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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to provide a method for classifying non-fatigued vs. fatigued states following manual material handling. A method of template matching pattern recognition for feature extraction ($\dagger$ Recognizer) along with the support vector machine model for classification were applied on the kinematics of gait cycles segmented by our stepwise search-based segmentation algorithm. A single inertial measurement unit on the ankle was used, providing a minimally intrusive and inexpensive tool for monitoring. The classifier distinguished between states using distance-based scores from the recogniser and the step duration. The results of fatigue detection showed an accuracy of 90\% across data from 20 recruited subjects. This method utilises the minimum amount of data and features from only one low-cost sensor to reliably classify the state of fatigue induced by a realistic manufacturing task using a simple machine learning algorithm that can be extended to real-time fatigue monitoring as a future technology to be employed in the manufacturing facilities.

Practitioner Summary: We examined the use of a wearable sensor for the detection of fatigue-related changes in gait based on a simulated manual material handling task. Classification based on foot acceleration and position trajectories resulted in 90\% accuracy. This method provides a practical framework for predicting realistic levels of fatigue.

Introduction

Worker fatigue is a highly prevalent phenomenon worldwide. Fatigue prevalence is estimated to be 37.9\% in the US workforce (Ricci et al. 2007). Worker fatigue is associated with an excess cost of $101 billion in the U.S. (Yung et al. 2014). It has been shown that physically demanding work, characterised by forceful exertions, prolonged duration, repetitiveness and their interactions, places high stresses on the body, which in the absence of rest can result in fatigue (Kumar 2001). Fatigue and incomplete recovery can reduce capacity, increase injury risk and decrease work efficiency (Kumar 2001; Loose, Bosch, and Dieën 2009; Visser and van Dieën 2006; Yung et al. 2014). At worst, fatigue can result in accidents and death (Williamson et al. 2011). Significantly reducing the incidence of fatigue-induced workplace injuries depends on accurate and timely detection of fatigue, which can be achieved through the utilization of wearable, minimally invasive sensors for real-time monitoring of fatigue development (Maman et al. 2016).

In this research, we focus on lower extremity muscle fatigue since it is a significant risk factor for slip-induced falls (Lew and Qu 2014; Parijat and Lockhart 2008a), which result in approximately 13\% of non-fatal workplace injuries in the US (Bureau of Labor Statistics 2016). Lower extremity fatigue adversely affects proprioception (Gear 2011; Skinner et al. 1986) and movement coordination (Helbostad et al. 2007) leading to postural instability, motor control impairment and deviations from normal walking patterns of human gait (Lin et al. 2009; Lockhart et al. 2013; Lu et al. 2017; Parijat and Lockhart 2008a; Qu and Yeo 2011). Furthermore, walking remains a primary activity in manufacturing, construction, agriculture and other physically demanding occupations, which results in experiencing fatigue in the workers (Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra 2014). A survey of manufacturing workers showed an average walking of 5.7 h in each shift at work and approximately 45\% of the workers reported to be fatigued due to the high levels of walking in their jobs (Lu et al. 2017). Quantitative assessment of the data from inertial measurement unit (IMU) in construction workers
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shows the ability of kinematics in measuring the level of gait stability as well as the correlation between gait abnormalities and fatigue-induced falls (Jebelli, Ahn, and Stentz 2015, 2016; Yang et al. 2017).

To detect lower extremity fatigue, it is important to understand some of its symptoms to be considered as the modelled features (Baghdadi et al. 2017). Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra (2014) reported that lower extremity muscle fatigue resulted in: (a) increased step width, (b) a greater than 2-fold increase in jerk cost and (c) higher resultant acceleration. Some of the reported differences (especially the step width) by Barbieri, dos Santos, Lirani-Silva et al. (2013) were subtle, i.e. may not be easily detectable by a human eye. However, they were identifiable using an IMU placed on the sternum, combined with optical motion capture on the lower extremity. In addition, according to Helbostad et al. (2007), there were significant increases in step width, mediolateral trunk acceleration and step length variability with fatigue. From the results reported by Barbieri, dos Santos, Lirani-Silva et al. (2013), Helbostad et al. (2007), and Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra (2014) the observed changes in gait parameters between fatigued and non-fatigued states can serve as a basis for continuous monitoring for fatigue detection.

