microscope. All specimens were imaged under identical conditions and analyzed using identical parameters with the ImageJ software. The surface NR1 clusters or F-actin clusters were measured. To define dendritic clusters, a single threshold was chosen manually, so that clusters corresponded to puncta of at least twofold greater intensity than the diffuse fluorescence on the dendritic shaft. On each coverslip, the cluster density of 4–6 neurons (3–4 dendritic segments of 100 μm length per neuron) was measured. The fluorescence intensity of p-collin or p-PK staining was assessed by tracing a region around individual neurons (including the soma and proximal dendrites) and measuring the average intensity of pixels in the region (background was subtracted using the threshold method). Three or four independent experiments for each of the treatments were performed. Quantitative analyses were conducted blindly (without knowledge of experimental treatment).

Single-cell RT-PCR. Single-cell RT-PCR was performed as previously described (Gu et al., 2007). In brief, a single neuron was aspirated into the recording electrode by negative pressure after recording. Electrodes contained ~5 μl of sterile recording solution. After aspiration, the tip of the electrode was broken, and contents were ejected into 0.5 ml Eppendorf tube containing 3 μl DEPC-treated water, 1 μl oligo (dT) (0.5 μg/μl), 0.5 μl RNasin (40 U/μl), 0.5 μl DTT (0.1 mM). The mixture was heated to 70°C for 10 min and then incubated on ice for 1 min. First-strand cDNA was generated by applying negative pressure. Additional suction was applied to disrupt the membrane and obtain the whole-cell configuration. EPSCs were evoked by stimulating the neighboring neurons with a bipolar tungsten electrode (FHC) located at a few hundred micrometers away from the neuron under recording. Before stimulation, neurons were held at −70 mV and then depolarized to 60 mV for 3 s to fully relieve the voltage-dependent Mg2+ block of NMDARs.

Data analyses were performed with Clampfit (Axon Instruments) and Kaleidagraph (Albeck Software). ANOVA tests were performed to compare the differential degrees of current modulation between groups subjected to different treatments.

Immunocytochemical staining. Neurons grown on coverslips were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 20 min at room temperature and then washed 3 times with PBS. Neurons were then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, followed by 1 h incubation with 5% BSA to block nonspecific staining. For the staining of surface NR1, neurons were fixed but not permeabilized. Neurons were incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Antibodies used include the following: anti-CHAT (1:500, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), anti-MAP2 (1:500, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), anti-NR1 (extracellular domain, 1:100, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), anti-p-collin (1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-collin (1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-p-PK (1:100, Cell Signaling), anti-p-PK (1:100, Biosource), and anti-p75NTR (1:500, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents).

Quantitative analyses were conducted blindly (without knowledge of experimental treatment).
synthesized from the total mRNA by adding Superscript III RT (1 μl, 200 U/μl) and 2 μl buffer (10×), 1 μl DTT (0.1 M), 1 μl dNTP (10 mM), 4 μl MgCl₂ (25 mM). The mixture was then incubated at 42°C for 50 min and terminated by heating the mixture to 70°C for 15 min and then icing. The RNA strand was removed by adding 1 μl RNase H (2 U/μl) and incubated for 20 min at 37°C. The cDNA was then subjected to 45 cycles of PCR amplification. The primers used for ChAT amplification were as follows: 5'-ATG GCC ATT GAC AAC CAT CTT CTG and 5'-CCT TGA ACT GCA GAG GTC TCT CAT (PCR product: 324 bp). The primers used for GAPDH amplification were as follows: 5'-GAC AAC TCC CTC AAG ATT GTC AG and 5'-ATG GCA TGG ACT GTG GTC ATG AG (PCR product: 122 bp).

Figure 1. Activation of mGluR7 reduced NMDAR currents in BF neurons, which was selectively impaired by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons. A, Immunocytochemical images showing the costaining of MAP2 (blue, a marker for neurons) and ChAT (green, a marker for cholinergic neurons) of acutely isolated neurons from NBM in BF. Arrow points to a ChAT⁺ neuron; arrowheads point to two ChAT⁻ neurons. B, Single-cell RT-PCR showing the expression of ChAT mRNA in acutely dissociated BF neurons. C, Plot of normalized peak VDCCs showing the effect of carbachol (20 μM), a cholinergic receptor agonist, in dissociated BF large neurons (cholinergic) and small neurons (noncholinergic). Inset, Representative VDCC traces evoked by a voltage ramp (at time points denoted by #). Calibration: 0.2 nA, 25 ms. D, Cumulative data (mean ± SEM) showing the percentage reduction of VDCC by carbachol in BF large (cholinergic) and small (noncholinergic) neurons. *p < 0.01 (ANOVA). E, F, Plot of normalized peak NMDAR currents showing the effect of L-AP4 (200 μM) in BF cholinergic (E) and noncholinergic (F) neurons isolated from slices treated with or without oligomeric Aβ (1 μM, 2 h). The fast washout of L-AP4 in dissociated neurons led to the speedy recovery of NMDAR currents. G, Representative current traces taken from the records used to construct E and F. Calibration: 0.1 nA, 1 s. H, Cumulative data (mean ± SEM) showing the percentage reduction of NMDAR currents by L-AP4 or 8-OH-DPAT (5-HT₁A agonist, 20 μM) in BF cholinergic and noncholinergic neurons with or without Aβ treatment. *p < 0.005 (ANOVA).
Neuronal viability assay. For the detection of apoptosis, BF cultures (DIV 14), 24 h after treatment, were stained with MAP2 and ChAT to label live cholinergic and noncholinergic neurons, and propidium iodide (PI) to label apoptotic neurons as we previously described (Deng et al., 2014). In brief, neurons were fixed and permeabilized, followed by the incubation in BSA to block nonspecific staining. Then neurons were incubated with anti-MAP2 (1:1000, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents) and anti-ChAT (1:500, Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents) for 2 h at room temperature. After washing off the primary antibodies, neurons were incubated in Alexa-647 (blue) and Alexa-488 (green)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:200, Invitrogen) for 2 h at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, neurons were exposed to PI (4 μg/ml, Sigma) for 10 min at room temperature. Coverslips were washed and mounted. The number of live cholinergic (MAP2+/ChAT−) and noncholinergic (MAP2+/ChAT−) neurons in untreated versus treated conditions were compared. At least five coverslips from independent experiments were examined for each condition. The percentage of apoptotic neurons following different treatments, as indicated by PI-stained condensed nucleus, were also compared.

