Regulation of the NMDA receptor-mediated synaptic response by acetylcholinesterase inhibitors and its impairment in an animal model of Alzheimer’s disease
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Abstract

The cholinergic system is crucial for cognitive processes and the deficient acetylcholine (ACh) function has been implicated in Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Inhibitors of acetylcholinesterase (AChE), which act to enhance cholinergic function by prolonging the action of endogenously released ACh, have been used as the major therapy of AD. To understand the functional roles of cholinergic enhancement in prefrontal cortex (PFC), a key brain region for cognition, we examined the impact of AChE inhibitors in PFC neurons on synaptic responses mediated by the NMDA receptor (NMDAR), an important player in learning and memory. We found that AChE inhibitors produced a strong and persistent reduction of the amplitude of NMDAR-mediated excitatory postsynaptic current (NMDAR-EPSC). This effect was mainly mediated by nicotinic ACh receptors, and through a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent mechanism. Inhibition of extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) abolished the regulation of NMDAR function by AChE inhibitors, suggesting the involvement of ERK. In the transgenic mouse model of AD overexpressing mutant \beta\textsubscript{APP} amyloid precursor protein (APP), the effect of AChE inhibitors on NMDAR-EPSC was significantly impaired, which was associated with their diminished effect on ERK activation. Taken together, these results suggest that one of the key targets of endogenous ACh involved in cognition is the NMDAR-mediated transmission. Loss of the regulation of synaptic NMDAR responses by endogenous ACh may contribute to the cognitive deficiency in AD.
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1. Introduction

Multiple lines of evidence suggest that the central cholinergic system plays a key role in cognitive processes (Winkler \textit{et al.}, 1995) and deterioration of the cholinergic system contributes to memory failure and cognitive decline associated with aging (Bartus \textit{et al.}, 1982) and AD (Mesulam, 1996). Drugs that potentiate central cholinergic function, such as the AChE inhibitor physostigmine, have been found to significantly enhance storage of information into long-term memory and improve retrieval of information from long-term memory (Davis \textit{et al.}, 1978). Physostigmine also improves working memory efficiency (Furey \textit{et al.}, 1997) by augmenting the selectivity of perceptual processing during encoding (Furey \textit{et al.}, 2000). A prominent feature consistently found in AD patients is the severe degeneration of basal forebrain cholinergic systems (Whitehouse \textit{et al.}, 1981, 1982; Coyle \textit{et al.}, 1983). Corresponding to the degeneration, there is a significant loss of nicotinic ACh receptors and certain types of muscarinic ACh receptors in AD brains (Giacobini, 1990; Greenamyre and Maragos, 1993; Perry \textit{et al.}, 1995). So far, the mostly used therapeutic strategy in AD treatment is to enhance cholinergic transmission with AChE inhibitors.
inhibitors (Sitaram et al., 1978; Weinstock, 1995). Despite the clinical effectiveness of these drugs in alleviating memory and cognitive problems of many AD patients (Benzi and Moretti, 1998), their molecular targets and cellular mechanisms remain largely unknown. Since a key player implicated in the regulation of learning and memory is the NMDA receptor (Robbins and Murphy, 2006), and the NMDAR-mediated transmission in PFC has been proposed to be crucial for “working memory” that is maintained by neuronal activity (Lisman et al., 1998), we speculate that one of the targets of AChE inhibitors involved in cognitive process is the NMDAR-mediated synaptic response in PFC neurons.

In addition to cholinergic deficiency, another prominent feature of AD is the accumulation of β-amyloid peptides (Aβ) in cholinergic target areas, such as cortex and hippocampus (Masters et al., 1985; Selkoe, 2001). Aβ peptides are produced by proteolytic cleavage of the β-amyloid precursor protein (APP) (Selkoe, 1996). Mutations in the APP gene around the cleavage sites result in the increased rate of cleavage and increased generation of Aβ (Selkoe, 1996; Tanzi and Bertram, 2001). Transgenic mice overexpressing mutant APP genes exhibit behavioral and histopathological abnormalities resembling AD, including increased Aβ deposits and deficits in learning and memory, and therefore are widely used as an AD model (Games et al., 1995; Hsiao et al., 1996; Chapman et al., 1999). Recent advances in AD research have pointed Aβ blockade as the major new therapy directly targeting the mechanisms underlying AD (Roberson and Mucke, 2006; Golde, 2006; Lansbury and Lashuel, 2006), which potentially challenges the cholinergic hypothesis. However, converging lines of evidence indicate that cholinergic system actually has a close functional relationship with amyloids (Auld et al., 1998; Sivaprakasam, 2006). Aβ peptides are able to reduce choline uptake, inhibit acetylcholine releases (Blusztajn and Berse, 2000), bind to α7 nAChR and blunt the receptor function (Liu et al., 2001). On the other hand, activation of nAChR results in the enhanced release of a secreted form of APP that has a wide range of trophic and protective functions (Kim et al., 1997), and this effect is reversed by cholinergic antagonists (Lahiri et al., 2002). Thus, the interaction between cholinergic system and the abnormal protein aggregates in AD needs to be further investigated.