There has been an increasing number of studies that utilise statistical methods and/or data mining methodologies to classify human motion and its associated movement patterns before and after a fatiguing task (Begg and Kamruzzaman 2005; Helbostad et al. 2007; Kavanagh, Morrison, and Barrett 2006; Lau, Tong, and Zhu 2008; Lee et al. 2009; Parijat and Lockhart 2008a; Yoshino et al. 2004; Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra 2014). Although numerous studies have been devoted to motion analysis, there are limited studies on utilizing kinematic features for the purpose of fatigue detection and how such methods can be applied in different operational environments. There are several reasons for the lack of practical adoption of these methods in detecting fatigue despite its documented importance. First, several of the existing studies are based on motion capture systems and/or force plate measurements (e.g. Begg and Kamruzzaman 2005; Lau, Tong, and Zhu 2008; Lee et al. 2009; Strohmann et al. 2012). These systems exclude continuous monitoring outside laboratory environments (Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra 2014). Second, studies that used IMUs were devoted to distinguish between extremely fatigued and non-fatigued states. Their experimental protocols do not mimic typical occupationally relevant tasks (Helbostad et al. 2007; Yoshino et al. 2004; Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra 2014). Third, the algorithms used for human movement analysis include complex methods (e.g. the use of Hidden Markov Model (HMM) by Karg et al. (2014)). These algorithms are computationally intensive, require large baseline samples and/or may not be suitable for real-time classification of fatigue.

In this paper, we examine the use of a single IMU, strapped at the right ankle, for continuous monitoring of fatigue symptoms using a template matching pattern recognition technique, along with machine learning algorithms for classifying non-fatigued vs. fatigued states of the human body during walking. The reason for using a single IMU and only on the ankle is to provide a minimally intrusive, inexpensive approach that, if successful, can be used for fatigue detection in the workplace. To achieve this objective, we examine the following research questions:

1. Can a single IMU placed at the right ankle be sufficient to capture the subtle changes in gait due to fatigue? We examine the ankle since it is, in our opinion, a minimally intrusive location that does not add potential safety risks (e.g. IMUs at the wrist near machinery) and can be used to detect walking steps and/or gait changes.
2. Can a computationally efficient classifier be used to distinguish between fatigued and non-fatigued states? We examine the use of the $1 Recognizer to address this question since it is computationally efficient and has been developed for motion (finger gesture) recognition (Wobbrock, Wilson, and Li 2007).

**Method**

We propose a four-step approach, which we summarise in Figure 1 showing the procedure of data collection, data pre-processing, classification and model evaluation used to meet the objective of classifying fatigued vs. non-fatigued states. The detailed description of each procedure is provided in the following sections.

**Data collection**

**Participants**

Fourteen males and six females (mean age 37.1 (17.5) years, mean stature 171.8 (8.6) cm and mean body mass 76.0 (14.6) kg with the standard deviations presented in the brackets) were recruited to participate in this study from the local workforces and students with some level of manual work. Our focus is on a healthy worker population, so exclusion criteria included reported cardiovascular diseases, musculoskeletal disorders, or a history of injury or pain that would interfere with completion of the experiment. All individuals completed the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q) (Thomas, Reading, and Shephard 1992) at the start of the session to assess their ability to participate. Study protocols were approved by
the University at Buffalo Institutional Review Board and all participants completed an informed consent procedure after being informed about the details of the experiment.

**Experimental procedures**

Participants completed a three-hour manual material handling (MMH) session, where they continuously palletised and transported several weighted containers. MMH represents a demanding task that occurs frequently in warehousing and shipping operations. The participants were advised to perform the following specific tasks: (a) pick up one of 18 cartons (numbered 1–18, half colour-coded blue and half red and weighing 10, 18 or 26 kg with six cartons in each weight and a random distribution of all factors), (b) place it on a two-wheeled dolly, (c) walk while pushing the dolly on a set path and (d) deliver the carton to a prescribed location in a simulated warehouse set-up. The detailed sequence of the task, footwear and floor type (grade resilient tile) are shown in Figure 2. The rectangular-shaped walkway consisted of periods of straight walking, turns on level ground, stops at the beginning and destination, and bending over to load and unload the box onto the dolly. The map of experimental walkway next to the simulated warehouse is provided in Figure 3. This task consisted of three sets of deliveries provided to them on an instruction sheet, based on the carton numbers, colour codes and fragility. The delivery path length for each box was ~80 m and the target timeframe requested to maintain for one delivery set of 18 boxes was 30 min. Participants provided a rating of perceived exertion (RPE) on a 6–20 scale (Borg 1982) every 10 min and a rating of subjective fatigue level (SFL) every 30 min. The subjective ratings of RPE greater than 10 and SFL greater than 5 at the end of the task were considered to decide on the inclusion of a participant. The experimental procedure including the samples of raw data for non-fatigued and fatigued states is illustrated in Figure 4.

