Kutenai Imperatives

Matthew S. Dryer

1. Positive imperatives

Imperatives are characterized in Kutenai by (1) the absence of morphemes associated with the indicative and subordinative moods, and, (2) in some instances, by distinct imperative suffixes on verbs.

Examples (1) to (3) illustrate imperative clauses, involving the second person singular imperative suffix $-n \sim -in$:

- (1) ¢ina-n
 go-2SG.IMPER
 'go!' (Chief and Ogress Text, line 220)
- (2) ?in qa·kił ?ik-in that there eat-2SG.IMPER 'eat there'
- (3) his-in xa?‡¢in feed-2SG.IMPER dog 'feed the dog!'

Compare the imperative sentence in (1) with the indicative sentence using the same verb in (4), where there is a second person proclitic *hin* preceding the verb and where the verb bears an indicative suffix -i.

(4) ?at hin=¢ ¢inax-i HABIT 2SUBJ=FUT go-INDIC 'you will go there' (Tape 71, Second Part, line 64)

Compare these also to the subordinative form (which is used in questions and in subordinate clauses) in (5), with the subordinative and second person subject proclitics and no suffix on the verb.

(5) k=in hanłukpqa? SUBORD=2SUBJ run 'did you run'

The second person plural imperative forms involve the same second plural suffix -kit that is used in the indicative. The example in (6) and (7) illustrate second person plural imperative forms.

- (6) taxa-s ła ¢ina-kił then-OBV back go-2PL
 'go back now!' (Tape NS.7, Story 2, line 16)
- (7) huqnani-kił move.camp-2PL
 'move camp!' (Chief and Ogress Text, line 18)

The example in (8) illustrates the same suffix -ki, but the fact that this is indicative rather than imperative is indicated by the presence of the indicative suffix -(n)i and by the second person subject proclitic *hin*.

(8) hin ¢xał mitxa-kił-ni qu tud¢qamna 2SUBJ FUT shoot-2PL-INDIC that bird 'you will all shoot at that bird' (Tape 21, line 179)

The second person plural imperative is also distinct from the second person plural subordinative, in that the latter involves the subordinative proclitic k- and the second person subject proclitic *hin*, which coalesce into *kin* if adjacent, as in (9).

(9) qapsin-s k=in=sł ?aqakłatł hamat-ki¢-kił qapsin-s why-OBV SUBORD=2SUBJ=DUR for.that.reason give-BENEF-2PL thing-OBV 'why are you people giving it stuff?' (Tape NS.7, Story 2, line 12)

The text example in (10) is interesting because it illustrates an imperative morphological form for a subject that lacks a volitional subject, the intransitive verb *hanmukuku* 'boil'.

(10) taxas wasił hanmukuku-n then quickly boil-2SG.IMPER 'now boil quickly!' (Tape 126, Side A, line 51')

There are also distinct imperative forms used with first person objects. There is an imperative suffix -(n)u, which indicates a second person singular subject and a first person object, as in (11) and (12). (Note that there are otherwise no morphemes in Kutenai which simultaneously code the subject and object.)

- (11) hamat-ik¢-u yaqsu?mił give-BENEF-2SG.1.IMPER canoe 'give me a canoe' (Boas Text 67: Wolf, line 77)
- (12) [?]ałqananuqiłxu[?]-nu carry.across-2SG.1OBJ.IMPER
 'carry me across' (Chief and Ogress Text, line 140)

This suffix combines with the first person plural object suffix -(n)awas to form an imperative form with a second person singular subject and a first person plural object, as in (13).

(13) taxa-s ła [?]unanuxu-mał-nawas-nu then-OBV back fly.down-COMIT-1PL.OBJ-2SG.1OBJ.IMPER 'now fly us back down' (Coyote and Yawukiykam Text, line 246)

The imperative forms for a second person plural subject and a first person object involve the same pronominal suffixes that occur in corresponding indicative and subordinative moods, but without the additional morphemes associated with those forms, namely the indicative suffix, the indicative proclitic (which occurs only before stems beginning with /h/ or /?/), the subordinative proclitic, and the second person subject proclitic *hin*. In particular, if the object is first person singular, the verb occurs with the first person singular object suffix *-ap* and the second person plural suffix *-kit*, as in (14).

