

---

# **Applied Cryptography and Data Security**

## **CSE 664 Spring 2020**

### **Lecture 2: Classical Ciphers**

**Department of Computer Science and Engineering**  
**University at Buffalo**



# Encryption

- Goal: secrecy of communication
- Basic terminology
  - plaintext or message
  - ciphertext
  - cryptographic key
- **Encryption scheme** is defined by algorithms
  - Gen: setup public parameters and key(s)
  - Enc: given a message  $m$  and encryption key, output ciphertext  $c$
  - Dec: given a ciphertext  $c$  and decryption key, output plaintext  $m$  or fail

# Encryption

- Gen can be configurable and takes a parameter  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  called security parameter
- Encryption scheme  $\mathcal{E} = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  has associated
  - message space  $\mathcal{M}$
  - ciphertext space  $\mathcal{C}$
  - key space  $\mathcal{K}$
- We obtain:
  - $\text{Gen} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$
  - $\text{Enc} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$
  - $\text{Dec} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$



# Types of Encryption

- Symmetric key encryption
- Public-key encryption
- How about cryptography beyond encryption?

# History of Ciphers

- Date back to 2500+ years
- An ongoing battle between codemakers and codebreakers
- Driven by current communication and computation technology
  - paper and ink
  - radio, cryptographic engines
  - computers and digital communication

# Caesar Cipher

- Caesar cipher works on individual letters
  - associates each letter with a number between 0 and 25, i.e.,  $A = 0$ ,  $B = 1$ , etc.
  - message space is  $\mathcal{M} = \{0, \dots, 25\}$  and ciphertext space is  $\mathcal{C} = \{0, \dots, 25\}$
- **Encryption:** shift the letter right by 3 positions, i.e.,  
 $\text{Enc}(m) = (m + 3) \bmod 26$
- **Decryption:** shift the letter left by 3 positions, i.e.,  
 $\text{Dec}(c) = (c - 3) \bmod 26$

# Caesar Cipher

- Example

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z  
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

- Message  $M = \text{CIPHER}$

- Ciphertext  $C = ?$

- Assuming Kerckhoffs' principle, how do you break shift cipher?

# Shift Cipher

- Shift cipher is generalization of Caesar cipher
  - uses a key with key space  $\mathcal{K} = \{1, \dots, 25\}$
- Gen: choose  $k \xleftarrow{R} \mathcal{K}$
- Enc: given key  $k$ , shift the letter right by  $k$  positions, i.e.,  
 $\text{Enc}_k(m) = (m + k) \bmod 26$
- Dec: given key  $k$ , shift the letter left by  $k$  positions, i.e.,  
 $\text{Dec}_k(c) = (c - k) \bmod 26$
- How hard is this one to break? What does it tell us?

# Substitution Cipher

- Similarly, operates on one letter at a time ( $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}_{26}$ )
- The **key space** consists of **all possible permutations** of the 26 symbols  $0, \dots, 25$
- Gen: choose a random permutation  $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_{26} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{26}$
- Enc: permute using  $\pi$ , i.e.,  $\text{Enc}_{\pi}(m) = \pi(m)$
- Dec: reverse permutation, i.e.,  $\text{Dec}_{\pi}(c) = \pi^{-1}(c)$ , where  $\pi^{-1}$  is the inverse permutation to  $\pi$
- **Example**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
X	N	Y	A	H	P	O	G	Z	Q	W	B	T	S	F	L	R	C	V	M	U	E	K	J	D	I

# Substitution Cipher

- **Key space** is  $26! \approx 4 \cdot 10^{26}$ 
  - exhaustive (or brute-force) search is no longer possible
  - the cipher thought to be unbreakable at the time it was used
- The key to breaking the cipher lies in **frequency analysis**
- **The fact:** each language has certain features such as frequency of letters and frequency of groups of letters
- **Substitution cipher preserves such features**

# Substitution Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Probabilities of occurrence of English language letters:

letter	prob	letter	prob	letter	prob	letter	prob
A	0.082	H	0.061	O	0.075	V	0.010
B	0.015	I	0.070	P	0.019	W	0.023
C	0.028	J	0.002	Q	0.001	X	0.001
D	0.043	K	0.008	R	0.060	Y	0.020
E	0.127	L	0.040	S	0.063	Z	0.001
F	0.022	M	0.024	T	0.091		
G	0.020	N	0.067	U	0.028		

- The common sequences of two or three consecutive letters (digrams and trigrams, resp.) are also known
- **Other language features:** vowels constitute 40% of plaintext, letter Q is always followed by U, etc.

# Substitution Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Given a ciphertext, **count different characters and their combinations** to determine the frequency of usage
- **Examine the ciphertext for patterns**, repeated series, etc.
- **Replace ciphertext characters** with possible plaintext equivalents using known language characteristics

- **Example:**

```
Y I F Q F M Z R W Q F Y V E C F M D Z P C V M R Z W N M D Z V E J B T X C D D U M J  
N D I F E F M D Z C D M Q Z K C E Y F C J M Y R N C W J C S Z R E X C H Z U N M X Z  
N Z U C D R J X Y Y S M R T M E Y I F Z W D Y V Z V Y F Z U M R Z C R W N Z D Z J J  
X Z W G C H S M R N M D H N C M F Q C H Z J M X J Z W I E J Y U C F W D J N Z D I R
```

## Another Attack on Shift Ciphers

- Using probabilities we can also automate cryptanalysis of shift cipher
  - why is previous approach harder to automate?
- How this attack works
  - let  $p_i$  denote the probability of  $i$ th letter,  $0 \leq i \leq 25$ , in English text
  - using known values for  $p_i$ 's, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{25} p_i^2 \approx 0.065$$

- let  $q_i$  denote the probability of  $i$ th letter in a ciphertext
  - how is it computed?