The MMH data/experiment are a subset of our larger study presented in Maman et al. (2017). In our larger study, the participants completed two additional sessions: (a) supply pickup and insertion and (b) parts assembly. Due to the varied nature of the activities, our data collection for the larger study involved the use of three additional IMUs placed at the hip, back of the torso, and wrist, and a heart rate monitor on the chest (Nardolillo, Baghdadi, and Cavuoto 2017). This paper only focuses on the MMH data since it is the most walking intensive task and thus, presents the opportunity for examining gait kinematics for fatigue detection.

**Instrumentation**

While performing the task, the participants were instrumented with an IMU placed at the right ankle (Figure 5). The IMU was a Shimmer3 (Shimmer, Dublin,
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51.2 Hz, which was sufficient for our purpose. Increasing this rate would have resulted in unnecessary large data-sets due to the long duration of the experiment. Post-processing and analysis of the signals were performed using Matlab R2015b (MathWorks, USA, www.mathworks.com).

Data pre-processing

Kalman filtering

Calibrated data from the sensors were used in a Kalman filter with the assumption of uncorrelated white Gaussian process and measurement noises to estimate the spatial

Figure 2. The detailed sequence of task along with the floor type demonstration as grade resilient tile. Note: The bottom right corner images show the type of footwear and the placement of IMU at the ankle.

Figure 3. The map of experiment walkway next to the warehouse for stacking the boxes using a dolly. Notes: The walkway had a rectangular shape that included straight walks and turns on level ground. The delivery path length for each box was ~80 m.
Using the quaternions, any arbitrary vector \( \mathbf{w}_{xyz} \) in the body frame \( (x\ y\ z) \) can be represented in the global frame of reference \( (X\ Y\ Z) \) as \( \mathbf{w}_{xyz} \) through the transformation relation. The rotation would be about the unit vector \( \hat{n} \) and by angle \( \theta \) (Diebel 2006; Pennestrì and Valentini 2010) represented by:

\[
\mathbf{w}_{xyz}(t) = \mathbf{q}(t)\mathbf{w}_{xyz}(t)\bar{\mathbf{q}}(t), \quad \mathbf{q}(t) = \cos\left(\theta(t)/2\right) + \sin\left(\theta(t)/2\right)\hat{n},
\]

where \( \bar{\mathbf{q}} \) is the quaternion conjugate of \( \mathbf{q} \), \( \theta \) is the rotation angle, and \( \hat{n} \) is the unit vector.

The raw measured acceleration data in the body frame \( \mathbf{a}_{xyz} \) was transformed to the global frame of reference...
(a_{XYZ}^\text{m}) and the acceleration data were passed through a 4th order Butterworth low-pass filter with a cutoff frequency of 4 Hz twice, first for each axis to get (a_{XYZ}^f) and second after finding the resultant magnitude.

**Segmentation**

In the next step, the motion segments between a consecutive toe off and heel strike defined by stance points that are known as strides need to be identified. To this end, a robust segmentation algorithm was introduced. In this technique, a time window of the magnitude of acceleration data in pure walking containing 50 data points (approximately one second) was selected. This number was selected based on our observations for normal walking speed for each person and may be changed for any other gait speeds accordingly. The proposed stepwise search-based algorithm is shown in (2):

$$\Psi(a^f, t_1, t_2) = \left\{ \arg \max_{t} a_{XYZ, \text{peak}}^f(t) \right\} \left[ t \in [t_1, t_2] \right]$$

$$a_{XYZ, \text{peak}}^f(t_1)/a_{XYZ, \text{peak}}^f(t_2) > 1.2, a_{XYZ, \text{peak}}^f(t) > 5 \right\},$$

where \(\Psi(a^f)\) are the time points resulted from the stepwise search, \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) are the starting and ending points of the time window, \(t_1\) includes the first 25 data points and \(t_2\) includes the second 25 data points. In the above equation, \(a_{XYZ, \text{peak}}^f(t)\) is the peak value of the vector \(a_{XYZ}^f\) over a specific time period \(t\).

