The stressed synapse: the impact of stress and glucocorticoids on glutamate transmission
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Abstract | Mounting evidence suggests that acute and chronic stress, especially the stress-induced release of glucocorticoids, induces changes in glutamate neurotransmission in the prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus, thereby influencing some aspects of cognitive processing. In addition, dysfunction of glutamatergic neurotransmission is increasingly considered to be a core feature of stress-related mental illnesses. Recent studies have shed light on the mechanisms by which stress and glucocorticoids affect glutamate transmission, including effects on glutamate release, glutamate receptors and glutamate clearance and metabolism. This new understanding provides insights into normal brain functioning, as well as the pathophysiology and potential new treatments of stress-related neuropsychiatric disorders.

Selye originally described stress as a nonspecific response of the body to any demand placed upon it1. Now it is customary to speak of a stressor as an event or experience that threatens the ability of an individual to adapt and cope2. As a result, the stressor evokes a stress response, which involves the release of hormones and other cellular mediators that can promote adaptation when the response is efficiently turned on and shut off, but which can also promote pathophysiological processes when the response is overused or dysregulated3. The brain is central in the adaptation to stress, as it perceives and determines what is threatening, and orchestrates the behavioural and physiological responses to the stressor4. The brain is also a target of stress, with animal models showing stress-induced remodelling of brain architecture, such as dendritic atrophy and loss of dendritic spines in neuronal populations5–7. The effects of stress on the brain have long been associated with the onset and exacerbation of several neuropsychiatric disorders.

Depending on the age of the animal at the time of exposure and the duration and type of stressor experienced, stress also has marked and often divergent effects on learning and memory8–9. In relation to these effects, stress is known to influence several distinct cognitive processes, including spatial and declarative memory (which involves the hippocampus), the memory of emotionally arousing experiences and fear (which involves the amygdala), and executive functions and fear extinction (which involves the prefrontal cortex (PFC)). This Review focuses primarily on the PFC, as it may have an important role in mediating the effects of stress on both cognition and psychopathology. The PFC is an essential component of a neural circuit for working memory10–11, which is the ability to keep in mind something that has just occurred or to bring to mind events in the absence of direct stimulation. PFC neurons show spatially tuned, persistent activity during the delay period of working memory tasks, a phenomenon that is thought to arise from recurrent excitatory connections involving AMPA receptor (AMPAR) and NMDA receptor (NMDAR) synapses onto PFC pyramidal neurons12,13. The PFC is also essential for behavioural adaptation, as it inhibits inappropriate actions and allows for a flexible regulation of behaviour that enables a proper response to changes in the environment. Multiple lines of evidence from rodent and human studies also implicate the ventromedial PFC as the major site controlling extinction of conditioned fear13,14. Moreover, impaired PFC function and plasticity is thought to be a core pathological feature of several neuropsychiatric disorders15–17. As stress seems to induce some effects in the PFC that are unique to this region and other effects that are common to the hippocampus and other regions, regional comparisons will be made where possible (see Supplementary information S1 (table)).

For the purpose of clarity and focus, and to highlight the importance of several recent findings, this
Review will mainly address the effects of stress and glucocorticoids on the glutamatergic neurotransmitter system within the PFC (Box 1). However, it must be acknowledged that a host of neurotransmitter and neuromodulatory systems in various brain regions have been shown to be crucial in mediating the effects of stress (see Refs 10,18,19 for recent reviews), with some having very clear effects on glutamatergic neurotransmission.

Glutamatergic neurotransmission occurs predominantly within the confines of a tripartite synapse (Fig. 1). Several points of regulatory control within the synapse — including basal and stimulated presynaptic glutamate release, postsynaptic receptor trafficking and function, and transporter-mediated uptake and recycling of glutamate through the glutamate–glutamine cycle — are sensitive to regulation by stress and glucocorticoids. Here we review studies exploring the effects of stress and glucocorticoids on each of these components of the synapse, and attempt to synthesize the findings to understand how stress can have beneficial effects on cognitive function, but can also result in noxious effects that in turn might lead to the development of neuropsychiatric disorders.

**The glutamate tripartite synapse**

In addition to its role as the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain, glutamate is a key intermediary metabolite in the detoxification of ammonia and a building block used in the synthesis of peptides and proteins. Consistent with its multiple intracellular functions, glutamate is present at extremely high concentrations within the cells of the CNS. The high concentrations of intracellular glutamate require that extremely tight regulatory processes be in place to limit extracellular levels.
and modulate glutamate receptor activity in order to ensure optimal neurotransmission and prevent potential excitotoxicity [Fig. 1].

Glutamate can be synthesized de novo from glucose in astrocytes via the Krebs cycle, followed by transamination or reductive amination of α-oxoglutarate, and it can be recycled through the glutamate–glutamine cycle. Exocytic vesicular release of glutamate, which underlies the vast majority of excitatory neurotransmission in the brain, is a strictly regulated process in which the synaptic vesicles that store glutamate merge and then fuse with the presynaptic membrane in response to stimulation. In glial cells, glutamate is converted into glutamine by glutaminase and is thought to mediate the fusion of synaptic vesicles with the presynaptic membrane.

Glutamate is cleared from the extracellular space by high-affinity excitatory amino acid transporters (EAATs), which are located on neighbouring glial cells (EAAT1 and EAAT2) and, to some extent, on neurons (EAAT3 and EAAT4). In glial cells, glutamate is converted to glutamine by glutamine synthetase and is subsequently released by System N transporters and taken up by neurons through System A sodium-coupled amino acid transporters to complete the glutamate–glutamine cycle.