In this study, we examined the functional role of AChE inhibitors and its potential impairment in the APP transgenic mouse model of AD.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Slice preparation

Prefrontal cortex (PFC) slices from Sprague-Dawley rats (3–4 weeks old), wild type and APP transgenic mice (6 weeks to 16 months old) were prepared as previously described (Chen et al., 2004; Zhong et al., 2003). APP transgenic mice carry the human APP 695 with the double mutation (Swedish mutation) of K670N and M671L (Hsiao et al., 1996). All experiments were performed with the approval of “Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee” (IACUC) of the State University of New York at Buffalo, and our animal care procedures were in accordance with the IACUC guidelines under the Animal Welfare Act. In brief, animals were anesthetized with halothane vapour before decapitation. Slices (300 μM) were cut with a Leica VP1000S Vibratome, and were incubated in oxygenated ACSF (in mM, 130 NaCl, 26 NaHCO3, 2 CaCl2, 5 MgCl2, 3 KCl, 10 glucose, 1.25 Na2HPO4) at room temperature.

2.2. Recording of synaptic NMDAR responses in slices

To evaluate the regulation of NMDAR-EPSC in pyramidal neurons located in deep layers (V–VI) of PFC slices, the whole-cell voltage-clamp recording technique was used (Zhong et al., 2003; Yuen et al., 2005). A patch electrode (3–5 MΩ) was filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): 130 Cs-methanesulfonate, 10 HEPES, 10 CsCl, 4 NaCl, 1 MgCl2, 1 EGTA, 5 N-methyl-d-glucamine, 12 phosphocreatine, 5 MgATP, and 0.5 Na2GTP (pH 7.2, 275–290 mOsm). Slices were bathed in ACSF containing CNQX (20 μM) and bicuculline (10 μM) to block AMPA/kainate receptors and GABA_A receptors. Evoked currents were generated with a 50 μs pulse (intensity: 20–28 mA) from a stimulation isolation unit controlled by a S48 pulse generator (Astro-Med). A bipolar stimulating electrode (FHC) was positioned in the same layer as the recording electrode, and was ~50 μm from the neuron under recording. Before stimulation, cells (voltage-clamped at −70 mV) were depolarized to +60 mV for 3 s to fully relieve the voltage-dependent Mg²⁺ block of NMDAR channels. Clampfit Program (Axon Instrument) was used to analyze evoked synaptic activity. Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare the current amplitudes before and after drug application. Student t-test was performed to compare the differential degrees of current modulation between groups subjected to different treatment.

2.3. Western blot analysis in brain slices

Procedures were similar to what we described previously (Gu and Yan, 2004). Primary antibodies used for blotting include: anti-phospho-p44/42 MAP kinase (Thr202/Tyr 204) and anti-p44/42 MAPK from cell signaling.

2.4. Primary culture and gene transfection

Frontal cortical cultures from rats were prepared as described before (Yuen et al., 2005). At 8–11 DIV, neurons were cotransfected with a plasmid encoding the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP; Clontech) and a plasmid encoding either wild-type MEK1 or domi-
nant negative MEK1 (carrying M substitution at K97). Transfection was conducted with the Lipofectamine 2000 method following manufacturer’s instruction (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA). Two to 3 days after transfection, GFP-positive neurons were selected for electrophysiological recording.