In vivo excitotoxic lesion and histochemistry. NMDA (60 mM, dissolved in PBS, 0.01 M, pH 7.4) was injected (1 μl) slowly (0.1 μl/min) into the right NBM (anteroposterior, 0.6 mm; lateral, 1.9 mm; dorsoventral, 4.7 mm) with a Hamilton syringe. The protective role of mGluR7 or TAT-PAK18 peptide in vivo was examined by administration of L-AP4 (i.p.) or TAT-PAK18 (i.v.) before the excitotoxic lesion. The impact of Aβ on the mGluR7 function was examined by administration (i.p.) of Aβ antibody (3 mg/kg, Sigma) before the treatment of L-AP4. One day after NMDA injection, the mice were deeply anesthetized and fixed. The brains were cut into 30-μm-thick coronal sections using a freezing microtome. To assess neuronal loss, sections were stained for Nissl substance with cresyl violet. To assess neuronal survival, sections were incubated in 0.5% H2O2 (30 min), blocking solution (1 h), and then the ChAT antibody (24 h). The secondary antibody incubation, avidin biotin complex (ABC Elite kit) step, and 3′-diaminobenzidine incubation were each preceded and followed by rinses in PBS. Finally, the sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides, dehydrated, and then coverslipped. The number of ChAT+ neurons was counted in the NBM region (~0.5 mm2) from WT versus APP transgenic mice.

Figure 2. The mGluR7 regulation of NMDAR currents was selectively impaired in BF cholinergic neurons from APP transgenic mice. A, C, Plot of normalized peak NMDAR currents showing the effect of L-AP4 (200 μM) and 8-OH-DPAT (20 μM) in BF cholinergic (A) or noncholinergic (C) neurons from WT or APP transgenic mice. B, Representative current traces taken from the records used to construct A. Calibration: 0.1 nA, 1 s. D, Cumulative data (mean ± SEM) showing the percentage reduction of NMDAR currents by L-AP4 or 8-OH-DPAT in BF cholinergic and noncholinergic neurons from WT versus APP mice. *p < 0.005 (ANOVA).
Results

Activation of mGluR7 reduces NMDAR currents in BF neurons, and Aβ selectively impairs mGluR7 effects in BF cholinergic neurons

BF is mainly composed of cholinergic and noncholinergic (mainly GABAergic) neurons. Cholinergic neurons usually have oval-shaped, larger (>20 μm in diameter) cell bodies (Nakajima et al., 1985; Griffith et al., 1994; Jassar et al., 1999). We first used the morphological features to select neurons acutely isolated from BF slices and then used immunocytochemical staining and single-cell mRNA profiling to confirm the identity of these cells. As shown in Figure 1A, BF neurons positive for ChAT usually exhibited larger cell bodies. In a sample of neurons we tested, >90% of the large cells (n = 18) were positive for ChAT mRNA expression, whereas all the small cells (n = 14) were ChAT-negative (Fig. 1B), suggesting that the soma size of these dissociated neurons can be used as a reliable index of their identity. In addition, the VDCC was reduced by the muscarinic acetylcholine receptor agonist carbachol (20 μM) to a much larger extent in BF cholinergic neurons, compared with noncholinergic neurons (Fig. 1C; cholinergic: 51.6 ± 6.2%, n = 10; noncholinergic: 14.5 ± 2.7%, n = 10; Fig. 1D), which can be used as another feature to distinguish these cells.