**Stress effects on glutamate release**

Acute stress and glucocorticoids increase extracellular glutamate levels. Glucocorticoids secreted during the diurnal rhythm and during stress [BOX 1] affect the basal release of glutamate in several limbic and cortical areas, including the hippocampus, amygdala and PFC. Converging lines of evidence from animal studies suggest that acute exposure to stress or administration of glucocorticoids rapidly increases glutamate release in these brain areas. For example, in vivo microdialysis studies have shown that exposure of rats to tail-pinches, forced-swim or restraint stress induces a marked, transient increase of extracellular glutamate levels in the brain. Stress effects on glutamate release are discussed in more detail in a following section.
However, it has been suggested that a large portion of the amino acid neurotransmitters sampled by microdialysis is of non-neuronal origin; that is, they may result from reverse transporter activity and/or are derived from glial cells. Nevertheless, recent evidence from rapid microelectrode measurements suggests that tail-pincha-stress-induced glutamate release is largely of neuronal origin.

In different studies using patch-clamp recordings, application of 100 nM corticosterone (which is the major glucocorticoid in rodents) to hippocampal slices rapidly enhanced the frequency of miniature excitatory postsynaptic potentials in CA1 pyramidal neurons and reduced paired-pulse facilitation (PPF; a form of synaptic facilitation that reflects presynaptic release), suggesting that corticosterone increases glutamate release probability in this area. This rapid action of corticosterone was found to be non-genomic and mediated by a mineralocorticoid receptor located in or near the plasma membrane (BOX 1).

Stress also has an effect on depolarization-evoked release of glutamate in the PFC and frontal cortex, as shown in studies using isolated synaptic terminals (synaptosomes) in superfusion. This method allows precise and selective measurement of endogenous or labelled neurotransmitter release (BOX 2). Rats subjected...
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Figure 2 | Acute stress rapidly enhances glutamate release in prefrontal and frontal cortex. Acute footshock stress enhances depolarization-evoked release of glutamate from presynaptic terminals of rat prefrontal and frontal cortex. The acute stress response involves a rapid increase of circulating levels of corticosterone, which binds to membrane-located glucocorticoid receptors. This induces a rapid glucocorticoid receptor-mediated increase of presynaptic SNARE protein complexes (which mediate fusion of synaptic vesicles) in the presynaptic membrane.

Because the number of SNARE complexes per vesicle is reputed to be constant, this suggests that acute stress induces an increase of the readily releasable pool of glutamate vesicles. The signalling pathways downstream of glucocorticoid receptor activation that induce the increase of the readily releasable pool are unknown (as indicated by '?').

to acute footshock stress in a paradigm that induces learned helplessness showed a marked, rapid change in the depolarization-evoked release of glutamate. The increased release of glutamate in PFC and frontal cortex was dependent on glucocorticoid receptor activation. The short latency of the effect suggested that the receptor acted non-genomically, although the results of patch-clamp recordings (see below) are also compatible with the timing of genomic actions. Thus, both genomic and non-genomic pathways may be involved in the effect of stress on glutamate release. A similar rapid effect of corticosterone, mediated by glucocorticoid receptors, has been shown in synaptosomes isolated from rat hippocampus. As shown by recent findings, recruitment of endocannabinoid signalling could be involved in the enhancement of glutamate release induced by corticosterone.

The method of synaptosomes in superfusion involves using synaptic terminals detached from whole tissue. Measuring release of endogenous glutamate can also be performed in slices of whole PFC tissue, in which the neural circuitry is preserved. Patch-clamp recordings from PFC slices from rats subjected to footshock stress showed that exposure to stress increased the amplitude of spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic potentials in pyramidal neurons, an effect that was abolished by pretreating the rats with the antidepressant desipramine. Moreover, PPF and its calcium-dependence were decreased in PFC slices from stressed rats. Combined, these results are consistent with increased glutamate release, as well as with increased activation of postsynaptic ionotropic glutamate receptors, in the PFC of stressed rats.

In principle, the acute-stress-induced enhancement of stimulus-evoked release of glutamate may be achieved by increasing the number of synaptic vesicles that are already docked to the membrane and ready for release — the readily releasable pool (RRP) of vesicles — or by increasing the probability of release of synaptic vesicles, or both. At the level of presynaptic machinery, footshock stress induced an increase in the number of SNARE complexes bound to the presynaptic membrane from PFC neurons (Fig. 2), suggesting that at least the first mechanism is involved. Indeed, inducing glutamate release with hyperosmotic sucrose from synaptosomes in superfusion from the PFC and frontal cortex of rats exposed to footshock stress revealed that the RRP was about twofold that of control rats. Preliminary data obtained using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy to measure the recruitment to the membrane of synaptic vesicles labelled with the styryl dye FM1-43 also suggest a greater RRP after in vitro application of corticosterone to PFC and frontal cortex synaptosomes.

Interestingly, the effect of acute stress on depolarization-evoked glutamate release in the PFC could be prevented by treating the rats with various classes of antidepressant drugs, each with different primary mechanisms of action, for 2 weeks before the stress exposure. The mechanism whereby antidepressant drugs block the presynaptic effect of stress on depolarization-evoked glutamate release is unknown at present. Stress-induced serum corticosterone levels were similar in antidepressant-treated and untreated rats, suggesting that the drugs do not alter corticosterone release.
Instead, they might affect intracellular signalling downstream of glucocorticoid receptor activation by corticosterone or act directly on the glutamate release machinery. However, the number of SNARE complexes was increased in all stressed rats, regardless of whether they had been previously treated with antidepressants or not. This suggests that the antidepressant drugs acted downstream from the assembly of SNARE complex. For example, they could act at the level of interaction of regulatory and fusogenic proteins with the SNARE complex, modulating the function of the complex itself[60–63]. It has been argued[64] that the effect of antidepressants on glutamate release in the PFC could be involved in the long-term anxiolytic and antidepressant action of these drugs, because they are able to dampen glutamate release in response to acute stress[65].