2.5. Recording of NMDAR-mediated ionic current in neuronal cultures

Standard voltage-clamp techniques (Chen et al., 2004; Yuen et al., 2005) were used to record the whole-cell NMDA-evoked current. The internal solution consisted (in mM): 180 N-methyl-D-glucamine, 40 HEPES, 12 phosphocreatine, 3 Na2ATP, 0.5 Na2GTP, 0.1 leupeptin, pH 7.2, 265–270 mOsm. The external solution contained (in mM): 127 NaCl, 20 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 1 CaCl2, 5 BaCl2, 12 glucose, 0.001 TTX, 0.02 glycine, pH 7.3–7.4, 300–305 mOsm. Drugs were applied with a gravity-fed ‘sewer pipe’ system. The array of application capillaries (ca. 150 μm i.d.) was positioned a few hundred microns from the cell under study. Solution changes were effected by the SF-77B fast-step solution stimulus delivery device (Warner Instrument). Cells were held at −60 mV and exposed to NMDA (100 μM) for 2 s every 30 s.

3. Results

3.1. AChE inhibitors reduce NMDAR-mediated synaptic transmission in cortical pyramidal neurons

To understand the potential impact of endogenous acetylcholine on NMDAR-mediated synaptic transmission in frontal cortex, we assessed the effect of physostigmine, a commonly used AChE inhibitor, on NMDA receptor-mediated excitatory postsynaptic current (NMDAR-EPSC) in PFC pyramidal neurons. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, under the control condition, NMDAR-EPSC amplitudes were stable throughout the recording (up to 60 min). Application of physostigmine (40 μM) caused a significant reduction of NMDAR-EPSC amplitudes (39.4 ± 2.1%, n = 19, p < 0.001, Mann–Whitney U-test). The physostigmine-induced reduction was persistent, without complete recovery after 20 min of washing. The dose–response of physostigmine effects on NMDAR-EPSC is shown in Fig. 1C (1 μM: 13.3 ± 1.4%; 10 μM: 30.7 ± 2.5%; 40 μM: 39.4 ± 2.1%; 100 μM: 49.4 ± 3.1%; n = 8). Another AChE inhibitor, methomidophos (80 μM), gave similar results, reducing NMDAR-EPSC amplitudes by 42.1 ± 4.5% (n = 5, Fig. 1D).

We next sought to determine whether the effect of physostigmine on NMDAR-EPSC is mediated by a presynaptic mechanism on neurotransmitter (glutamate) release or...
a postsynaptic mechanism on NMDA receptors. To do so, we assessed the effect of physostigmine on the ratio of paired-pulse facilitation (PPR) of NMDAR-EPSC evoked by double pulses (100 ms intervals), an index reflecting presynaptic processes. As shown in Fig. 1E, PPR remained largely unaltered after physostigmine application (105 ± 6.1% of control, n = 12), suggesting that AChE inhibitors regulate NMDAR-EPSC by acting on postsynaptic cholinergic receptors in cortical neurons.

3.2. The effect of AChE inhibitor on NMDAR-EPSC is primarily mediated by nicotinic ACh receptors

Both muscarinic (mAChR) and nicotinic (nAChR) acetylcholine receptors are widely expressed in frontal cortex. To identify the major receptor subtypes that mediate the physostigmine-induced reduction of NMDAR-EPSC amplitudes, we examined several acetylcholine receptor antagonists. Slices were pretreated with antagonists for at least 2 h and further exposed to antagonists throughout the recording. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, treatment with mAChR antagonist atropine (50 μM) failed to show a significant blockade on the inhibitory effect of physostigmine. Atropine itself did not have a significant effect on NMDAR-EPSC (1.5 ± 0.6%, n = 7). Incubating slices with an allosteric activator of nAChR, benzoquinonium (BZQ, 50 μM), to occupy the binding site of physostigmine on nAChR (Pereira et al., 1993) significantly attenuated the effect of physostigmine on NMDAR-EPSC. Direct application of BZQ itself caused a significant reduction of NMDAR-EPSC (35.0 ± 7.5%, n = 4), similar to the inhibitory effect of physostigmine. As summarized in Fig. 2C, the percentage reduction of NMDAR-EPSC by physostigmine was 39.7 ± 2.0% in control cells (n = 7), 30.9 ± 4.0% in atropine-treated cells (n = 9), 14.5 ± 1.5% in BZQ-treated cells (n = 10, p < 0.001, t-test), and 8.6 ± 2.9% in BZQ + atropine-treated cells (n = 6, p < 0.001, t-test), respectively.