To test the potential impact of cortical glutamatergic inputs on the synaptic transmission of BF, we examined the effect of various metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) on the current mediated by AMPAR, NMDAR, and GABAAR channels in BF neurons. We found that t-Ap4 (200 μM), a selective Group III mGluR agonist, caused a significant reduction of NMDA (100 μM)-elicited ionic currents in BF cholinergic neurons (22.7 ± 3.4%, n = 15) and noncholinergic neurons (23.3 ± 3.7%, n = 8). However, t-Ap4 did not significantly alter AMPAR or GABAAR currents in both types of BF neurons (AMPAR: cholinergic, 2.2 ± 2.0%, n = 6; noncholinergic, 1.8 ± 2.4%, n = 6; GABAAR: cholinergic, 1.5 ± 1.6%, n = 6; noncholinergic, 1.3 ± 1.7%, n = 6). Similar to what we found in cortical neurons (Gu et al., 2012), the effect of t-Ap4 on NMDAR currents was largely blocked by Group III mGluR antagonists CPPG (50 μM) or M-SOP (400 μM), and lower doses of t-Ap4 (20–50 μM) did not have significant effects on NMDAR currents. Since cell line studies (Conn and Pin, 1997; Schoepf et al., 1999) have shown that t-Ap4 has EC50 of 160–500 μM to mGluR7 and 0.4–1.2 μM to other members in Group III (mGluR4, 6, 8), our data suggest that mGluR7 is the receptor mediating the effect of t-Ap4 on NMDARs.

Given the involvement of BF cholinergic system in AD (Coyle et al., 1983), we examined the impact of Aβ on mGluR7 regulation of NMDARs in BF neurons. The basal NMDAR currents in BF neurons from untreated versus Aβ oligomer (1 μM, 2 h)-treated slices were not significantly different (untreated: 541 ± 33 pA, n = 8; Aβ-treated: 528 ± 36 pA, n = 8). However, as shown in Figure 1E–G, incubation with Aβ oligomer significantly impaired the effect of t-Ap4 on NMDAR currents in BF cholinergic neurons (Aβ-treated: 12.1 ± 3.2%, n = 9; control: 25.2 ± 3.8%, n = 8, p < 0.001; Fig. 1H), whereas no significant impairment was seen in noncholinergic neurons (Aβ-treated: 29.3 ± 5.4%, n = 8; control: 28.2 ± 4.2%, n = 8; Fig. 1H). Moreover, the effect of 5-HT1A receptor agonist 8-OH-DPAT (20 μM) on NMDAR currents was not altered by Aβ treatment in BF cholinergic neurons (Aβ-treated: 22.4 ± 4.3%, n = 8; control: 21.8 ± 3.9%, n = 8; Fig. 1H), suggesting the selective impact of Aβ on mGluR7 signaling in these cells.

We further tested the effect of t-Ap4 on NMDAR currents in the AD model overexpressing mutated APP (Hsiao et al., 1996; Chapman et al., 1999). The basal NMDAR currents in BF neurons from WT versus APP transgenic mice were not significantly different (WT: 514 ± 31 pA, n = 8; APP: 503 ± 34 pA, n = 8). Impaired t-Ap4 regulation of NMDAR currents was found only in BF cholinergic neurons from APP transgenic mice (Fig. 2A,B; 3-month-old APP: 12.8 ± 3.4%, n = 12; age-matched WT: 24.3 ± 4.2%, n = 9, p < 0.001; Fig. 2D), but not in noncholinergic neurons (Fig. 2C; APP: 26.3 ± 5.2%, n = 8; WT: 26.7 ± 4.8%, n = 8; Fig. 2D). The 5-HT1A regulation of NMDAR currents was intact in both types of BF neurons from APP transgenic mice (Fig. 2D). This results suggest that Aβ selectively impaired mGluR7 regulation of NMDAR-mediated ionic currents in BF cholinergic neurons.

Next, we examined whether activation of Group III mGluRs affected NMDAR-mediated synaptic responses in BF neurons. As shown in Figure 3A, application of t-Ap4 (200 μM) induced a substantial reduction of NMDAR-EPSC in BF cholinergic neu-
rons (71.7 ± 3.1%, n = 6). A similar effect was observed in BF noncholinergic neurons (70.1 ± 1.2%, n = 4). Application of a low dose of L-AP4 (20 μM), which only activates non-mGluR7 Group III mGluRs, also significantly reduced NMDAR-EPSC in BF neurons (cholinergic: 47.8 ± 2.5%, n = 5; noncholinergic: 48.2 ± 6.2%, n = 4). The effect of L-AP4 on NMDAR-EPSC in BF slices was much bigger than the effect of L-AP4 on NMDAR-mediated ionic currents in isolated BF neurons (a pure postsynaptic system). It suggests that Group III mGluR activation may change both presynaptic glutamate release and postsynaptic NMDA receptors in BF slices, which collectively contribute to the strong reduction of NMDAR-EPSC by L-AP4. Because EPSC only comes from the synaptic pool of NMDARs whereas ionic current comes from both synaptic and extrasynaptic pools of NMDARs, an alternative explanation for the larger effect of L-AP4 in BF slices is the better interaction of synaptic mGluRs with synaptic NMDA receptors.