**Chronic stress and glutamate release.** As discussed above, stress acutely enhances glutamate release in the PFC and hippocampus. However, the effects of chronic stress on glutamate release are still mostly unknown. It has been shown that three repeated tail–pinch stressors (at 2.5 hour intervals) in rats produce transient glutamate effluxes in the hippocampus that remain constant in duration and magnitude, whereas in the PFC they decrease upon subsequent applications[66]. These results suggest a selective adaptation of glutamate release to stress in the PFC. A different study tested the response to an acute stressor in rats subjected to 21-day chronic restraint stress. After a subsequent single stress challenge, extracellular glutamate levels (measured by microdialysis) in CA1 remained high in chronically stressed rats compared to naive rats that were subjected to the same acute stressor[67], suggesting an altered regulation of the termination of glutamate release after chronic exposure to stressful stimuli.

**Stress effects on ionotropic glutamate receptors**

**Stress and glucocorticoid effects on glutamate transmission.** In addition to causing a rapid and transient increase in presynaptic glutamate release in the PFC and hippocampus, stress has a delayed and sustained impact on PFC post-synaptic glutamate receptor-mediated responses[67,68]. Electrophysiological recordings have shown that both NMDAR- and AMPAR-mediated synaptic currents are markedly increased in PFC pyramidal neurons in various models of acute stress[69]. This effect is observed >1 hour after stress, is sustained for 24 hours after the cessation of stress and can be mimicked by short-term corticosterone treatment in vitro[67–69]. The acute stress- and corticosterone-induced enhancement of basal glutamate transmission is caused by an increased surface expression of NMDARs and AMPARs at the postsynaptic plasma membrane[67,68].

The delayed effect of acute stress or corticosterone treatment on basal PFC glutamate transmission is mediated by intracellular glucocorticoid receptors[67,68]. This is in contrast to the rapid increase of glutamate release in CA1 hippocampus, which is mediated by membrane-bound mineralocorticoid receptors[69,70]; the difference could be due to the low expression of mineralocorticoid receptors in the PFC[71]. There are other regional differences in the effects of stress on glutamate transmission. For example, acute stress or corticosterone treatment increases AMPAR and NMDAR responses to a similar extent in the PFC[65,66], but selectively enhances AMPAR-mediated currents in CA1 neurons[68,72], midbrain dopamine neurons[73] and nucleus accumbens shell neurons[74]. Furthermore, the potentiating effects of acute stress on AMPAR and NMDAR responses in the PFC are independent of each other[68], which is different from the classic NMDAR-dependent long-term potentiation (LTP) of AMPAR responses in the hippocampus.

The impact of chronic stress on basal PFC glutamate transmission is less well understood. A recent study showed that 1 week of repeated restraint or unpredictable stress leads to a marked reduction of AMPAR- and NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents in PFC pyramidal neurons from juvenile male rats, which sustains for a few days after stress extinction[75]. No change in basal synaptic currents was observed in striatal neurons, CA1 pyramidal neurons[76] or dentate gyrus neurons[77]. This suggests that the PFC is more sensitive than the striatum or hippocampus to chronic stress, perhaps especially during the adolescent period, when this region is still undergoing substantial development[78].

In the hippocampus[79,80] and PFC, stress also affects synaptic plasticity — that is, the ability to potentiate (LTP) or depress (long-term depression (LTD)) the efficacy of glutamate transmission. Acute stress inhibits LTD in the amygdala–PFC pathway, in parallel with the suppression of hippocampal LTD [77]. The acute stress-induced impairment of LTD in the hippocampus–PFC pathway is prevented by antidepressant treatment[79] or glucocorticoid receptor blockade[79]. Moreover, prior stress exposure prevents the ability of a second episode of stress to suppress LTD in the PFC[80] — a form of emotional metaplasticity that forms the neural basis of stress experience-dependent fear memory[81]. Acute stress has divergent effects on LTD: it enhances mGluR-dependent LTD in the hippocampus[82], but prevents serotonin-facilitated LTD induction in the PFC[83].

Chronic stress impairs LTD in the thalamus–PFC pathway[84] and LTD in the hippocampus–PFC connection[85], and these effects are associated with the disruption of PFC-dependent tasks, such as working memory and behavioural flexibility[86]. Catecholaminergic facilitation of LTD in the infralimbic region of the medial PFC is also impaired by chronic stress and restored by post-stress recovery[87]. These changes in synaptic plasticity could be due to the altered structure of glutamatergic synapses — such as atrophy, dendritic retraction or spine loss — which have been associated with chronic stress[85,86] (BOX 2). Alternatively, they could be due to chronic-stress-induced loss of glutamate receptors and diminished glutamate transmission in PFC neurons. In line with this view, the synaptic inhibition in the medial PFC and the fear extinction deficit that have been observed in rats with repeated early stress exposure are ameliorated by the NMDAR agonist δ-cycloserine[87].
**Box 3 | Structural changes induced by stress**
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Until recently, much of our information on stress, excitatory amino acids (EAAs) and synaptic function has come from studies on the hippocampus, which expresses both mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors. In the hippocampus, EAAs and glucocorticoids mediate biphasic effects on structure and function (see the figure, part a). Acutely (that is, over hours), low to moderate physiological levels of adrenal steroids and EAAs enhance synaptic function and certain types of memory, whereas higher levels of both mediators have the opposite effect\(^7\). More chronically (that is, over days to weeks), adrenal steroids and EAAs mediate adaptive plasticity involving spine synapse turnover, dendritic shrinkage and suppression of adult neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus\(^1\). However, when there is a sudden insult, such as a seizure, stroke or other head trauma, EAAs and glucocorticoids induce permanent, irreversible hippocampal damage\(^2\). Acute and chronic stress also induce structural changes in other brain areas. Chronic stress causes shrinkage of neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex (PFC), simplification of dendrites and reduction of spine density, whereas the same stress regimen causes the growth of neurons in the basolateral amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex (see the figure, part b)\(^2\). With the cessation of stress, these alterations are reversible\(^3\), except possibly in the basolateral amygdala, where changes persisted for at least 30 days after chronic stress\(^4\). Moreover, age is a factor in recovery, as the ageing medial PFC fails to show recovery in the same timeframe as occurs in younger animals\(^5\).