This segmentation algorithm works by considering the existence of two peaks in the translational acceleration of a gait cycle, a larger for heel strike and a smaller for toe off (Tongen and Wunderlich 2010). After dividing the time window into two equal sub-windows, if the smaller peak resided in the first and larger peak in the second sub-window and the ratio of the peak values was larger than a threshold of 1.2, the larger peak was considered for determination of a valid gait cycle. If not, the search continued until the two peaks met this criterion. The complementary condition for the acceleration value was a global maximum value higher than 5 m/s² for the whole time window. The minimum value of the larger peak and the next 20 points ensuring that the global minimum has been detected is the segmentation point. The acceleration magnitude of 5 m/s² is a minimum value for the smaller peak of acceleration magnitude that can certainly be considered for the active phase of a gait pattern knowing that the smaller peak in foot acceleration is reported to be at least 1 g (Sabatini et al. 2005). Having these time points, we can segment all other motion profiles, e.g. position, velocity, jerk and posture. The thresholds for segmentation were determined empirically to ensure that the highest accuracy for detecting the gait segments was achieved for all subjects in this level ground walking scenario. Considering that the walking gait data in any other complex scenarios (such as when walking on stairs) always include the two peaks in the waveform of acceleration magnitude, redefining the threshold values accordingly will make the algorithm applicable for these unique cases.

**Kinematics estimation**

After removing the gravity vector \(\mathbf{g}\) from the acceleration data represented in the global frame of reference, trapezoidal numerical integration was used to find the velocity. However, there is a significant bias in velocity calculations. Having the acceleration magnitude data segmented into consecutive strides, this issue can be addressed, as described below. Jerk was found using a first-order numerical differentiation of acceleration using simple two-point Newton’s difference quotient with the step size of \(1/\sqrt{1.2}\) s, and position through the numerical integration of the velocity. The position in the body frame was transferred to the Frenet–Serret coordinate system (i.e. \(\mathbf{p}_t(t)\)) using transformation relation presented in (1) and the quaternions that rotate the direction cosine of body frame to the mean value of direction cosines for the Frenet–Serret coordinate system over a complete gait cycle. Transformation to this coordinate system removes any effect of various sensor orientation on the ankle and provides true foot trajectory in a gait cycle.

The significant bias in the velocity calculated by integrating the accelerations represented in the global frame of reference for each axis is due to the substantial errors in quaternion estimations in Kalman filter (Bergamini et al. 2014) as well as the uncertainties of the gravity vector that deviates from \(\mathbf{g}\) in different geographical locations. In order to minimise these velocity errors, the modified Zero Velocity Update (ZUPT) algorithm introduced by Elwell (1999) and upgraded by Skog et al. (2010) was applied considering that the foot remains stationary for a short period of time between the end of heel strike phase until the start of toe off phase (Ghobadi and Esfahani 2017). During these stationary periods the magnitude of measured acceleration is equal to \(\mathbf{g}\). This modified algorithm finds the zero acceleration instances after each acceleration peak point in heel strike by:

$$||a_{XYZ}^f(t_{\text{peak}} + t' + \Delta t) - \mathbf{g}|| < 5, \Delta t = 0 \text{ to } 0.2 \text{ s.}$$

The first value of \(t'\) after \(t_{\text{peak}}\) satisfying the above condition represents the starting time point of the foot stationary state and \(t' + 0.2 \text{ s}\) is the ending time point. We empirically found that 0.2 s is the time period after the bigger peak that assuredly contains the zero acceleration magnitude. The ZUPT algorithm removes the bias by updating the velocity to be set to zero in the entire stationary period (Ghobadi and Esfahani 2017).
Fatigue classification

