
Applied Cryptography and Computer Security

CSE 664 Spring 2020

Lecture 15: Discrete Logarithms and ElGamal Encryption

**Department of Computer Science and Engineering
University at Buffalo**

What's Next

- So far we looked at a **public-key encryption scheme modulo a composite**
 - the difficulty of breaking it lies in factoring and computing roots modulo a composite
- Now we are going to study a **public-key encryption scheme modulo a prime**
 - discrete logarithms
 - Diffie-Hellman problem
 - ElGamal encryption

Terminology Recap

- Recall that **group** G is a set of elements together with
 - a binary operation for which the associative law holds and the set is closed under that operation
 - a unique identity element
 - and unique inverses for each element a of G
- The **multiplicative group modulo m** is denoted by \mathbb{Z}_m^*
- A **cyclic group** is one that contains an element a whose powers a^i and a^{-i} make up the entire group
- An element a with such property is called a **generator** of the group

ElGamal Encryption

- The idea behind **ElGamal encryption**
 - we are given a cyclic multiplicative group G
 - let $m \in G$ be an arbitrary element
 - if g is an element of G chosen uniformly at random, then so is $g' = g \cdot m$
 - m is perfectly protected
 - we want g to be pseudorandom
 - g is computable using the private key sk and can't be guessed otherwise

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- Discrete logarithms

- we are given a cyclic group G of order q
- then there exists an element $g \in G$ such that
$$G = \langle g \rangle = \{g^i : 0 \leq i \leq q - 1\}$$
- for each $h \in G$ there is a unique x such that $g^x = h$
- such x is called the discrete logarithm of h with respect to g and we use
$$x = \log_g h$$
- many properties of regular logarithms apply
 - $\log_g 1 = 0$
 - $\log_g(h_1 \cdot h_2) = (\log_g h_1 + \log_g h_2) \bmod q$

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- The discrete logarithm problem
 - in a cyclic group G with given generator g , compute unique $\log_g h$ for a random element $h \in G$
- Let PPT algorithm $Set(1^k)$ output a cyclic group G of order q and generator $g \in G$
- The discrete logarithm experiment $DLog_{\mathcal{A}, Set}(k)$:
 1. Run $(G, q, g) \leftarrow Set(1^k)$ and choose random $h \in G$
 2. \mathcal{A} is given G, q, g, h and outputs $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$
 3. the experiment outputs 1 if $g^x = h$ and 0 otherwise

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- We say that the **discrete logarithm problem is hard** (relative to Set) if any PPT adversary \mathcal{A} cannot win the discrete logarithm experiment with a non-negligible probability

$$\Pr[\text{DLog}_{\mathcal{A}, Set}(k) = 1] \leq \text{negl}(k)$$

- **When is the discrete logarithm problem hard?**
 - most often a multiplicative group modulo prime p , \mathbb{Z}_p^* , or its subgroup is used
 - the choice of parameters is driven by known algorithms for solving the discrete logarithm problem

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- Is the discrete logarithm problem generally hard?
 - it is hard in (\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \cdot) using proper parameters
 - how about $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$?
 - let $\gcd(g, n) = 1$, so g is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_n
 - now $g^x \bmod n$ in multiplicative groups translates to $gx \bmod n$
 - the discrete logarithm problem is then $gx \equiv h \pmod{n}$
 - but since $\gcd(g, n) = 1$, we can compute $g^{-1} \bmod n$
 - now $x = \log_g h = hg^{-1} \bmod n$
 - are there other cases when $\log_g h$ is hard?

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- Algorithms for solving discrete log
 - there are generic algorithms that work for every cyclic group
 - e.g., Shanks' method, Pollard rho method, Pohlig-Hellman algorithm
 - there are algorithms that work for certain groups only
 - they rely on particular representation of the group
 - they are faster and will require larger security parameters
 - e.g., general number field sieve for (\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \cdot) with prime p
 - for certain groups, there are no better attacks than generic algorithms
 - e.g., groups over elliptic curves