Structural plasticity can also occur after acute stress. A single traumatic stressor causes basolateral amygdala neurons to grow new spines over the next 10 days, but there is no growth of dendrites\(^6\). Furthermore, a single, high dose of injected corticosterone causes delayed dendritic growth over the next 10 days\(^7\), mimicking the effects of chronic stress, although we do not know what happens to spines on those dendrites.

As to the mechanism underlying these effects, we know most about the hippocampus. Here, EAAs and glucocorticoids synergize to produce the effects summarized in part a of the figure\(^8\). EAAs transporters in astrocytes and neurons play an important part in this\(^9\). In addition, in the CA3 region of the hippocampus, the effects of chronic stress on the shrinkage of dendrites are mediated in part by brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)\(^10\), whereas in the CA1 region of the hippocampus, the effects of chronic stress on the loss of spines are mediated in part by tissue plasminogen activator secretion by EAA-releasing neurons\(^11\) and by BDNF\(^12\). Effects of chronic stress on dendrite shrinkage in CA3 are blocked by NMDA receptor blockers\(^13\), and NMDA receptor blockade also prevents chronic-stress-induced shrinkage of medial PFC neurons\(^14\).

**Stress and glucocorticoid effects on glutamate receptor trafficking and mobility.** Emerging evidence shows that AMPARs and NMDARs undergo dynamic exocytosis–endocytosis and lateral diffusion — processes that play a key part in controlling excitatory synaptic efficacy\(^15,16\). This suggests that stress and glucocorticoids may affect glutamate transmission through altering glutamate receptor trafficking and mobility. A glucocorticoid receptor-mediated, slowly developing increase in the surface mobility of GluR2-containing AMPARs has been found in cultured hippocampal neurons after corticosterone treatment, which may underlie the facilitating effect of glucocorticoids on the recruitment or endocytosis of AMPARs during bi-directional synaptic plasticity\(^17,18\). Consistent with this possibility, mice trained in the spatial water maze task under stressful conditions show enhanced synaptic expression of AMPAR GluR2 subunits in the hippocampus compared to those trained under non-stressed conditions. This enhanced expression may underlie the facilitation of spatial learning and memory by stress in these mice\(^19\). In the rat PFC, the surface expression of NMDAR and AMPAR subunits, as well as the density of synaptic NMDAR and AMPAR clusters, is substantially elevated by acute stress or a short corticosterone treatment\(^20,21\). This suggests that the acute stress-induced synaptic potentiation in the PFC may be attributed to the increased delivery of glutamate receptors from intracellular or extrasynaptic surface pools to the synaptic membrane.

The impact of chronic stress on postsynaptic glutamate receptors in the PFC (and other brain areas) is less well understood (see Supplementary information S1 (table)). A history of chronic corticosterone exposure has been found to impair fear extinction in rats, with an associated reduction of NR2B (also known as NMDAR2B or GRIN2B) and GluR2 and/or GluR3 subunit expression selectively in the ventromedial PFC\(^22\). Recently, it was shown that repeated restraint or unpredictable stress in rats causes a loss of surface AMPAR and NMDAR subunits in PFC neurons\(^23\), which contrasts with the facilitating effect of acute stress on glutamate receptor surface expression\(^24,25\). The level of total GluR1 and NR1 (also known as NMDAR1 or GRIN1) subunits in the PFC is also markedly reduced by exposure to repeated stress\(^26\). Thus, disrupted membrane trafficking and/or altered degradation or synthesis of glutamate receptors may contribute to the loss of PFC glutamate transmission in chronically stressed animals.

**Intracellular signalling underlying stress and glucocorticoid effects on glutamate receptors.** The classical glucocorticoid receptor is a ligand-inducible nuclear transcription factor\(^27\). The delayed potentiating effect of short-term corticosterone treatment on excitatory postsynaptic responses in the PFC is abolished by glucocorticoid receptor antagonists and inhibitors of gene transcription or protein translation\(^28\), suggesting that it is a glucocorticoid receptor-mediated genomic effect. Serum- and glucocorticoid-inducible kinases (SGKs), a family of immediate early genes activated by glucocorticoid receptors, have been found to control the enhancing
Figure 3 | Stress induces changes in glutamate receptor trafficking and function in the prefrontal cortex. In response to acute stress, activation of glucocorticoid receptors triggers the upregulation of transcription of the genes encoding serum- and glucocorticoid-inducible kinase 1 (SGK1) and SGK3 (REF 68). SGK1 and SGK3 phosphorylate GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) and thereby increase the formation of GDI–RAB4 complexes 69. Consequently, RAB4-mediated recycling of NMDA receptors (NMDARs) and AMPA receptors (AMPARs) from early endosomes to the plasma membrane is enhanced, and this results in increased glutamate receptor expression at the synaptic membrane and potentiated glutamate transmission 67,68. SGK expression in CA1 facilitates memory consolidation of slow-learning rats, and enhanced learning task, SGK1 expression levels are four times 68. Interestingly, during the water maze learning task, SGK1 expression levels are four times higher in the hippocampus of fast-learning rats than in the hippocampus of slow-learning rats, and enhanced SGK expression in CA1 facilitates memory consolidation of spatial learning in rats 67. Thus, SGKs potentially have a crucial role in glucocorticoid-induced memory facilitation by increasing the abundance of glutamate receptors in the synaptic membrane of neurons in limbic regions controlling cognition 67,68,92.