Motion components
An array \([\mathbf{M}]\) of motion components was introduced containing 8 pairs,

\[
\mathbf{M}_j = [(\mathbf{P}_e(t), \mathbf{P}_{\hat{e}}(t)), (\mathbf{t}, ||\mathbf{V}(t)||), (\mathbf{t}, ||\mathbf{a}(t)||), (\mathbf{t}, ||\mathbf{J}(t)||), (\mathbf{\theta}_x(t), \mathbf{\theta}_y(t)), (\mathbf{\theta}_x(t), \mathbf{\dot{\theta}}_x(t)), (\mathbf{\theta}_y(t), \mathbf{\dot{\theta}}_y(t)), (\mathbf{\theta}_z(t), \mathbf{\dot{\theta}}_z(t))],
\]

where \((\mathbf{P}_e(t), \mathbf{P}_{\hat{e}}(t))\) is the 2D position trajectory in Frenet–Serret coordinate system, \((\mathbf{t}, ||\mathbf{V}(t)||)\) is the profile of velocity magnitude vs. time, \((\mathbf{t}, ||\mathbf{a}(t)||)\) is the profile of acceleration magnitude vs. time, \((\mathbf{t}, ||\mathbf{J}(t)||)\) is the profile of jerk magnitude vs. time, and \((\mathbf{\theta}_x(t), \mathbf{\theta}_y(t)), (\mathbf{\theta}_x(t), \mathbf{\dot{\theta}}_x(t)), (\mathbf{\theta}_y(t), \mathbf{\dot{\theta}}_y(t)), (\mathbf{\theta}_z(t), \mathbf{\dot{\theta}}_z(t))\) are the profiles of angular position and angular velocity in \((x\ y\ z)\) coordinate system. Each component segment was normalised starting from zero, and a motion template is defined by a single segment of any of the pairs in the motion component array, e.g. \(\mathbf{T}_k = (\mathbf{M}_1^k(1), \mathbf{M}_1^k(2)) = (\mathbf{P}_e^k(t), \mathbf{P}_{\hat{e}}^k(t))\), where \(k\) represents the component segments as one gait step.

Motion templates of each motion component are expected to have a different pattern in the fatigued and non-fatigued states. This might not necessarily be visibly distinguishable; however, they can be recognised properly by means of finding the score-based point-to-point distance between templates.

Training data
A set of 2000 sample data points (~40 s) containing pure walking were manually extracted from the first 10 min of the experiment after warmup, to make sure the participants are completely accustomed to the task. This data-set was identified as non-fatigued. A similar procedure was applied for the last 10 min of data and identified as fatigued by considering an SFL of greater than 5 as a criterion. After segmentation of these two sets, batches of 25 strides were selected from each set as fatigued and non-fatigued training sets to get the Euclidean distance-based scores as one feature for the final classification by comparing each testing stride with both training batches in $1 Recognizer (Wobbrock, Wilson, and Li 2007).

Euclidean distance-based scores
Similar to the training part, two unique sets of ~40 s and two batches of 25 strides within the sets were extracted from the first and the last 10 min as the testing sets without any overlap with the training sets. Having an equal number of strides in both fatigued and non-fatigued states avoids the biased classification towards any of the states. Next, the testing batches of strides were concatenated together making an even pool of data. Then, one stride in each template was randomly selected from the pool and passed through the modified $1 Recognizer classifier along with each of the two fatigued and non-fatigued training batches of strides. This is a computationally simple algorithm with universal applications for gesture and pattern recognition which provides higher accuracies than other classifiers such as Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) (Myers and Rabiner 1981; Tappert, Suen, and Wakahara 1990) and Rubine (Rubine 1991). In addition, there is no need for the numerous training samples required for other sophisticated classifiers that have been used for this application (e.g. HMM (Anderson, Bailey, and Skubic 2004; Caò and Balakrishnan 2005; Sezgin and Davis 2005), neural networks (Pittman 1991) and statistical classifiers (Cho 2006)). In $1 Recognizer, a score was assigned by comparing the testing stride and each stride in the training batches based on the pointwise Euclidean distance between the selected testing segment and each of the fatigued and non-fatigued training segment classes. Please refer to Wobbrock, Wilson, and Li (2007) for more details on $1 Recognizer algorithm.