Algorithms for Discrete Logarithm Problem

- Shanks' baby-step/giant-step algorithm
 - the algorithm requires $O(\sqrt{q})$ steps for groups of order q
 - “giant steps” are of size \sqrt{q} and “baby steps” are of size 1
 - algorithm steps on input $G = \langle g \rangle$ and $h \in G$
 1. set $t = \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor$
 2. for $i = 0$ to $\lfloor q/t \rfloor$, compute $g_i = g^{i \cdot t}$
 3. sort pairs (i, g_i) by second value
 4. for $i = 0$ to t , compute $h_i = h \cdot g^i$; if $h_i = g_j$ for some j , return $jt - i \bmod q$
 - taking into account sorting and mod exponentiations & multiplications, overall complexity is $O(\sqrt{q} \cdot \text{polylog}(q))$

Algorithms for Discrete Logarithm Problem

- Pohlig-Hellman algorithm

- works when factorization of group order q is known or can be computed
- reduces the problem of computing discrete log in groups of order $q = q_1 \cdot q_2$ to discrete log in groups of order q_1 and q_2
- it uses a variant of the Chinese remainder theorem
- thus, group order q must always contain at least one large factor

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- Discrete logarithm problem
 - groups of prime order are a popular choice because
 - Pohlig-Hellman algorithm is not effective
 - finding a generator is easy: each element is a generator
 - exponent manipulation is easier: each exponent has an inverse
 - other security assumptions are more likely to hold
- How do we produce a group of prime order or with a large factor in the group order?

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- A **subgroup** of a group is a subset of the group that forms a group with the same binary operation
 - for example, powers a^i in a multiplicative group can “hit” only a subset of the group elements
- A group (\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \cdot) for prime p has order $\phi(p) = p - 1$
- Subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_p^* can have any order q that divides $p - 1$
 - we often might want $p = 2q + 1$ for prime p and q
 - or we can have $p = 2qt + 1$ for reasonably large prime q and some t

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- How do we generate a subgroup?
 - let order of some group G be $q = q_1 \cdot q_2$
 - to obtain generator of subgroup of order q_1 , we often can pick $a \in G$ and set $g = a^{q_2}$
- How do we generate proper prime p ?
 - we want to generate primes p and q such that $q | (p - 1)$
 - to do so, we need to know the factorization of $p - 1$
 - **approach 1**: generate a random prime p and then factor $p - 1$
 - **approach 2**: generate a random q first and then choose r such that $p = 2rq + 1$ is prime

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- The choice of parameters
 - for group \mathbb{Z}_p^* , p needs to be large enough for discrete log to be hard to solve
 - fastest algorithm runs on average in $2^{O(k^{1/3}(\log k)^{2/3})}$ time
 - today this requires $|p|$ of 2048 bits or higher
 - the group order must have at least one large factor to prevent exhaustive search
 - e.g., 224 bits or higher
 - if the group order is prime, it can be relatively short (same 224 bits)
 - this can improve performance

ElGamal Public-Key Encryption

- ElGamal encryption
 - a public-key encryption published in 1985 by ElGamal
 - its security relies on the discrete logarithm problem being hard
 - the encryption operation is randomized
 - a ciphertext is twice as long as the original message
 - the idea:
 - the plaintext m is masked by multiplying it by h^y
 - another value g^y is transmitted as part of the ciphertext
 - knowing the private key, one can compute h^y from g^y and unmask the message

ElGamal Public-Key Encryption

- Key generation

- choose a cyclic group G of order q and a generator $g \in G$
- choose a random x from \mathbb{Z}_q and compute $h = g^x$
- public key: $pk = (G, q, g, h)$
- private key: $sk = x$

- Encryption

- to encrypt a message $m \in G$ using public key $pk = (G, q, g, h)$
- choose a random number $y \in \mathbb{Z}_q$
- compute the ciphertext as $c = \text{Enc}_{pk}(m) = (c_1, c_2) = (g^y, m \cdot h^y)$

ElGamal Public-Key Encryption

- Decryption

- given a ciphertext $c = (c_1, c_2)$ and keys $pk = (G, q, g, h)$, $sk = x$
- decrypt the ciphertext as $m = \text{Dec}_{sk}(c) = c_2 \cdot c_1^{-x}$

- Correctness

- we show that $\text{Dec}_{sk}(\text{Enc}_{pk}(m)) = m$
- the decryption is

ElGamal Public-Key Encryption

- Example

- key generation

- let $p = 2579$ and $g = 2$
- suppose we choose $x = 765$ and compute $h = 2^{765} \bmod 2579 = 949$
- the public key is $pk = (2579, 2, 949)$
- the secret key is $sk = 765$

- encryption

- to encrypt $m = 1299$, suppose we choose $y = 853$
- to encrypt, first compute $c_1 = 2^{853} \bmod 2579 = 435$ and $c_2 = 1299 \cdot 949^{853} \bmod 2579 = 2396$

ElGamal Public-Key Encryption

- **Example** (cont.)