The key molecule linking glucocorticoid receptors and SGK activation to the increased surface expression of NMDARs and AMPARs following acute stress is RAB4 (REFS 68,69), a member of the RAB family that mediates receptor recycling between early endosomes and the plasma membrane 69. RAB proteins coordinate all of the intracellular transport steps in the exocytic and endocytic pathways 69. Many RAB proteins are regulated by the GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) 70, which functions as a cytosolic chaperone of RABs 101. SGK phosphorylates GDI and thereby promotes the formation of the GDI–RAB4 complex, thus facilitating the functional cycle of RAB4 and RAB4-mediated recycling of AMPARs to the synaptic membrane 69 (FIG. 3).

Whether other signalling pathways are also involved in effects of stress and glucocorticoids on glutamate receptors awaits investigation. In the hippocampus, a single corticosterone injection fails to upregulate Sgk1 mRNA 102. However, acute stress has been found to trigger activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)–early growth response protein 1 (EGR1) pathway through a glucocorticoid receptor-mediated genomic mechanism 103, and inhibition of the hippocampal MAPK pathway abolishes the glucocorticoid-induced increase in contextual fear conditioning 104. Moreover, in the PFC — but not the hippocampus — of mice, acute restraint stress causes an increase in the expression of Arc (activity-regulated cytoskeletal-associated protein) 104, an immediate early gene that has a key role in activity-dependent synaptic modification 105,106. In addition, changes in adhesion molecules could potentially be involved in the effect of short-term glucocorticoids on excitatory synapses 52.

The intracelluar signalling pathway that mediates the effect of chronic stress on glutamate receptors remains largely unknown. One key mechanism for remodelling synaptic networks and altering synaptic transmission is post-translational modification of glutamate receptors and their interacting proteins through the ubiquitin pathway at the postsynaptic membrane 107. Recently it was found that the loss of glutamate receptors in rat PFC neurons after repeated stress is attributable to increased ubiquitin–proteasome-dependent degradation of GluR1 and NR1 subunits 53.

**Implications for cognitive function.** Given the role of glutamate receptor trafficking in learning, memory and other behaviours 108,109, it is plausible that glucocorticoids regulate PFC-mediated cognitive processes by influencing postsynaptic glutamate receptor channels. Indeed, the glucocorticoid receptor–SGK-induced enhancement of PFC glutamate transmission may underlie the facilitated working memory induced by acute stress: exposing rodents to an acute stressor improves their performance in a working memory task, and this effect is abolished by blocking glucocorticoid receptor or SGK function in the PFC 70,76. This finding fits well with acute stress- or glucocorticoid-induced facilitation of working memory (which involves the PFC) and declarative memory (which involves the hippocampus) observed in humans 110,111. By contrast, chronic stress or glucocorticoid treatment impairs PFC-dependent cognitive functions in rats 5,113 and humans 114,115, and likewise causes deficits in hippocampus-dependent cognitive processes 116. It awaits investigation whether the suppression of PFC glutamate transmission by repeated stress...
underlies the working memory impairment and other cognitive symptoms that are often observed in stress-related mental disorders.

**Stress effects on clearance and metabolism**

Most studies examining the effects of stress on brain structure and physiology focus on neurons. However, emerging data suggest that stress may also affect glial cell function, including glutamate clearance and metabolism in these cells. These data are discussed below.

Glutamate transporters on glial and, to a lesser extent, neuronal membranes rapidly bind synaptic glutamate, thereby influencing synaptic transmission and plasticity. The locations of the transporters within the tripartite synapse are optimized for preventing glutamate spillover and activation of extrasynaptic glutamate receptors. Consistent with this function, in the hippocampus, glial glutamate transporter activity influences the level of stimulation of peri- and extrasynaptic NMDARs and mGluRs, but has little direct effect on synaptic AMPA-mediated excitatory postsynaptic potentials.

The effects of astrocytic remodelling on glutamatergic neurotransmission in the hypothalamus of lactating rats provides a clear example of how reduced astrocytic coverage of synapses can have dramatic effects on extrasynaptic glutamatergic neurotransmission. Modulation of the expression and function of EAAT2 (the major glial glutamate transporter, expressed predominantly in glia) can affect neuronal vulnerability to excitotoxic events, which is thought to be mediated by the relative activation of extrasynaptic NMDARs. Moreover, modulation of EAAT2 expression affects hippocampal LTD. As the transporters are generally highly efficient in clearing glutamate from the extracellular space, any effects of altered EAAT function are likely to be most pronounced under conditions of elevated glutamate release, such as under stress. Considering that individual astrocytes serve large numbers of synapses, with minimal overlap in the synapses served by neighbouring astrocytes, the failure of a single astrocyte could impair glutamate removal at thousands of synapses.

