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Abstract
The present study investigates whether – as in several well-documented languages –, prosody plays a role in the signaling of discourse segmentation in Suyá, an Amazonian language of the Jê group. Inspired by the literature, the following prosodic variables were selected for analysis: pause, pitch reset and boundary tones.

1. Introduction

The degree of syntactic, semantic, and/or pragmatic cohesiveness between words in an utterance determines whether they belong together to a larger linguistic constituent or not. To the same extent, utterances bear different sorts of relations with other utterances in an even larger linguistic constituent that, when grouped together, form what is generally referred to as a “discourse”. In this view, discourse is considered to be a structure composed by hierarchically arranged entities that preserve a similar orientation. In written language, these entities are called “paragraphs.” They are often signaled by typographic means, such as an indent line at the beginning and an incomplete line at the end (which may be absent in cases where the end of the paragraph coincide with the end of the line). Spoken discourse also presents such macro-structures, which are referred to as “discourse segments” ([32]), “topics” ([37]), “information units” ([15]), and even “paragraphs” ([26]). These units are marked in speech by the use of different linguistic phenomena, such as anaphora, cue phrases, discourse markers, reference and tense.

One of the most important structuring, or demarcative devices in spoken discourse is prosody. Variation in pitch range ([6], [23], [37]), pause duration ([15], [16], [27], among others), speech rate ([13], [35]), and amplitude ([6], [16], [23]) have all been studied, with some success, as potential correlates of discourse structure in speech.

Independent of any prosodic evidence, some discourse types (or genres) are considered to have an inherent structure that can be observed solely by taking into account the content of their constituents. Procedural texts, for example, are thought to be composed of semantically independent segments (sections or units) that can be easily recognized because of their causal chain (those that form a continuous flow of cause and effect in the text). [1] and [2], for example, propose a grammar of procedural texts, which according to them are in general highly structured and modular, exhibiting a particularly rich micro-rhetorical structure integrated into the syntactic-semantic structures of instructions and preparatory actions. Since prosody is regularly used to segment “chunks of information” in discourse, as previous studies have demonstrated, it is expected that the semantically independent segments in procedural texts are bounded by prosodic features too, making its structure transparent to the listener.

Suyá, a member of the Jê linguistic family, itself a member of the Macro-Jê family, is spoken by approximately 370 people in and near the Xingu Park of Central Brazil, being thus a highly endangered language. There are two subgroups of Jê, the Central Jê and the Northwest Jê. Suyá belongs to the Northwest Jê group, which also includes Apinaye, Kayapo, Kreen-Akarore, and Timbira. Suyá is one of the least-studied surviving Jê languages ([34]). This study is part of a large documentation project ([11]) that aims, among other things, at producing reference and pedagogical grammars, a dictionary, a community-approved orthography, and a large text collection.

2. Methods

The material used in this study consists of four elicited procedural texts, uttered by two native female speakers of Suyá. Texts PC_050326_08 and PC_050326_09 were produced by a 55 years old woman and were about the making of beiju (a manioc bread) and of perereba (a drink made out of sweet manioc). Texts PC_050403_05 and PC_050403_06 were produced by a 27 years old woman and were about the making of esteira de talo (a kind of sieve used for, among other things, straining juice from manioc) and khi (cooked potatoes). The texts ranged from 50 sec. to 1 min. 54 sec., totaling 5 min. 21 sec. of recording. The recordings were made with a unidirectional, Shure headset microphone, connected to a TASCAM DAT recorder. A native speaker of the language who is a teacher in the main village of the Suyá people translated the texts later.

It has been stated that if we want to identify the role of prosody in the structuring of information, we must compare it with an independently obtained discourse structure, in order to minimize the risk of circularity ([6], [37]). Previous work on other languages has shown that there is no direct match between syntactic structure and prosodic constituency - see [10] and [33]. Instead, prosody seems to be constrained by semantic and pragmatic aspects. Therefore, we should not rely on syntax for that matter, which would otherwise be the most immediate choice.