Support vector machine (SVM) classification
For each test stride, the mean of distance-based scores calculated in $1 Recognizer and the test stride duration were considered as feature data points for distinguishing between the two classes. A binary SVM classifier using Radial Basis kernel function (RBF) was then applied to the score and step duration feature data points of 50 random test strides. The kernel parameter was optimised on the training data-set and the values that maximise the classification rate were selected. In this step of classification, 20% of the feature data points were held out as testing and the SVM was trained based on the rest of the feature data points and for testing the classifier, the testing data points were utilized. In order to validate the classifier, a 5-fold cross-validation was applied on each subject data in which the 50 feature data points were randomly partitioned into five subgroups of equal sizes. In addition to the results for each motion template, a simple ensemble classification result was also provided through majority voting of predictions by templates such that more than four votes for the number of ‘fatigued’ labelled templates were considered as fatigued. It is assumed that the fusing of the classification results will provide more accurate results as well as a better holistic picture of the state of the subject since the eight templates are inherently different from a kinematics perspective.

Model evaluation
Model evaluation is the final step in the fatigue classification model in which the accuracy of the classifier was assessed. Three evaluating measures were considered for assessing the error rate (accuracy), the probability of
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are not as visually clear as the position and velocity magnitude trajectories.

We provide the SVM classification results of all eight motion components and the combination of them using a simple vote ensemble in Table 1. It is noteworthy that the classification was tested multiple times to show the repeatability of the algorithm. The combination of all templates was found to have the highest accuracy (90%) for correctly detecting the fatigued state as it was assumed. The acceleration template has the second highest accuracy (89%), which can be attributed to the accurate direct segmentation results and the fact that it was the directly collected, rather than calculated, measure since the kinematic computations can be a source of error and uncertainty. The next highest performing templates were position trajectory and velocity magnitude both with an accuracy of (86%). In addition, the templates containing angular properties show a meaningful change in the leg posture in the sagittal plane $(\theta_x, \dot{\theta}_x)$. It is noteworthy that for assessing the performance of the classifier in a case of fewer training data, instead of having two equal data sets for testing and training, we also investigated 40% and 60% of training data and the results were not compromised.

**Results**

The mean (SD) value for the subjective ratings of RPE and SFL across the whole participants were 14.4 (2.6) and 6.8 (1.2), respectively, that were used to identify the existence of a fatigued state in a participant.

The sample segmentation results of highly filtered acceleration magnitude to be prepared for segmentation for non-fatigued and fatigued states are shown in Figure 6, after setting the recognised stationary periods to zero. This profile belongs to the training sets of a 29-year old female participant with SFL of 7.

The mean trajectory of four different motion templates from the training sets is presented in Figure 7. The distinction between the fatigued and non-fatigued states is shown in the separate profiles with the shaded region representing the standard deviation. There is a distinct decrease in the step length after inducing fatigue. In addition, the mean profiles of velocity magnitude, acceleration magnitude and jerk magnitude show a decrease in step duration. The other comparable quantity is the peak value of these four mean trajectories. The graphical representation shows a decrease in the maximum step height and velocity magnitude after fatigue. The graphs also show that differences between the profile of mean trajectories for other kinematical variables (i.e. acceleration magnitude $||\mathbf{a}||$, and jerk magnitude $||\mathbf{J}||$) among fatigued and non-fatigued states are not as visually clear as the position and velocity magnitude trajectories.

We provide the SVM classification results of all eight motion components and the combination of them using a simple vote ensemble in Table 1. It is noteworthy that the classification was tested multiple times to show the repeatability of the algorithm. The combination of all templates was found to have the highest accuracy (90%) for correctly detecting the fatigued state as it was assumed. The acceleration template has the second highest accuracy (89%), which can be attributed to the accurate direct segmentation results and the fact that it was the directly collected, rather than calculated, measure since the kinematic computations can be a source of error and uncertainty. The next highest performing templates were position trajectory and velocity magnitude both with an accuracy of (86%). In addition, the templates containing angular properties show a meaningful change in the leg posture in the sagittal plane $(\theta_x, \dot{\theta}_x)$. It is noteworthy that for assessing the performance of the classifier in a case of fewer training data, instead of having two equal data sets for testing and training, we also investigated 40% and 60% of training data and the results were not compromised.

**Discussion**

Our findings indicate that fatigue induced by a simulated manufacturing task results in changes in temporal and spatial characteristics of gait kinematics including step length and step duration that can be used for fatigue detection. Our distance-based template matching algorithm was able

![Figure 6](image-url) The segmented acceleration magnitude for non-fatigued (blue line) and fatigued (red line) states, peak points representing heel strike (black *) and rest periods (black line).
to predict the fatigued and non-fatigued states with the highest accuracy of 90% for the combination of all templates across 20 subjects.