**Effects of stress and glucocorticoids on glial cell number.**

Studies published over a decade ago revealed the potential contributions of glial cell pathology to stress-related psychiatric disorders such as major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder. For example, PFC regions of post-mortem brain samples from individuals suffering from mood disorders showed markedly reduced glial cell numbers and density. Depressed subjects also showed reduced immuno-staining of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) — the main intermediate filament protein in mature astrocyte — in the PFC and other brain regions, including the amygdala and cerebellum. Classically, GFAP has been used as a marker for mature astrocytes, but more recent studies that highlight the complex relationship between GFAP expression and various astrocytic functions suggest that the expression may be heavily physiologically regulated. It is therefore unclear whether the findings in post-mortem brain tissue from patients reflect a loss of GFAP-expressing cells or a reduction in the amount of GFAP expressed by the cells. As astrocytes have a central role in amino acid neurotransmitter metabolism, these findings — which are suggestive of glial cell pathology — were rapidly associated with emerging reports of abnormal GABA and glutamate content in the brains of patients with mood disorders.

Rodent models assessing the impact of stress on glial cells have largely focused on the effects of chronic stress. Chronic unpredictable stress was associated with reduced proliferation of glial progenitor cells, decreased numbers of GFAP-positive cells and reduced expression of GFAP in the prelimbic cortex. Rats exposed to early life stress had a reduced density of GFAP-immunoreactive astrocytes in the frontal cortex in adulthood, demonstrating the potential long-term effects of stress on glial cells. Chronic stress-induced reductions in GFAP-immunoreactive astrocyte levels were also found in the hippocampus in rats and tree shrews. Another recent study that used a short-term repeated stress exposure accompanied by a blast-induced traumatic brain injury found inflammation and increased GFAP immunoreactivity in the PFC and hippocampus in animals that had experienced both the chronic stress and the trauma but not in animals that had been exposed to the stress alone. This finding suggests that physical injury or inflammation may stimulate a region of reactive gliosis that can be associated with an increased GFAP expression. This reactive gliosis-associated increase in GFAP expression could provide an explanation for the increased GFAP expression observed under certain stress conditions, such as those involving repeated restraint stress.

Glucocorticoids can alter the level and expression of GFAP in the PFC and other regions in rat brain, with both short- and long-term corticosterone treatments resulting in >20% reduction in GFAP levels. These changes were paralleled by changes in GFAP mRNA expression, indicating a genomic effect. This effect of glucocorticoids was not generalized to other astrocytic proteins or major structural neuronal proteins. However, later studies that reported increased levels of GFAP expression in the hippocampus after chronic glucocorticoid treatment suggest that the effects are diverse and complex, with glucocorticoids potentially having regional and dose-related effects on GFAP expression.

**Effects of stress and glucocorticoids on glial cell glutamate uptake.**

Changes in GFAP expression in the brains of stressed animals do not provide direct evidence of altered glutamate clearance (and, by extension, glutamate neurotransmission). However, there is evidence to suggest that GFAP can modulate glutamate uptake activity through effects on transporter trafficking and surface expression. A few studies have provided more direct measures of the effect of stress on glutamate uptake. An early study that used synaptosomal preparations from acutely restrained rats suggested that acute stress increases glutamate uptake in the frontal cortex and hippocampus. Later studies have yielded mixed results.
in the hippocampus following acute stress exposure, showing either a glucocorticoid-mediated suppression of glutamate uptake\textsuperscript{154} or no effect on uptake\textsuperscript{155}.

In relation to chronic stress, one study showed a decrease in cortical glutamate uptake following 21 days of restraint-stress exposure\textsuperscript{156}. A recent study also found a reduction in hippocampal glutamate clearance in hippocampal slice preparations from chronically stressed rats as well as evidence of increased glutamate release from hippocampal synaptosomes\textsuperscript{157}. Another recent study using slice preparations from hippocampal, striatal and PFC regions reported no change in glutamate clearance immediately or 24 hours after various types of footshock exposure. However, in this study, glutamate uptake was increased in hippocampal slices taken from helpless animals immediately after footshock exposure, whereas reduced rates of glutamate uptake in all three brain regions was reported in helpless animals 21 days after exposure\textsuperscript{158}. This suggests a potential biphasic time course of the regulation of glutamate uptake following stress exposure. Yet another study, which demonstrated a negative correlation between EAAT2 expression levels in the hippocampus, occipital and retrosplenial granular cortex of rats and the level of helplessness 5 weeks after exposure to footshock stress\textsuperscript{159}, provides evidence that the stress-related effects on EAAT2 function are long-lasting and associated with behavioural changes. Together with the findings discussed above, these data suggest that chronic stress impairs both the mechanisms that regulate glutamate release and the mechanisms that regulate glutamate clearance. These longer-term effects on the balance of glutamate release and uptake following chronic stress could contribute to the finding of sustained elevations of extracellular glutamate concentrations in the hippocampus of rats subjected to chronic stress, as discussed above\textsuperscript{66}.

Emerging evidence suggests that glucocorticoids may have a role in mediating the effects of stress on EAAT2 regulation. Rats chronically exposed to high levels of glucocorticoids exhibited increases in the expression of GLT1b (an isoform of EAAT2 (which is also known as GLT1)) in the hippocampus\textsuperscript{160}. In addition, activation of glucocorticoid receptors increased EAAT2 expression and enhanced glutamate uptake in primary astrocytes derived from cortical tissue\textsuperscript{161}. However, the complex and seemingly biphasic regulation of EAAT2 by glucocorticoids is highlighted by the fact that EAAT2 mRNA expression was increased by adrenalectomy and

---

**Figure 4 | Chronic stress affects glial cells and glutamate metabolism.** Accumulating evidence suggests that chronic stress has significant effects on glial cell function. Several studies have demonstrated decreases in the expression of glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP) and in the number of GFAP-expressing glial cells in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex following exposure to chronic stress\textsuperscript{142}. Chronic stress may also impair the ability to effectively clear synaptic glutamate (Glu) through glial excitatory amino acid transporters (EAATs). This may lead to glutamate spillover and, ultimately, increased activation of extrasynaptic glutamate receptors, resulting in excitotoxicity, a process that has been proposed to occur in several neurodegenerative disorders\textsuperscript{172,173} and possibly after exposure to chronic stress\textsuperscript{174}. Finally, chronic stress may decrease the rates of flux through the glutamate–glutamine (Gln) cycle, resulting in reduced glutamate metabolism\textsuperscript{175}. AMPAR, AMPA receptor; mGluR, metabotropic glutamate receptor; NMDAR, NMDA receptor; vGluT, vesicular glutamate transporter.
inhibited by subsequent glucocorticoid replacement, whereas exposure to chronically elevated levels of glucocorticoids increased EAAT2 protein expression throughout the hippocampus.