1 None of the languages in the Macro-Jê family is tonal.
2 The Documentation and Description of Suyá project will also include studies of the ethnography of communication, prosody, and information structure in the language, providing a very extensive and intensive documentation of the language. See http://ling.man.ac.uk/Info/staff/DE/DEHome.html for more details.
3 The file name here corresponds to the original name of the files in the corpus. These files – as many others – will be made available in some major archiving databases soon.
In order to have some sort of information structure against which prosody can be confronted, some authors rely on discourse segmentations resulting from discourse analysis ([16], [19], [23] [27], [30], [32]). The problem with using the discourse analysis approach is that a priori we do not know whether it will yield more than an individual’s intuition of discourse structure. If we are to depend on a discourse segmentation method, we must assure that we are employing one that is reproducible, because the more replicable a discourse segmentation model is, the stronger the evidence that discourse structure does exist.

For the purpose of the analysis presented here, we chose the model of [32], which produces linear intention based segmentation. This model has been widely used, which allows us to eventually compare our results with those obtained in work done for other languages. Besides, recent studies have demonstrated that this model displays a fair level of inter-coder consensus, which makes it more reliable and reproducible (see [3] for details).4

All four procedural texts were firstly divided into intonation units5 and subsequently segmented in sequential chunks6, each representing a single intention, as proposed by the model of [32]. Discourse boundary placing was restricted thus to the end of an intonation unit. A total of 215 intonation units were devised, 38 of which were marked as ending a discourse unit. For each intonation unit a nucleus was designated as well as the boundary tone (low or non-low).7

Three prosodic features were chosen to be analyzed: pause duration, boundary tone and pitch reset. Following the works by [21] and [22], pause here was regarded as a period of silence equal or greater than 100 ms. Pitch reset was calculated as the difference between the pitch range values of two adjacent intonation units.8

4. Results

4.1. Pauses

It is commonly accepted in any intonation analysis that pause functions primarily to encode intonation unit boundaries ([6], [7]). The role of pauses in discourse has more far reaching effects: on a global level, pauses assume a more particular function in the organization of procedural texts.

A number of studies confirm the general hypothesis concerning pause duration as an indicator of boundaries between larger discourse units: the longer a pause is, the greater the chances that the place where it occurs coincides with a major discursive break (see, for example, [14], [16], [24], [32], among others).9 In Suyá, this also seems to be the case, as table 1 below demonstrates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discourse boundary</th>
<th>Pause duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>871 msec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>641 msec.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean duration of pauses occurring at the end of discourse units differs significantly from those occurring elsewhere. T-test result (t=−2.909, df=113, p<0.005) confirms this finding by showing a significant correlation between discourse boundary and longer pause duration.

3. Hypothesis

It is hypothesized that discourse boundaries are prosodically different from boundaries occurring within them. This can be verified, specifically, through:

- Pause: longer pauses tend to occur more often at the end of discourse boundaries than elsewhere;
- Pitch reset: pitch reset is higher at the end of discourse boundaries than elsewhere; and
- Boundary tones: low tones tend to occur at the end of discourse boundaries, whereas non-low tones are generally found inside discourse units.

This hypothesis is aimed at relating the three prosodic variables to different types of boundary in a procedural text: boundaries that coincide with the end of sections and boundaries that occur within those sections. Support for this hypothesis comes from studies of prosodic correlates of discourse structure (see references in Section 1 above).
4.2. Boundary tone

Boundary-marking pitch movements have often been associated with discourse segmentation. In general, melodic contours are said to distinguish between continuation and finality, with rise and level tones indicating the former, and low tones signaling the later ([4], [6], [15], [38], [39]). The results in the present investigation suggest that the same strategy is employed in Suyá. Table 2 below displays the difference (in percentages) in the distribution of low and non-low boundary tones between discourse and non-discourse boundaries. From these results, it may be deduced that discourse boundaries are most of the time (66% of all cases) accompanied by low boundary tones; non-discourse boundaries, on the other hand, systematically end in non-low boundary tone (75% of all cases). This difference is significant ($\chi^2=21.810$, df=213, $p<0.001$).