Fatigue-induced changes in human gait kinematics have been reported in several studies (Barbieri, dos Santos, Vitório et al. 2013; Dingwell et al. 2008; Janssen et al. 2011; Karg et al. 2014; Parijat and Lockhart 2008a, 2008b; Qu and Yeo 2011; Yaggie and McGregor 2002; Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra 2014). However, there are discrepancies in the results of human gait kinematics variability by these different studies that can be associated mainly with different fatiguing protocols. The most common protocols of fatigue generation that have been studied include running on a treadmill (Qu and Yeo 2011), sit-to-stand tasks (Barbieri, dos Santos, Vitório et al. 2013; Yaggie and McGregor 2002; Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra 2014), or applying maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) until exhaustion such that the subject cannot continue the task (Barbieri, Lee et al. 2013). Different protocols may affect different muscles, e.g. medial-lateral muscles in running and anterior-posterior muscles in sit-to-stand tasks, causing different motor responses (Qu and Yeo 2011).

Here, we report the results of human gait characteristics from these studies and highlight our classification results in the case of each kinematic metric. Regarding the walking trajectory characteristics, based on the results for a number of studies (e.g. Barbieri, dos Santos, Lirani-Silva et al. 2013; Barbieri, dos Santos, Vitório et al. 2013; Barbieri, Lee et al. 2013; Morris et al. 2002), step length decreases due to fatigue. They interpreted this decrease as a result of applying a proactive mechanism to modulate the effector system for adapting to environmental conditions (Gérin-Lajoie, Richards, and McFadyen 2005). In terms of walking velocity, the difference between fatigued and non-fatigued groups was not significant as reported by Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra (2014). On the other hand, subjects significantly increased their step velocity following fatigue according to Barbieri, dos Santos, Vitório et al. (2013) and Barbieri, Lee et al. (2013). Walking velocity can affect the friction by altering the coefficient of friction through changing the ratio of horizontal to vertical foot force and controlling the likelihood of slip-induced falls (Karst et al. 1999; Lockhart, Woldstad, and Smith 2003; Mills and Barrett 2001; Parijat and Lockhart 2008a). Even with the inconsistencies in gait kinematics variations resulting from fatigue reported in the previous studies, our classification algorithm was able to properly discern these changes and detect the fatigue states using these two features with 86% accuracy. In addition, Parijat and Lockhart
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatigue inducement protocol</th>
<th>Classification method</th>
<th>Features used</th>
<th>Reported results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra (2014)</td>
<td>Squatting exercise until exhaustion</td>
<td>RBF SVM</td>
<td>Highest accuracy: 0.96 Highest sensitivity: 0.98 Highest specificity: 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janssen et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Isokinetic leg exercises with weights until exhaustion</td>
<td>RBF SVM, Self-organising Maps (SOM)</td>
<td>Highest accuracy: 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karg et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Squatting exercise until exhaustion</td>
<td>Parametric Hidden Markov Model (PHMM), linear regression</td>
<td>Highest accuracy: 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karg et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Maximum power test on a rowing ergometer until exhaustion</td>
<td>Linear discriminant analysis (LDA), naïve Bayes, K-nearest neighbour clustering (KNN) and SVM</td>
<td>Highest accuracy: 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maman et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Manufacturing fatiguing tasks including parts assembly, supply pickup and insertion, and manual material handling</td>
<td>Penalised logistic regression and penalised regression</td>
<td>Highest sensitivity: 1.00 Highest specificity: 0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and Yoshino et al. (2004) reported a significant change in acceleration magnitude. Furthermore, Lockhart et al. (2013) results show an increase in heel contact jerk in post-fatigue walking. Our fatigue detection method could classify the changes in acceleration and jerk magnitude with 89% and 85% accuracies, respectively.