Other processes could also mediate the stress-induced effects on glutamate uptake. Highly conserved promoter sequences, including those for epithelial growth factor (EGF), transforming growth factor-α (TGFα) and tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα), have been identified in the regulatory region of EAAT2 in rodents and humans. Circulating TNFα levels in particular increase with chronic stress and have been shown to downregulate astrocyte-mediated glutamate transport through the direct downregulation of EAAT2 (REFS 164, 165). In vitro studies also show that neuronal activity is linked to genomic and non-genomic regulation of astrocyte-specific synaptic functions, such as trafficking and membrane stabilization or clustering of EAAT2 protein. Thus, extracellular levels of glutamate can act to rapidly increase the function of glutamate transporters to limit excitotoxicity due to excessive glutamate release. Interestingly, post-mortem studies showed lower mRNA expression levels of SLC1A2 and SLC1A3 (the genes encoding the glial glutamate transporters) in the PFC and locus coeruleus of patients with major depressive disorder, as well as lower EAAT2 immuno-reactivity in the orbitofrontal cortex of depressed individuals compared with controls.

Effects of stress on glutamate metabolism. Post-mortem studies of the PFC of depressed individuals have shown reduced levels of glial expression of glutamate-ammonia ligase (GLUL) — the gene that encodes glutamine synthetase (which converts glutamate into glutamine) and a trend for reduced glutamine synthetase immunoreactivity in the orbitofrontal cortex of patients with major depressive disorder compared to controls. However, few studies have examined the effects of stress on glutamine synthetase regulation. Rats exposed to chronic unpredictable stress showed reductions in glutamate-glutamine cycling in the PFC. There was no evidence of reduced glutamine synthetase expression, suggesting that other, non-transcriptional regulatory factors may mediate the stress-induced changes. It is also possible that other steps in the metabolic cycle, such as the decreased uptake of glutamate into the glial cell, as discussed above, may contribute to the stress effect on glutamate metabolism.

In summary, the evidence suggests that acute stress and acute glucocorticoid treatments induce adaptive changes that lead to increased glutamate clearance, thereby preventing spillover of the excessive release of presynaptic glutamate into the extrasynaptic space. However, chronic stress, and possibly chronic glucocorticoid treatment, seem to result in sustained glial cell alterations and reduced rates of amino acid neurotransmitter cycling in the PFC, suggesting that chronic stress causes a reduced glutamate clearance capacity relative to the levels of glutamate release. Increased levels of extrasynaptic glutamate could lead to cellular damage through activation of extrasynaptic glutamate receptors, resulting in disruption of cellular functions and neurodegeneration. This process could be involved in the cellular changes and volume reductions that are commonly observed in the PFC and hippocampus of patients with stress-related disorders, such as mood and anxiety disorders. In a preliminary report, extracellular hippocampal glutamate content, as measured by in vivo microdialysis, was correlated with reduced hippocampal volume in individuals with seizure disorders, lending support to the hypothesis outlined above, although it does not prove that the relationship between extrasynaptic levels and hippocampal volumes is causal.

Conclusions and future directions

Stress has been shown to induce complex structural changes in various brain regions (BOX 3). With regard to the glutamatergic synapse, stress can have either plasticity-enhancing effects that are associated with improved cognition and function or noxious effects that are associated with impaired function, depending on the type, intensity and duration of the event, and this may contribute to the pathophysiology of psychiatric disorders (see Supplementary information S1 (table)). Recent studies are beginning to elucidate how stress-induced changes in various aspects of glutamate neurotransmission are causally linked to each other and to the glucocorticoid responses to stress.

Acute stress seems to have the general effect of increasing glutamatergic neurotransmission in the PFC and other regions associated with memory, learning and affect by inducing both genomic and non-genomic changes at various sites within the tripartite synapse. The presynaptic release of glutamate is rapidly increased by mineralocorticoid or glucocorticoid receptor-mediated effects on the machinery that regulates glutamate release. At the postsynaptic site, acute stress seems to increase the surface expression and density of ionotropic glutamate receptors, resulting in synaptic potentiation, with the mechanism and timing of these effects varying between brain regions. Although few studies have adequately examined the effects of acute stress on glutamate clearance and metabolism, there seems to be an increased expression of EAAT2 and possibly other glutamate transporters, matching the increased synaptic release of glutamate following acute stress exposure. Together, these changes could contribute to the adaptive stress response on cognitive functions, as demonstrated by findings that moderate acute stress facilitates classical conditioning, associative learning and working memory.