## Another Attack on Shift Ciphers

- How this attack works (cont.)
  - if the key was  $k$ , then we expect  $q_{i+k} \approx p_i$
  - so test each value of  $k$  using

$$I_j = \sum_{i=0}^{25} p_i \cdot q_{i+j}$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq 25$

- output  $k$  for which  $I_k$  is closest to 0.065

# Vigenère Cipher

- The security of the substitution cipher can be improved **if each letter is mapped to different letters**
  - such ciphers are called **polyalphabetic**
  - shift and substitution ciphers are both **monoalphabetic**
- In Vigenère cipher, the **key is a string of length  $\ell$**  and is called a **keyword**
- **Encryption is performed on  $\ell$  characters** at a time similar to the shift cipher

# Vigenère Cipher

- Gen: choose  $\ell \leftarrow \mathbb{N}$  and random key  $k \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_{26}^{\ell}$
- Enc: given key  $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\ell})$ , encrypt  $\ell$ -character message  $m$  as
$$\text{Enc}_k(m_1, \dots, m_{\ell}) = ((m_1 + k_1) \bmod 26, \dots, (m_{\ell} + k_{\ell}) \bmod 26)$$
- To decrypt  $c$  using  $k$ :
$$\text{Dec}_k(c_1, \dots, c_{\ell}) = ((c_1 - k_1) \bmod 26, \dots, (c_{\ell} - k_{\ell}) \bmod 26)$$

# Vigenère Cipher

- Example:

- using  $\ell = 4$  and the keyword  $k = \text{LUCK}$ , encrypt the plaintext

$m = \text{CRYPTOGRAPHY}$

- rewrite the key as  $k = (11, 20, 2, 10)$  and compute the ciphertext as:

2	17	24	15	19	14	6	17	0	15	7	24
11	20	2	10	11	20	2	10	11	20	2	10
<hr/>											
13	11	0	25	4	8	8	1	11	9	9	8

- the ciphertext is  $c = \text{NLAZEIIBLJJI}$

# Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Shift ciphers are vulnerable to frequency analysis attacks, but what about the Vigenère cipher?
- As the length of the keyword increases, **usage of letters no longer follows language structure**
- Think of this cipher as a **collection of several shift ciphers**
- Now the first task is to **find the length of the key  $\ell$**
- Then we can **divide the message into  $\ell$  parts** and use frequency analysis on each

# Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- There are two methods to find the key length: **Kasisky test** and **index of coincidence**
- **Kasisky test:**
  - two identical segments of plaintext will be encrypted to the same ciphertext if they are  $\delta$  positions apart where  $\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$
  - search for identical segments (of length  $\geq 3$ ) and record the distances between them  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$
  - $\ell$  divides the  $\delta_i$ 's  $\Rightarrow \ell$  divides  $\gcd(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$

# Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Index of coincidence:

- assume we are given a string  $x = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$  of  $n$  characters
- index of coincidence of  $x$ ,  $I_c(x)$ , is measures the likelihood that two randomly drawn elements of  $x$  are identical
- as before, let  $q_i$  denote probability of  $i$ th letter in  $x$
- index of coincidence is computed (in simplified form) as

$$I_c(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{25} q_i^2$$

- for English text, we get 0.065
- for random strings, each  $q_i$  has roughly the same probability

# Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- **Index of coincidence:**

- for  $q_i = 1/26$ , we get

$$I_c(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{25} \left(\frac{1}{26}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{26} \approx 0.038$$

- Thus we can **test for various key lengths** to see whether  $I_c$  of the ciphertext is close to that of English
- We first divide the ciphertext string  $c = c_1 \dots c_n$  into  $\ell$  substrings  $s_1, \dots, s_\ell$  and write them in a matrix

# Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Guessing key length:

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_{\ell+1} & \cdots & c_{n-\ell+1} \\ c_2 & c_{\ell+2} & \cdots & c_{n-\ell+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_\ell & c_{2\ell} & \cdots & c_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} = C_1 \\ = C_2 \\ \vdots \\ = C_\ell \end{matrix}$$

- compute  $I_c(C_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$
- if the values are not close to 0.065, try a different key length  $\ell$
- Once the key size is determined, use frequency analysis on each  $C_i$

# Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- How index of coincidence is derived
  - denote the frequency of  $i$ th letter in  $x$  by  $f_i$
  - so we have  $q_i = f_i/n$  for  $n$ -character  $x$
  - we can choose two elements in  $x$  in  $\binom{n}{2}$  ways
    - recall that the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(k!(n-k)!)}$
  - for each letter  $i$ , there are  $\binom{f_i}{2}$  ways of choosing both elements to be  $i$

$$I_c(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{25} \binom{f_i}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{25} f_i(f_i - 1)}{n(n - 1)} \approx \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{25} f_i^2}{n^2} = \sum_{i=0}^{25} q_i^2$$

# Cipher Cryptanalysis

- **Types of attacks** on encryption:
  - **ciphertext only attack**: the cryptanalyst knows a number of ciphertexts
  - **known plaintext attack**: the cryptanalyst knows a number of ciphertexts and the corresponding plaintexts
  - **chosen plaintext attack**: the cryptanalyst can obtain encryptions of chosen plaintext messages
  - **chosen ciphertext attack**: the cryptanalyst can obtain decryptions of chosen ciphertexts
- Which did we use so far? what about others?
- How realistic are they?

# Summary

- **Encryption**: definitions, types, properties
- **Shift ciphers** have small key space and are easy to break using brute force search
- **Substitution ciphers** preserve language features and are vulnerable to frequency analysis attacks
- **Vigenère ciphertexts** can be decrypted as well
  - once the key length is found, frequency analysis can be applied