To further identify presynaptic versus postsynaptic origin of Group III mGluR-induced inhibition of NMDAR-EPSC, we tested the effect of L-AP4 on coefficient of variation (CV) of synaptic currents, an index reflecting presynaptic processes (Manabe et al., 1993). CV = SD/mean = [(1 - p)/np]1/2, where p is release probability and n is the number of release site (Bekkers and Stevens, 1990). We found that L-AP4 (200 or 20 μM) significantly increased the CV of evoked NMDAR-EPSCs (Fig. 3B), suggesting that Group III mGluR activation affects presynaptic glutamate release. We further plotted the ratio of CV2 before (CV2c) and during L-AP4 application (CV2d) against the ratio of mean NMDAR-EPSC amplitudes (EPSCd/EPSCc). Previous studies have indicated that points following on or below the diagonal (region IIId) represent a purely presynaptic mechanism, whereas points above the diagonal (region IIId) could be either presynaptic or postsynaptic (Faber and Korn, 1991; Alger et al., 1996). As shown in Figure 3C, most points with a low dose of L-AP4 (20 μM) application fell on the diagonal or region IIId, whereas most points with a high dose of L-AP4 (200 μM) application fell on region IIId. It suggests that activation of non-mGluR7 Group III mGluRs by L-AP4 (20 μM) purely affects presynaptic release, whereas additional activation of mGluR7 by L-AP4 (200 μM) induces postsynaptic changes.

Because activation of mGluR7 inhibits NMDAR-mediated synaptic transmission, we examined the impact of Aβ oligomers (1 μM, 2–4 h treatment) on the effect of L-AP4 on NMDAR-EPSCs. As shown in Figure 3D, the reduction of NMDAR-EPSCs by a high dose of L-AP4 (200 μM), which activates mGluR7, was significantly smaller in Aβ-treated BF cholinergic neurons (control: 71.7 ± 3.1%, n = 6; Aβ-treated: 51.8 ± 2.6%, n = 5; p < 0.01, ANOVA), but not in Aβ-treated BF noncholinergic neurons. In contrast, the Group III non-mGluR7-mediated reduction of NMDAR-EPSC (induced by 20 μM L-AP4) was unchanged by Aβ oligomers in either type of BF neurons. These results suggest that Aβ selectively impaired mGluR7 regulation of surface NR1 in BF cholinergic neurons.

**Figure 4.** Aβ selectively disrupted mGluR7 regulation of surface NR1 in BF cholinergic neurons. A, B, Immunocytochemical images showing the costaining of surface NR1 (red) and ChAT (green) in cultured BF neurons treated with or without L-AP4 (200 μM, 15 min) in the absence (A) or presence of Aβ oligomers (1 μM, 2 h preincubation; B). Enlarged versions of the boxed regions of dendrites are shown beneath each of the images. C, Cumulative data (mean ± SEM) showing the surface NR1 cluster density in BF cholinergic and noncholinergic neurons treated with or without L-AP4 in the absence or presence of Aβ. *p < 0.001 (ANOVA).
NMDAR-mediated postsynaptic currents in BF cholinergic neurons.

**The effect of mGluR7 on surface NR1 expression is diminished by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons**

Because mGluR7 regulates NMDAR currents via affecting NMDAR trafficking in cortical neurons (Gu et al., 2012), we examined whether the Aβ impairment of mGluR7 regulation of NMDAR currents in BF cholinergic neurons is due to the Aβ-induced loss of mGluR7 effects on surface NMDAR expression in these cells. Immunoc conventional staining of surface NR1 using an antibody recognizing the extracellular domain of NR1 was performed in cultured BF neurons under the nonpermeabilized condition. As shown in Figure 4A, application of t-AP4 (200 μM, 15 min) significantly decreased the density of surface NR1 clusters (no. of clusters/100 μm) in BF cholinergic neurons (control: 43.3 ± 2.7, t-AP4: 30.6 ± 2.2, n = 30, p < 0.001, ANOVA; Fig. 4C). A similar effect was observed in BF noncholinergic neurons (control: 41.8 ± 2.8, t-AP4: 28.2 ± 2.2, n = 30, p < 0.001, ANOVA; Fig. 4C).

Treatment with Aβ oligomers (1 μM, 2 h) abolished the effect of t-AP4 on surface NR1 clusters in BF cholinergic neurons (Fig. 4B; Aβ: 45.9 ± 3.6, Aβ + t-AP4: 42.6 ± 3.1, n = 30, p > 0.05, ANOVA; Fig. 4C), although it failed to alter the reducing effect of t-AP4 in BF noncholinergic neurons (Aβ: 43.5 ± 3.2, Aβ + t-AP4: 31.2 ± 2.5, n = 30, p < 0.001, ANOVA; Fig. 4C). These results suggest that mGluR7 activation decreases the level of surface NMDARs, which is disrupted by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons.

**Aβ selectively disrupts mGluR7 regulation of F-actin and increases p21-activated kinase (PAK)-mediated cofilin phosphorylation in BF cholinergic neurons**