In the CNS, α4β2 heteromeric receptors and α7 homomeric receptors are believed to be the major nAChR subtypes (McGehee and Role, 1995). However, combined application of α7 selective antagonist MLA (methyllycaconitine, 10 μM) and α4β2 selective antagonist DHβE (dihydro-β-erythroidine, 0.1 μM) did not prevent the inhibition of NMDAR-EPSC by physostigmine (36.4 ± 3.5%, n = 9, Fig. 2C). These results suggest that physostigmine regulates NMDAR-EPSC by acting on nAChR at the novel allosteric binding site, but not the classical binding sites for established nAChR agonists or antagonists (Schroder et al., 1994).

3.3. The regulation of synaptic NMDAR responses by AChE inhibitor is through a calcium-dependent mechanism

We next examined the molecular mechanism that may underlie physostigmine regulation of NMDAR-EPSC. It is known that many nAChRs have a high permeability for cal-
NMMA responses (Sheng and Kim, 2002). Thus, we further examined the potential role of some of these molecules, including Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CaMKII), phospholipase C (PLC) and IP3. As shown in Fig. 3C, bath application of the CaMKII inhibitor KN93 (10 μM) failed to block the effect of physostigmine on NMDAR-EPSC. Summarized in Fig. 3D is the percentage reduction of NMDAR-EPSC by physostigmine in the presence of different agents. Compared to control cells (39.8 ± 2.6%, n = 12), the effect of physostigmine was significantly (p < 0.005, t-test) smaller in BAPTA-injected cells (11.8 ± 2.7%, n = 11) or thapsigargin-treated cells (16.2 ± 1.4%, n = 9), but was unchanged in cells treated with KN93 (29.2 ± 3.2%, n = 9), protein kinase A inhibitor PKI 6–22 (2 μM, 38.5 ± 1.6%, n = 4), PLC inhibitor U73122 (5 μM, 37.0 ± 4.8%, n = 6), or IP3 receptor antagonist 2-APB (20 μM, 37.7 ± 5.7%, n = 4).

3.4. ERK is involved in the AChE regulation of NMDAR-mediated current

Our previous studies have found that ERK is involved in the regulation of NMDA receptors by neuromodulators via mechanisms depending on microtubule dynamics or actin cytoskeleton (Yuen et al., 2005; Gu et al., 2005). ERK can be activated as a result of nAChR-mediated calcium entry. To test whether ERK also plays a role in AChE modulation of NMDAR-mediated synaptic transmission, we treated neurons with inhibitors of MEK (the upstream kinase of ERK). As shown in Fig. 4A–C, the reduction of NMDAR-EPSC by physostigmine was significantly (p < 0.001, t-test) smaller in neurons treated with the MEK inhibitor U0126 (10 μM, 10.2 ± 1.9%, n = 9), compared to non-treated control neurons (39.2 ± 1.8%, n = 6). Another MEK inhibitor PD98059 (25 μM) gave similar results, significantly (p < 0.001, t-test) attenuating the effect of physostigmine on NMDAR-EPSC (14.6 ± 3.1% with internal application, n = 8; 13.3 ± 3.3% with external application, n = 9).

We further examined the involvement of ERK using cultured cortical neurons transfected with a dominant negative MEK1 construct that is catalytically inactive (Yuen et al., 2005; Gu et al., 2005) to block the ERK signaling. As shown in Fig. 4D–F, in neurons transfected with wild-type MEK1, physostigmine caused a reduction of NMDA-elicited current (15.2 ± 1.5%, n = 5). This effect was significantly (p < 0.001, t-test) smaller in neurons transfected with dominant negative MEK1 (4.7 ± 1.7%, n = 5). These results suggest that ERK is involved in the regulation of NMDAR-mediated current by AChE inhibitors.
3.5. The effect of AChE inhibitor on NMDAR-EPSC is impaired in APP transgenic mice