- encryption

- the ciphertext is $c = (435, 2396)$

- decryption

- given $c = (c_1, c_2)$, we decrypt the message by computing $c_2 \cdot (c_1^x)^{-1} \bmod p$:

$$m = 2396 \cdot (435^{765})^{-1} \bmod 2579 = 1299$$

- **Security** of ElGamal encryption

- depends on the discrete logarithm problem in G being infeasible
- but it is based on a different hardness assumption

ElGamal Public-Key Encryption

- On parameter choice in ElGamal
 - if we use \mathbb{Z}_p^* or its subgroup
 - p should have at least 2000 bits for the discrete logarithm to be hard
 - if g is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_p^*
 - $p - 1$ should have at least one large prime factor
 - if g does not generate \mathbb{Z}_p^*
 - we can use a subgroup of a smaller size
 - e.g., prime order q of length 224 bits is sufficient
 - the group doesn't have to be \mathbb{Z}_p^*
 - other choices include groups defined over elliptic curves

ElGamal Public-Key Encryption

- On parameter choice in ElGamal
 - sharing parameters
 - unlike in cryptosystems that use composite modulus, here the same p and g can be used in many keys
 - when modulus $n = pq$ is used, its factorization is often the private key or allows to compute the private key
 - here both p and g are public
 - that makes this encryption secure is the knowledge of the secret value x
 - a fresh value of y should be picked for each encryption

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol
 - Alice and Bob want to compute a shared key unknown to eavesdroppers
 - Alice and Bob share public parameters: a group G of order q and a generator g
 - Alice randomly chooses $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ and sends g^x to Bob: $A \xrightarrow{g^x} B$
 - Bob randomly chooses $y \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ and sends g^y to Alice: $A \xleftarrow{g^y} B$
 - the shared secret is set to g^{xy}
 - Alice computes it as $(g^y)^x = g^{xy}$
 - Bob computes it as $(g^x)^y = g^{xy}$
 - it is believed to be infeasible for an eavesdropper to compute g^{xy} given g^x and g^y

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol
 - Alice and Bob are able to establish a shared secret with no prior relationship
 - it is believed to be infeasible for an eavesdropper to compute g^{xy} given g^x and g^y
- Diffie-Hellman problem
 - Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem
 - given g , g^x and g^y , compute g^{xy}
 - Decision Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem
 - given g , g^x , g^y , and g^z , determine whether $xy = z$ (modulo q)

Diffie-Hellman Problem

- As before, **CDH (DDH) problem is hard** if any PPT adversary \mathcal{A} has at most negligible probability in solving it
- **Diffie-Hellman problem**
 - DDH is a stronger assumption than CDH
 - breaking CDH implies breaking DDH, but the converse is not true
 - discrete log is at least as hard as CDH
 - security of the Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol is based on the CDH assumption

Security of ElGamal Encryption

- Going back to ElGamal
 - if the CDH assumption holds, ElGamal is one-way
 - i.e., if you can solve the CDH problem, you will be able to decrypt
 - if the DDH assumption holds, ElGamal is secure in the sense of indistinguishability
- **Formally:** if the DDH problem is hard (relative to Set), then the ElGamal encryption scheme has **indistinguishable encryptions under a chosen-plaintext attack**

Security of ElGamal Encryption

- Security proof sketch

Summary

- The **discrete logarithm problem** is considered hard in groups modulo a large prime
 - many constructions rely on it
- **ElGamal** is an example of encryption that assumes hardness of discrete logarithm problem
- **Diffie-Hellman key exchange** is built using similar assumptions
 - two types of hardness assumptions are known as computational and decision Diffie-Hellman problems
- ElGamal is **CPA-secure** under the DDH assumption, but the construction the way it was described requires further changes