How could Aβ impair mGluR7 regulation of NMDAR currents selectively in BF cholinergic neurons? Our previous study has shown that mGluR7 reduces NMDAR surface expression by increasing the activity of cofilin, the major actin depolymerizing factor (dos Remedios et al., 2003), and the ensuing actin depolymerization in cortical neurons (Gu et al., 2012). Similarly, we found that the effect of t-AP4 on NMDAR currents was significantly attenuated in BF cholinergic neurons dialyzed with the actin stabilizer phalloidin (5 μM, 8.4 ± 1.5%, n = 8; Fig. 5A), compared with control cells (23.5 ± 3.6%, n = 8). Phalloidin itself had no significant effect on NMDAR currents (control: 538 ± 35 pA, n = 8; phalloidin: 552 ± 41 pA, n = 8). Because cofilin is inactivated by phosphorylation at Ser3 and reactivated by dephosphorylation (Morgan et al., 1993), we used two peptides consisting of 1-16 residues of cofilin with or without Ser3-phosphorylated (Aizawa et al., 2001; Duffney et al., 2013) to examine the involvement of cofilin. Dialysis with the p-cofilin peptide (100 μM), an inhibitor of endogenous cofilin, significantly diminished the effect of t-AP4 on NMDAR currents in BF cholinergic neurons (9.3 ± 1.7%, n = 9; Fig. 5A), whereas the cofilin peptide (100 μM), a negative control, failed to alter the t-AP4 effect (21.8 ± 3.5%, n = 8). The p-cofilin or cofilin peptide itself had no significant effect on NMDAR currents (control: 534 ± 32 pA, n = 8; p-cofilin peptide: 544 ± 37 pA, n = 9; cofilin peptide: 526 ± 36 pA, n = 8). These data suggest that mGluR7 reduces NMDAR currents in BF by altering cofilin/actin signaling.

Given the actin dependence of the mGluR7 modulation, we speculated that Aβ might interfere with mGluR7 regulation of actin dynamics specifically in BF cholinergic neurons. To test this, we performed immunocytochemical staining of filamentous actin with phalloidin in cultured BF neurons. As shown in Figure 5B, C, t-AP4 treatment (200 μM, 10 min) significantly reduced the density of F-actin clusters (no. of clusters/100 μm) in BF cholinergic neurons (control: 76.5 ± 5.8, t-AP4: 50.8 ± 3.9, n = 30, p < 0.001, ANOVA). Aβ treatment (1 μM, 2 h) significantly increased F-actin clusters in BF cholinergic neurons (103.8 ± 7.6, n = 30) and prevented the subsequently applied t-AP4 from reducing F-actin clusters in these neurons (95.4 ± 7.3, n = 30). In
contrast, Aβ treatment had no significant effect on F-actin clusters in BF noncholinergic neurons (control: 70.1 ± 5.4, Aβ: 73.6 ± 5.1, n = 30) and failed to prevent the reducing effect of t-AP4 in these neurons (49.8 ± 3.3, n = 30). These results suggest that Aβ selectively affects the actin assembly and its regulation by mGluR7 in BF cholinergic neurons, which could underlie the specific impairment of mGluR7 regulation of NMDR currents in these cells by Aβ.

How does Aβ selectively affect the actin integrity in BF cholinergic neurons? Given the key role of cofilin in regulating actin depolymerization, we speculated that Aβ might selectively affect cofilin activity in BF cholinergic neurons. Consistent with this, Aβ treatment (1 µM, 2 h) induced a strong increase of the Ser3-phosphorylated (inactive) cofilin in BF cholinergic neurons (Fig. 6A; 2.23 ± 0.35-fold increase, n = 20; Fig. 6C), but not in noncholinergic neurons (Fig. 6A: 0.08 ± 0.02-fold increase, n = 15; Fig. 6C). The level of total cofilin was not altered by Aβ treatment (data not shown). It suggests that Aβ decreased the actin-depolymerizing activity of cofilin selectively in BF cholinergic neurons, which could antagonize the mGluR7-induced increase of cofilin activity (Gu et al., 2012).

We further examined how Aβ increased cofilin phosphorylation in BF cholinergic neurons. One of the key upstream proteins that regulates the phosphorylation and activity of cofilin is PAK (Edwards et al., 1999). It has been found that PAK signaling defects potentially play a causal role in cognitive deficits in AD (Zhao et al., 2006). To examine the involvement of PAK in Aβ increase of cofilin phosphorylation, we treated BF cultures with a PAK inhibitor, PAK18, which is a peptide against a specific PAK inhibitory domain coupled to a cell permeant TAT peptide sequence (Zhao et al., 2006; Duffney et al., 2013). As shown in Figure 6B, inhibiting PAK with PAK18 (10 µM) treatment prevented Aβ from increasing cofilin phosphorylation in BF cholinergic neurons (0.25 ± 0.05-fold increase, n = 10; Fig. 6C). It suggests that Aβ affects the actin dynamics via the PAK/cofilin pathway.

**Aβ increases PAK activity in BF cholinergic neurons through a p75NTR-dependent mechanism**

Because Aβ increased cofilin phosphorylation selectively in BF cholinergic neurons, we further examined whether Aβ treatment selectively induced PAK activation in these cells. It has been shown that Thr423 is a critical phosphorylation site in the PAK activation process (Gatti et al., 1999; Zenke et al., 1999). Thus, we examined the effect of Aβ oligomers on Thr423-p-PAK staining in BF cultures. As shown in Figure 7A, the p-PAK level was selectively increased by Aβ treatment in ChAT + neurons (2.44 ± 0.38-fold increase, n = 30; Fig. 7C), which might explain the selective increase of p-cofilin by Aβ in these cells. In contrast, Aβ treatment had no significant effect on p-PAK in BF noncholinergic neurons (Fig. 7A). The level of total PAK was not altered by Aβ treatment (data not shown).