Compared to wild-type mice, APP transgenic mice exhibit significantly higher (~20–30-fold) levels of Aβ peptides at 2 months of age, even though no amyloid plaques, neuronal death or cognitive deficit are observed at the early presymptomatic stage (Hsiao et al., 1996), and amyloid deposits are found in frontal cortex, along with other brain regions, in aged APP transgenic mice (Hsiao et al., 1996). Previous studies have suggested that cholinergic signaling could be diminished by the elevated level of Aβ in Alzheimer’s disease (Auld et al., 1998; Yan and Feng, 2004), thus we examined whether the effect of AChE inhibitors on NMDAR-EPSC is altered in the APP transgenic mouse model of AD. As shown in Fig. 5A and B, application of physostigmine caused a significantly smaller effect on NMDAR-EPSC in cortical pyramidal neurons from an APP transgenic mouse (2-month-old) than an age-matched WT mouse. The percentage reduction of NMDAR-EPSC by physostigmine in three-age-matched groups (6 weeks, 2 months and 16 months) were summarized in Fig. 5C. The effect of physostigmine was unchanged in the 6-week group (WT: 48.5 ± 2.7%, n = 6; APP: 46.0 ± 4.2%, n = 7). However, it was significantly smaller in the 2-month group (WT: 44.6 ± 2.2%, n = 14; APP: 27.8 ± 3.0%, n = 14, p < 0.001, t-test), and the 16-month group (WT: 40.8 ± 2.2%, n = 7; APP: 27.2 ± 3.0%, n = 7, p < 0.005, t-test). We further compared the dose–responses of physostigmine-induced reduction of NMDAR-EPSC in wild type and APP transgenic mice at the 4-month group. As shown in Fig. 5D, physostigmine produced significantly smaller effects at different doses in APP transgenic mice (10 μM: WT: 41.1 ± 3.5%, n = 5; APP: 31.1 ± 2.6%, n = 7; 40 μM: WT: 54.4 ± 3.4%, n = 6; APP: 36.2 ± 1.5%, n = 6; 100 μM: WT: 64.6 ± 4.6%, n = 6; APP: 50.7 ± 4.2%, n = 6). The impairment of endogenous ACh effects on NMDAR-EPSC occurs at 2 months of age, supporting the notion that synaptic dysfunction is an early event in AD (Selkoe, 2002).

3.6. The activation of ERK by AChE inhibitors is diminished in APP transgenic mice

We next sought to determine mechanisms underlying the impaired regulation of NMDA responses by AChE inhibitors in the AD model. Given the role of ERK in this regulatory event, we speculated that the activation of ERK by AChE inhibitors might be impaired in APP transgenic mice. Consistent with this, both physostigmine and methomidophos (10 min treatment) significantly induced the activation of ERK (as indicated by phosphorylated ERK) in cortical slices from WT mice (4-month-old); however, this effect was significantly attenuated in APP mice (4-month-old, Fig. 6A). The total level of ERK was not changed by AChE inhibitor treatment. As summarized in Fig. 6B, ERK activation in response to AChE inhibitors was largely abolished in APP transgenic mice (increase of p-ERK in WT mice: physostigmine: 2.00 ± 0.12-fold; methomidophos: 2.00 ± 0.50-fold; p < 0.005, t-test; increase of p-ERK in APP mice: physostig-
Fig. 5. The regulation of NMDAR-EPSC by physostigmine is impaired in APP transgenic mice. (A) Plot of normalized NMDAR-EPSC as a function of time and physostigmine (40 μM) application in cortical pyramidal neurons from a 2-month-old wild-type mouse (WT) and a 2-month-old APP transgenic mouse (APP). (B) Representative current traces taken from records used to construct (A) (at time points denoted by #). Scale bars: 20 pA/100 ms. (C) Bar plot summary of the percentage reduction of NMDAR-EPSC by physostigmine in wild-type and APP transgenic mice at different ages (6 weeks, 2 months, and 16 months). *p < 0.001; **p < 0.005, t-test. (D) Dose–response curves showing the effect of physostigmine on NMDAR-EPSC in 4-month-old WT and APP transgenic mice. †p < 0.01; **p < 0.005, t-test.