Table 2: Distribution of boundary tone (low & non-low) as a function of boundary types (end of a major discourse unit, YES & elsewhere, NO) Values are relative to the total amount of occurrences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discourse boundary</th>
<th>Boundary tone</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Non-low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Pitch reset

It has been suggested that the melodic discontinuity that occurs between information units - a consequence of the natural declination of pitch in the course of an utterance - is an important cue for discourse segmentation ([16], [20], [23], [29], [33], [37]). Table 3 below indicates a very clear association of pitch reset value and boundary type in Suyá:

Table 3: Mean pitch reset values at the end of a major discourse unit (YES) and elsewhere (NO) Values are expressed in semitones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discourse boundary</th>
<th>Pitch reset (semitones)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Higher pitch reset values are clearly associated with narrative boundaries, as illustrated in the chart above. Statistical analyses yielded significant results ($t=2.975$, df=213, $p<0.0032$). Therefore, pitch reset appears to be a very accurate indicator of discourse section boundaries in procedural texts in Suyá.

5. Discussion

The present paper investigated whether Suyá, an Amazonian language of the Jé group, makes use of prosody as a structural device in discourse. An empirical model based on the speaker’s intention was used to verify whether pause, boundary tone, and pitch reset is systematically employed in procedural discourse as cues to its internal structure.

The resulting analysis indicated that pausing is consistently used in Suyá as a means of segmenting “chunks of information” in procedural texts. Specifically, pauses are consistently longer at the end of discourse units than within these units, suggesting thus that it is employed to make the segmentation of procedural texts into “sections” characterized by a semantic consistency transparent to the audience. It was also found that the difference of pitch range values of adjacent intonation units (pitch reset) is a very significant cue to discourse segmentation in the language — discourse units tend to be separated from one another by means of a higher pitch reset value.

Furthermore, boundary-marking pitch movements were also found to be associated with discourse structure, because they often indicate whether a given unit has come to an end or not. Low boundary tones are regularly used to mark the end of a unit.

These are not new findings when it comes to the study of prosodic features in discourse, but they are nevertheless of interest for at least an extremely important reason: very little is still known about the organization of discourse in Amazonian languages, especially on whether prosody is employed as a structuring device in any of them – as it happens in many other (European) languages. It would be obviously naive to assume that Suyá would behave like the European languages for which discourse characteristics in general and prosodic features in particular have been studied.\(^{10}\) In that sense, this preliminary study stands as an important contribution to the debate. After all, only with a large body of research on different aspects of prosody and discourse carried out in different communities can one test the validity of the existing findings. This would consequently provide a deeper understanding of the various mechanisms of discourse in different languages and cultures.

6. Conclusions

The present experimental investigation is part of a major project that aims at documenting and describing a dying Amazonian language, Suyá. It demonstrated that speakers of this Northwestern Jé language systematically use prosody as a demarcative device in discourse, segmenting larger chunks of information with longer pauses, low boundary tone and higher pitch reset. This suggests that they seem to be aware of the existence of an underlying discourse structure, and that this awareness is evidenced through the regular use of prosodic features. Other prosodic features, such as intensity, final vowel lengthening, and speech rate need also to be accounted for in the future. Prosody, however, is not the only cue that can be used in the assignment of discourse structure. The interplay of an array of other linguistic and non-linguistic cues — such as syntactic, pragmatic, gestural, and visual cues — is what causes the audience to infer the intended global structure of a given text. It would be thus interesting to investigate the interaction of prosody with some other contextualizing cues in the process of demarcating discourse structure in Suyá, as for example, the relation between prosodic and gestural cues – something that they seem to exploit a lot.

\(^{10}\) See the works by Everett ([12], for example), which deals with the idiosyncrasies of some Amazonian languages.
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