How does Aβ selectively activate PAK in BF cholinergic neurons? We speculated that it might be due to some protein selectively expressed in these cells. One candidate is the p75 neurotrophin receptor (p75NTR), which is highly enriched in cholinergic neurons of adult animals (Batchelor et al., 1989; Gibbs et al., 1989). Several studies show that p75NTR is one of the membrane binding partners for Aβ (Yaar et al., 1997; Perini et al., 2002; Costantini et al., 2005). Thus, it is possible that Aβ binds to p75NTR in BF cholinergic neurons, leading to the activation of PAK. To test this, we treated BF cultures with a neutralizing antibody to the extracellular ligand binding domain of p75NTR to block the activation of p75NTR signaling (Baldwin and Shooter, 1995), or with an antisense oligonucleotide against p75NTR (5′-ACCTGCCCTCCTCATGTGCA-3′) (Florez-McClure et al., 2004) to ablate the expression of p75NTR in cholinergic neurons, and examined the effect of Aβ on p-PAK. As shown in Figure 7B, the p75NTR antibody prevented Aβ-induced PAK phosphorylation (activation) in BF cholinergic neurons (0.16 ± 0.05-fold increase, n = 20; Fig. 7C). Moreover, in p75NTR antisense-treated BF cholinergic neurons (Fig. 7B), Aβ failed to induce PAK phosphorylation (0.11 ± 0.06-fold increase, n = 20; Fig. 7C). The selective expression of p75NTR in BF cholinergic neurons and its specific loss in p75NTR antisense-treated BF cultures were also verified (Fig. 7D).

We further tested whether p75NTR was involved in the impact of Aβ on mGluR7 regulation of NMDARs in BF cholinergic neurons. As shown in Figure 7E, F, incubation of Aβ oligomer significantly impaired the effect of t-AP4 on NMDAR currents (untreated: 28.0 ± 1.9%, n = 7; Aβ-treated: 12.9 ± 0.9%, n = 10; p < 0.001), which was completely blocked by the pretreatment of p75NTR neutralizing antibody (p75NTRab + Aβ-treated: 25.3 ± 1.2%, n = 10), suggesting that Aβ impairs mGluR7 effects via p75NTR in BF cholinergic neurons.
Activation of mGluR7 protects BF neurons from NMDA-induced excitotoxicity, which is selectively impaired by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons.

What is the functional implication of the mGluR7 downregulation of NMDAR currents and its selective impairment by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons? Is it potentially linked to the selective degeneration of BF cholinergic neurons at the early stage of AD? To address these questions, we examined the protective role of Group III mGluRs against NMDAR-mediated excitotoxicity, one of the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying AD (Doraiswamy, 2003; Cosman et al., 2007). We also examined whether Aβ selectively impaired mGluR-mediated protection in BF cholinergic neurons.

Excitotoxicity was modeled by treating BF cultures with NMDA (50 μM, 10 min). Cultures were washed and returned to regular medium after treatment. Twenty-four hours later, cells were collected for immunocytochemical experiments. Survival neurons were detected with anti-MAP2, and apoptotic cell death was indicated by shrunken and condensed nucleus in PI staining (Ankarcrona et al., 1995; Bonfoco et al., 1995). As shown in Figure 8A, B, NMDA treatment induced remarkable apoptosis in BF cholinergic and noncholinergic neurons, as indicated by significantly decreased number of MAP2+ or ChAT+ neurons and significantly increased number of cells with shrunken and condensed nucleus in PI staining (control: 4.4 ± 1.1% apoptosis; NMDA-treated: 76.3 ± 11.7% apoptosis).

L-AP4 treatment (200 μM, 15 min preincubation) significantly protected cholinergic and noncholinergic neurons from NMDA-induced cell death, as indicated by increased number of MAP2+ or ChAT+ neurons and reduced number of cells with condensed PI staining (Fig. 8C; 34.5 ± 6.3% apoptosis). Treatment with Aβ oligomers (1 μM, 2 h before L-AP4 application) selectively impaired the protective effect of L-AP4 in cholinergic neurons but not in noncholinergic neurons, as indicated by the increased number of MAP2+/ChAT− neurons, but not ChAT+ neurons (Fig. 8D). Treatment with Aβ oligomers (1 μM, 24 h) alone did not induce toxicity in BF cultures (data not shown).

We counted the number of surviving cholinergic (MAP2+/ChAT+) and noncholinergic neurons (MAP2+/ChAT−) 24 h after 10 min NMDA treatment (Fig. 8E). We found that 21.1 ± 4.3% of BF cholinergic neurons and 20.3 ± 3.8% of
neurons against oxidative stress produced by H2O2, an NMDAR-10 min) and returned to regular medium after treatment (control, cytochemical images showing the costaining of ChAT (green), MAP2 (blue), and PI (a nuclear marker, red) in BF cultures untreated (H2O2: 19.7/11001).

At 15 min before H2O2).