4. Discussion

AChE inhibitors are currently used as the major therapy of AD. However, as indicated in (Golde, 2006), inhibitors of AChE symptomatically enhance cognitive state to some degree but are not disease modifying. One of the consensuses about the new therapy directly targeting the mechanisms underlying AD is to block pathogenic Aβ peptides (Roberson and Mucke, 2006). However, cognitive impairment and behavioral abnormalities are not directly caused by the pathological hallmarks, such as amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, but by the loss of synaptic connections (Smith, 2002). Thus, it has been perceived that variations in the activity of neural networks underlie the fluctuations in neurological functions of AD patients (Palop et al., 2006). The currently approved AChE inhibitor drugs are more likely to alter the synaptic processes without affecting the underlying disease pathways (Sivaprasakam, 2006). In this study, we have shown a functional role of physostigmine in PFC pyramidal neurons, i.e. to suppress the NMDAR-mediated synaptic current. It provides a mechanism for the AChE inhibitor to alter synaptic processes and neural network activ-
ity. Moreover, it provides a potential explanation for the neuroprotective role of AChE inhibitors against glutamate (or NMDA) toxicity (Takada-Takatori et al., 2006; Akasofu et al., 2006), which seems to be partially mediated by inhibition of the increase of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ (Akasofu et al., 2006). Interestingly, the newly approved drug for moderate to severe AD, memantine, is an uncompetitive, low-affinity NMDAR antagonist (Rogawski and Wenk, 2003; Sonkusare et al., 2005), suggesting that downregulation of NMDA responses may be one of the beneficial effects of AChE inhibitors in AD treatment. It supports the idea that modulation of glutamatergic function may represent a viable therapeutic strategy for AD (Lawlor and Davis, 1992). Interestingly, the reduction of NMDA-EPSCs by physostigmine survived the washout for at least 30 min, suggesting that the AChE inhibitor may have caused long-lasting changes on synaptic NMDA receptors.

AChE inhibitors act to enhance cholinergic function by prolonging the action of endogenously released ACh, which could exert their functions via either mAChRs or nAChRs. Physostigmine and several other AChE inhibitors have also been shown to act as non-competitive mAChR agonists by binding to sites on the alpha-polypeptide that are distinct from those for the natural transmitter ACh (Pereira et al., 1993; Schroder et al., 1994). The physostigmine-induced suppression of synaptic NMDAR responses is largely prevented by the allosteric activator of nAChR, benzoquinonium, which occupies the binding site of physostigmine on nAChR (Pereira et al., 1993), suggesting that the effect of AChE inhibitors on NMDARs is mainly mediated by its direct binding to nicotinic receptors. Although activation of presynaptic nAChRs can cause an increase in transmitter release, postsynaptic effects of nAChRs have also been suggested (Jones et al., 1999). The lack of changes in paired-pulse ratio of NMDAR-EPSC by AChE inhibitors and the blockade of their effect by intracellular application of various agents suggest that AChE inhibitors regulate synaptic NMDAR responses by acting on postsynaptic nAChRs.

Using selective inhibitors and dominant negative constructs, we have shown that ERK is involved in the regulation of NMDAR current by AChE inhibitors. Calcium entry through nAChRs could activate calcium-dependent RasGEF (Ras Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor) (Cullen and Lockyer, 2002), leading to activation of ERK. ERK in turn could affect NMDAR function by phosphorylating NMDAR subunits and/or related proteins. Alternatively, ERK could affect NMDAR trafficking through mechanisms dependent on cytoskeleton (Yuen et al., 2005; Gu et al., 2005).

To find out whether the regulation of NMDAR-EPSC by AChE inhibitors is potentially implicated in AD, we examined APP transgenic mice. Interestingly, the effect of physostigmine on NMDAR-EPSC is significantly attenuated in this AD model, which seems to be associated with the diminished ERK activation by AChE inhibitors. It suggests that the elevated level of Aβ in APP transgenic mice (older than 6 weeks) may have altered nAChR expression or signaling. Similarly, our previous study has demonstrated that the muscarinic regulation of GABAergic transmission in PFC pyramidal neurons is impaired in APP transgenic mice, which is due to the loss of PKC activation by mAChRs (Zhong et al., 2003). These results are consistent with the notion that Aβ can directly induce cholinergic hypofunction without apparent neurotoxicity (Auld et al., 1998). Aβ has been found to have pleiotropic actions on the cholinergic system, including the suppression of acetylcholine synthesis in primary cultures of basal forebrain neurons (Hoshi et al., 1997), the inhibition of acetylcholine release from hippocampal slices (Kar et al., 1996), and the disruption of muscarinic receptor—G proteins coupling in cortical cultures (Kelly et al., 1996). Our present study suggests that under pathological conditions, Aβ acts as a cholinergic neuromodulator to disturb the cholinergic enhancer-mediated inhibition of synaptic NMDAR responses, which could contribute to the perturbed cellular calcium homeostasis that plays an important role in the progressive neuronal loss underlying the evolving dementia (Hynd et al., 2004; Jones et al., 1999).
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