Emerging studies now suggest that chronic stress exposure has different effects on the glutamate synapse. Data from early studies suggest that chronic stress causes prolonged periods of stimulated glutamate release following acute stress exposure, at least in the hippocampus. Possibly as a compensatory response to elevated synaptic glutamate activity, there are changes in the surface expression of AMPAR and NMDAR subunits that seem to be associated with a decreased transmission efficiency and potentially impaired synaptic plasticity. Initial rodent
studies suggest that the PFC may be specifically sensitive to the stress-induced effects on postsynaptic receptor function. Last, there is growing evidence from animal studies that chronic stress has effects on glial cell morphology, metabolism and function in the PFC and possibly also the hippocampus. These long-lasting chronic stress-induced changes in glutamate transmission may be linked to the impairments in spatial and contextual memory performance and attentional control\(^{20}\) and the reduced synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus–PFC connection that have been observed in rats after chronic stress\(^{23}\). The decreased ability to clear extracellular glutamate as a result of impaired glial cell uptake and metabolism, combined with stress-induced changes in glutamate release and glutamate receptor function, could provide a pathophysiological mechanism leading to many of the structural changes (BOX 3) observed in brain regions of individuals with stress-associated psychiatric disorders, such as mood and anxiety disorders.

These findings suggest a new line of drug development that should be aimed at minimizing the effects of chronic stress exposure on the function of the glutamatergic neurotransmitter system\(^{14,179}\) (Fig. 5). The hypothesis that pharmacological modulation of presynaptic release of glutamate may provide a means of preventing the effects of stress is supported by findings from animal studies that chronic administration of classical antidepressant drugs, such as selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors, serotonin–noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors, tricyclics and atypical antidepressants, reduces the stress-induced upregulation of glutamate release in superfused synaptosomes from the PFC and frontal cortex\(^{112}\). Other studies have shown that drugs such as riluzole\(^{180–182}\) and ceftriaxone\(^{183}\), which increase glutamate clearance, can prevent or reverse the effects of chronic stress and chronic glutocorticoid exposure on amino acid neurotransmitter cycling, on glial expression within the PFC, and on despair and anhedonia in animal models.

Figure 5 | Pharmacological targets. Observations of stress-induced effects on the glutamate (Glu) synapse have suggested several unique forms of pharmacological interventions for stress-related disorders such as mood and anxiety disorders\(^{179}\). Drugs that modify glutamate release (a), such as lamotrigine and riluzole, have been shown to have antidepressant-like actions in rodent models and in clinical trials\(^{211,212,214}\). In addition, antagonists and negative allosteric modulators of the group II metabotropic receptors (mGluR2 and mGluR3) (b), such as MGS0039 and LY341495, have been shown to exert antidepressant-like effects in rodents, suggesting that a dampening of the group II mGluR-mediated inhibition of presynaptic glutamate release could provide a mechanism of antidepressant drug action\(^{184,190}\). Positive and negative allosteric modulators of mGluR5 (c) have been shown to possess antidepressant and anxiolytic properties in preclinical studies\(^{196}\). Drugs targeting NMDA receptors (NMDARs) (d), especially NMDA antagonists (ketamine, RO 25–6981 and CP101606), have demonstrated rapid and robust antidepressant-like effects in both rodent models and clinical trials\(^{182,183}\). Drugs targeting AMPA receptors (AMPARs) (e), especially agents that potentiate the activation of AMPARs, have both nootropic (cognition-enhancing) properties and antidepressant-like effects in rodent models\(^{192}\). Various agents that regulate glucocorticoid signalling (f) have effects on memory and possess mood- and anxiety-modifying properties\(^{179}\). Drugs such as riluzole and ceftriaxone that indirectly facilitate glutamate transport into glia (g), possess both neuroprotective and antidepressant-like effects\(^{171,184,185}\). Considering that endocannabinoids are reduced in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus in animal models of depression, and that cannabinoid 1 receptor (CB1) stimulation in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus has anxiolytic and antidepressant effects, targeted pharmacological augmentation of endocannabinoid signalling (h) has recently been proposed as a promising therapeutic strategy for the treatment of mood and anxiety disorders\(^{186}\). EAAT, excitatory amino acid transporter; Glu, glutamate; GRE, glucocorticoid response element; IEGs, immediate early genes; vGluT, vesicular glutamate transporter.
of depression. This points to glutamate clearance mechanisms as potential targets for novel drug development. Positive and negative allosteric modulators of metabotropic glutamate receptors, which can influence glutamate release and extracellular glutamate levels, have also been shown to have antidepressant-like actions and are now being investigated for use in various psychiatric indications. Furthermore, drugs that directly target ionotropic receptors have become targets for psychiatric drug development. Specifically, NMDAR antagonists such as ketamine have been shown to produce a rapid and sustained antidepressant response in both preclinical animal models and small controlled clinical trials. The results of recent studies suggest that this NMDAR antagonist antidepressant effect may be related to a rapid increase in glutamate release, resulting in activation of AMPARs and downstream changes in synaptic protein synthesis and dendritic spine formation. The antidepressant effect of the NMDAR antagonist-induced glutamate surge may at first seem contradictory to the model presented above. However, it is possible that the rapid increase in glutamate release following ketamine treatment can transiently compensate for the decreased transmission efficiency and impaired synaptic plasticity associated with chronic stress and stress-related disorders. Further support for this line of reasoning is given by a recent study showing that acute treatment with NMDA channel blockers rapidly ameliorates chronic unpredictable stress-induced decreases in the expression levels of synaptic proteins and in spine number and the frequency and amplitude of synaptic currents in the PFC. Additional support for this hypothesis comes from studies showing that positive allosteric modulators of AMPA-type glutamate receptors have antidepressant-like properties in rodent models of depression.

In conclusion, recent studies are beginning to show that acute stress and glucocorticoids can facilitate learning and memory in both the PFC and hippocampus and that chronic stress may contribute to the pathophysiology of several psychiatric disorders through effects on the glutamatergic synapse, especially within the PFC. The identification of the mechanisms that regulate the functions of the glutamate synapse afford the opportunity to use novel pharmacological interventions to improve and retain memory function and to treat and possibly prevent some psychiatric disorders.
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