Noncholinergic (MAP2cholinergic neurons (63.3/11006) in BF cultures treated with H2O2 (100/nm, 1/11002). Twenty-four hours later, cells were subjected to immunocytochemical staining of p75NTR, MAP2, and PI. As shown in Figure 8F, H2O2 treatment induced significant apoptosis in BF neurons, which was not rescued by t-AP4 treatment (H2O2: 19.7 ± 12.5% survival; H2O2 + t-AP4: 21.5 ± 9.3% survival). Furthermore, the number of surviving cholinergic neurons (MAP2+/p75NTR+) after H2O2 treatment was not changed by t-AP4 treatment (H2O2: 24.6 ± 3.0% survival; H2O2 + t-AP4: 29.9 ± 4.2% survival). It suggests that mGluR7 activation does not protect BF neurons against NMDAR-independent oxidative stress.

Next, we evaluated the protective role of mGluR7 on excitotoxicity and its impairment by Aβ in a more physiological setting in vivo. NMDA (60/mg, 1/μl) was stereotaxically injected to the NBM region (a key component of BF) of WT or APP transgenic mice to induce excitotoxicity. t-AP4 (50 mg/kg) was intraperitoneally injected at 1 h before NMDA injection. One day later, animals were sacrificed and BF slices were stained for ChAT to label surviving cholinergic neurons. As shown in Figure 9A–D, compared with the sham-operated control group, NMDA injection caused a significant loss of NBM cholinergic (ChAT+/H9252) neurons in both WT mice (28.6 ± 10.7% survival) and APP transgenic mice (25.7 ± 9.4% survival). Administration of t-AP4 significantly promoted the survival of NBM cholinergic neurons in WT mice (85.1 ± 8.6% survival), but not in APP transgenic mice (30.3 ± 9.3% survival).

To examine the role of Aβ in the impaired mGluR7 protection of BF cholinergic neurons against excitotoxicity in APP transgenic mice, we injected (i.p.) Aβ antibody (3 mg/kg) to neutralize Aβ, which has been demonstrated to reverse AD-like behavioral deficits (Hartman et al., 2005; Basi et al., 2010). As shown in Figure 9E, F, administration of Aβ antibody significantly rescued the protective effects of t-AP4 on NMDA-induced excitotoxicity in NBM cholinergic neurons of APP transgenic mice (1-AP4 + NMDA: 29.9 ± 6.7% survival; Aβab + 1-AP4 + NMDA: 74.0 ± 12.3% survival). Furthermore, injection (i.v.) of TAT-PAK18 (0.6 pmol/g) to APP transgenic mice partially rescued NBM cholinergic neurons against excitotoxicity (Fig. 9G,H; NMDA: 25.8 ± 8.0% survival; PAK18 + NMDA: 58.1 ± 8.3% survival). It suggests that Aβ prevents mGluR7 from protecting NBM cholinergic neurons against NMDA-induced excitotoxicity via PAK in vivo.

Together, these results suggest that mGluR7 has a protective role against NMDA excitotoxicity, which is selectively impaired by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons. It provides a potential mechanism for the Aβ-induced disruption of calcium homeostasis (Mattson et al., 1993), which might contribute to the selective degeneration of BF cholinergic neurons in AD.

**Discussion**

BF cholinergic neurons provide 70% cholinergic innervations to the whole cortical area (Bigl et al., 1982; Rye et al., 1984). A
selective degeneration of BF cholinergic neurons was observed in AD patients several decades ago (Perry et al., 1978; Whitehouse et al., 1982; Coyle et al., 1983). Since then, numerous studies have suggested a close association between the loss of BF cholinergic neurons and cognitive impairments in aged people and AD patients (McGeer et al., 1984; Vogels et al., 1990; Swaab et al., 1994; Winkler et al., 1995). However, it remains unclear how BF cholinergic neurons are selectively lost at the early stage of AD. The tense glutamatergic innervations (Mesulam and Mufson, 1984; Zaborszky et al., 1997) and the vulnerability to cytotoxic effects of glutamate analogues (Wenk and Willard, 1998) suggest that BF cholinergic neurons may degenerate due to aberrant glutamatergic signaling. Metabotropic glutamate receptors have been found to play an important role in regulating neuronal excitability and synaptic plasticity, and dysfunction of mGluRs in specific brain regions have been implicated in various disorders (Baskys, 1992; Conn and Pin, 1997; Gubellini et al., 2004). In this study, we have found that activation of Group III mGluRs, particularly mGluR7, suppresses NMDAR currents and surface expression in BF cholinergic neurons, which is selectively impaired by Aβ/H9252. Given the important role of glutamate excitotoxicity in AD (Doble, 1999; Cummings, 2004), this Aβ-induced selective disruption of mGluR7 modulation of NMDA signaling could contribute significantly to their selective degeneration in AD conditions. Consistent with this notion, we have found that the protective effect of mGluR7 against NMDA-...
Excitotoxicity. Activity by decreasing its phosphorylation, leading to the increased actin depolymerization, which results in the reduction of NMDAR surface expression and the attenuation of NMDAR-mediated excitotoxicity. The selective disruption of mGluR7 protection against NMDA excitotoxicity by Aβ, by interacting with p75NTR in BF cholinergic neurons, causes the selective increase of PAK activity, leading to the inactivation of cofilin by increasing its phosphorylation. Consequently, actin depolymerization is reduced, which results in the impaired mGluR7 downregulation of NMDARs and the loss of mGluR7 protection against excitotoxicity in these neurons.