These kinematic changes of motion component trajectories are not the same for all templates. The distinction in the position template of \((P_e, P_n)\) provided in Figure 7 is clear from the mean trajectory graphs, however, for acceleration magnitude component, the segments are more precise and truly represent one gait cycle due to the direct segmentation of the acceleration rather than using the segment points, which allows for better distinction between classes. The SVM classifier is applied on two features, one being step duration which always changed following fatigue. Considering this feature along with any other feature (e.g. jerk) ameliorates the low performance of the second feature and boosts the classification results for all motion templates. Rotational features, including the combinations of leg angular positions and velocities (i.e. \((\theta_e, \dot{\theta}_e), (\theta_n, \dot{\theta}_n)\)), provide good results in classification, indicating that leg posture and the pattern of walking in the sagittal plane changes following fatigue, which has not been addressed in any of the previous studies.

Human movement analysis and human activity recognition have been studied by various algorithms including SVM, HMM, neural network, discriminant analysis, or nearest neighbour (Karg et al. 2014). Classification between normal and post-exhaustion gait patterns have been studied by Janssen et al. (2011) and Karg et al. (2008) with the report of a significant difference in gait kinematics of pre- and post-exhaustion. However, as is typical in human fatigue detection studies, large inter-subject variance in gait characteristics highly affects the classification accuracy (Janssen et al. 2011).

A summary of the classification methods from the previous studies, along with their results of fatigue detection during gait is provided in Table 2. Zhang, Lockhart, and Soangra (2014) examined different SVM classifiers where the results for linear and RBF kernel function show a better performance. Their best results in terms of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity outperform ours, which can be attributed mainly to their larger number of features employed, the procedure of fatigue induction, and the number of sensors utilized. On the other hand, we had better performance in fatigue detection than Karg et al. (2014) in spite of their more complex classification method and selection of features. One-to-one comparison of the fatigue detection studies may not seem appropriate since the protocols and data used vary among studies. However, as a general comparison, this study has some advantages. First, in contrast to other similar works that utilised costly motion capture systems or data from multiple sensors in the whole duration of fatigue induction protocol, this study used the data of short periods (~40 s) in fatigued and non-fatigued states from only one IMU attached to the ankle. This feature enables the method to be used in real-time applications by extracting a single stride, calculating the motion components, and plugging these into the trained classifier to predict the state of fatigue. The time required for accomplishing this purpose is approximately 3.6 s using a personal computer, which is a sufficient time for fatigue prediction purposes. Second, workplace simulated fatigue induction tasks were used for data collection in this study, however, most of the other studies considered extreme exercises until complete exhaustion as their protocols. In other words, changes in the parameters studied in a realistic fatigue induction task may not be as significant as they might have been had the changes happened through extreme exercise.

There are some limitations associated with this study. The subjects recruited for the experiments consisted of both manufacturing and non-industrial workers, which can affect their induced fatigue level depending on how accustomed they are to the fatiguing task. Moreover, the time of experimental sessions was not randomized in each day, which would have removed the effect of time on fatigue development. In addition, the level of fatigue was not as extreme as an eight-hour shift in a real manufacturing environment, which may have limited the level of induced fatigue. The task was also equivalent for all subjects, regardless of strength or gender, which may differ from a real manufacturing condition. Lastly, although this study lacked physiological validation (e.g. electromyography (EMG) measurement to support the presence of muscle fatigue), which limited our ability to confirm the detection of fatigue, subjective ratings of RPE and SFL were used as a measure for this confirmation. Nevertheless, physiological validation, as a standard, will be considered in future experimental studies. Despite these limitations to generalisability, this study provides a robust classification method to be applied to future tests in a real manufacturing environment and in conditions that address these issues.

Conclusion

The reported method uses a template matching pattern recognition technique, along with machine learning algorithms for classifying non-fatigued vs. fatigued states of the human body during walking using an IMU attached to the ankle. A robust and easy to implement segmentation method for gait cycle detection is proposed for a highly accurate detection of consecutive gait cycles. The classification was carried out using an RBF SVM on the
distance-based score of segmented gait cycles and step duration as the two features. The results indicate that the combination of all motion components yields the highest accuracy of 90% in predicting the fatigue states for the 20 recruited subjects. We found that the fatigue due to a simulated manufacturing task of manual material handling results in changes in gait kinematics. Not all of the gait kinematics perform the same in fatigue prediction such that the foot acceleration and position trajectories are among the high performing parameters. This method provides a practical framework for predicting realistically induced fatigue through manufacturing tasks, which can be extended to real-time fatigue monitoring due to the simplicity of this template matching technique.
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