Electron microscopy studies have revealed that mGluR7, in addition to the presynaptic presence, is also postsynaptically distributed in various regions (Brandstätter et al., 1996; Kinzie et al., 1997; Kosinski et al., 1999), suggesting that mGluR7 may have postsynaptic functions. Because of the pharmacological profile of mGluRs, previous physiological studies on the role of Group III mGluRs, especially mGluR7 (a low affinity receptor), in synaptic transmission have commonly used high doses (500–1000 μM) of L-AP4 (Gereau and Conn, 1995; Pelkey et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2007; Ayala et al., 2008). By using dissociated neurons, a pure postsynaptic system, we have revealed the postsynaptic action of mGluR7 on NMDAR currents. Our analysis of CV of synaptic currents, an index reflecting presynaptic processes (Faber and Korn, 1991; Manabe et al., 1993; Alger et al., 1996), further suggests that activation of non-mGluR7 Group III mGluRs by L-AP4 (20 μM) purely affects presynaptic release, whereas additional activation of mGluR7 by L-AP4 (200 μM) induces postsynaptic changes. Thus, unlike the presynaptically located mGluRs, which inhibit transmitter release and therefore affect the response of many postsynaptic receptor subtypes, the postsynaptic modulation of NMDARs by mGluR7 provides a way for more specific regulation. Interestingly, only the postsynaptic effect of mGluR7 on NMDAR-mediated ionic or synaptic currents is selectively impaired by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons, suggesting that this effect is pathologically relevant. The selective disruption of mGluR7 protection against NMDA excitotoxicity by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons further suggests that the postsynaptic effect of mGluR7 on NMDARs plays an important role in downregulating NMDA signaling.

How could Aβ impair mGluR7 regulation of NMDAR currents selectively in BF cholinergic neurons? Consistent with our previous studies (Gu et al., 2012), the mGluR7-mediated reduction of NMDAR currents in BF neurons depends on a mechanism involving increased cofilin activity and actin depolymerization. We have found that Aβ treatment induces an increase in cofilin phosphorylation (deactivation) and actin polymerization selectively in cultured BF cholinergic neurons, which consequently blocks mGluR7-induced actin depolymerization in these cells (Fig. 10). Actin serves as both cytoskeleton and scaffolding proteins in neuronal synapses and thus regulates both spine morphology and synaptic plasticity (dos Remedios et al., 2003). Actin cytoskeleton is also critically involved in the trafficking of membrane receptors (Rogers and Gelfand, 2000). Previous studies by several groups, including us, have shown that the trafficking and function of NMDARs are dependent on the integrity of actin cytoskeleton (Rosenmund and Westbrook, 1993; Allison et al., 1998; Gu et al., 2005; Duffney et al., 2013). Aβ-induced dysfunction of the actin system is thought to be critical to Aβ pathology (Song et al., 2002; Maloney et al., 2005). Persistent rod-like inclusions containing cofilin and actin, which disrupt distal neurite functions, are induced by neurodegenerative stimuli in AD conditions (Minamide et al., 2000). Our results have revealed a specific alteration of action dynamics by Aβ in BF cholinergic neurons, which leads to the impairment of mGluR7 downregulation of NMDA signaling in these cells.

To understand how Aβ increases cofilin phosphorylation and actin polymerization in BF cholinergic neurons, we have examined the upstream kinase of cofilin. Cofilin is phosphorylated by LIM kinase (Edwards et al., 1999), which is activated by PAK, a downstream signaling effector of the Rho/Rac family of small GTPases (Manser et al., 1994). Rho GTPases are key signaling proteins that orchestrate coordinated changes in the actin cytoskeleton essential for neurite outgrowth and synaptic connectivity (Hall, 1998; Luo, 2000). Many studies have linked PAK/
cofilin/actin signaling defects and the ensuing abnormal neuronal connectivity and synaptic function to mental retardation (Allen et al., 1998; Ramakers, 2002; Hayashi et al., 2004) and AD (Minamidate et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2006). In this study, we have found that Aβ treatment induces PAK activation selectively in BF cholinergic neurons, which is responsible for Aβ-induced cofilin phosphorylation in these cells. It suggests that PAK signaling may play a unique role in the pathology of cholinergic dysfunction in AD patients.

How does Aβ selectively activate PAK in BF cholinergic neurons? We have found that it is through a mechanism depending on p75NTR. p75NTR can mediate the activation of Rac (Harrington et al., 2002), one of the upstream molecules of PAK. Because of the highest expression of p75NTR in BF cholinergic neurons in adult brain (Gibbs et al., 1989) and its ability to bind to Aβ (Yaar et al., 1997; Perini et al., 2002; Costantini et al., 2005), p75NTR is likely to play a key role in the selective cholinergic degeneration in AD. Previous studies have shown that p75NTR mediates various cellular signaling pathways in promoting both cell death and cell survival in different situations after Aβ treatment (Yaar et al., 1997; Perini et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2003; Hashimoto et al., 2004; Costantini et al., 2005). Our results have revealed the specific involvement of p75NTR in Aβ-induced PAK activation in BF cholinergic neurons, suggesting that p75NTR may determine the unique action of Aβ on actin dynamics and actin-based regulation of synaptic proteins in these cells.
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