(14)	?a∙	¢xa-nat-ap-ki l		ka?s	k=u=ŧ	[?] a∙qakin
	ah	say-T	RANS-1SG.OBJ-2PL	what,OBL	SUBORD=1SUBJ=IRREAL	do
		na	k=u	?i łwa		
		this	SUBORD=1SUBJ	shoot.and.ki	11	
	'Ah, tell me what I should do with my game [literally: what I killed]'					

And when the object is first person plural (and the subject second person plural), just the first plural object suffix -(n)awas is used, as in (15).

- (15) ?iktuqu-nawas wash-1PL.OBJ
 '(you plural) wash us!' (Morgan 1991)
- 2. Negative imperative clauses

Negation of nonimperative clauses in Kutenai involves the use of a preverb qa, without further modification of the clause, as in (16).

(16) qa [?]ik-ni kanusnana-[?]is NEG eat-INDIC apple-3POSS 'he did not eat his apple'

Negation of imperative clauses involves a distinct negative prohibitive word $ma?\phi$, with the appropriate imperative form of the verb, as in (17) to (20).

- (17) ma?¢ ¢ina-n
 PROHIB go-2SG,IMPER
 'don't go' (Tape 71, Second Part, line 295)
- (18) ma⁹¢ ⁹upił-kił PROHIB kill-2PL 'don't kill him' (Boas Text 72: Pine Cone, line 63)
- (19) ma⁹¢ ⁹upł-u PROHIB kill-1SG.OBJ,IMPER 'don't kill me' (Chief and Ogress Text, line 105)
- (20) ma⁹¢ łułamax-nu PROHIB bite.off.head-1SG.OBJ,IMPER 'don't bite my head off' (Chief and Ogress Text, line 105)

The prohibitive word $ma? \phi$ also occurs in what are formally indicative clauses containing the future preverb $\phi xa + \phi$, as in (21) and (22), but where the intended pragmatics is apparently that of an imperative.

- (21) ma⁹¢ hin ¢xał ⁹aqaťnaq-ni PROHIB 2SUBJ FUT swim.close-INDIC 'don't swim close to that' (Tape 127, Last Part, line 165)
- (22) ma?¢ hin=¢ husanuxinqa?-ni PROHIB 2SUBJ=FUT run.away-INDIC 'don't run away' (Tape 71, Second Part, line 318)

Whether there is a difference in meaning between formally imperative clauses with $ma?\phi$ and formally indicative clauses with $ma?\phi$ is not clear. In some instances, such clauses were not translated with English imperatives, but in the context still could be described as having imperative meaning, as in (23) and (24).

- (23) ?at ma?¢ hin=¢ ła mitixa-ka?-ni HABIT PROHIB 2SUBJ=FUT again attack-INDEF.OBJ-INDIC 'you must not attack anyone from now on' (Tape NS.7, Story 1, line 89)
- (24) ma?¢ hin=¢ ?upxa-¢it-kił-ni łkam-nińtik PROHIB 2SUBJ=FUT know-CAUS-2PL-INDIC child-PLUR 'you will not let the children find out' (Chief and Ogress Text, line 20)

The negative word ma?c also occurs in indirect commands, where the clause is formally subordinative rather than imperative, as in (25).

(25) qaki-aps-i ni?-s ?ałi¢kił-?is tell-INVERSE-INDIC the-OBV cousin-3POSS ma?¢ k=ł=quqakin PROHIB SUBORD=IRREALIS=do.something.to 'his cousin told him to leave her alone' (literally 'his cousin told him that he should not do something to her') (Tape NS.21, Story 1, line 6')

The negative word $ma? \phi$ also occurs in indicative clauses where the subject is third person, as in (26), but where there is still an implicit imperative meaning of a sort.

(26) ma⁹¢ ¢xał ła ¢ikax-i PROHIB FUT back come-INDIC 'he is not to come back' (Chief and Ogress Text, line 493)

The examples in (27) and (28) are similar, though they are subordinative, since they occur in complements of the verb for *qaki*? 'say'.

- (27) miksan qaki?-ni ma?¢ k=¢xał ła ¢ina-s nasu?kin-s but say-INDIC PROHIB SUBORD=FUT back go-OBV.SUBJ chief-OBV 'but they said that the chief is not to go back there' (Chief and Ogress Text, line 506)
- (28) pikak-s qaki?-ni earlier.time-OBV say-INDIC ma?¢ k=¢xał ?ituqwu?t-ł-is nisqa?it PROHIB SUBORD=FUT give.meat-PASSIVE-OBV.SUBJ Nisqa?it 'he previously said that Nisqa?it was not to be given any meat' (Tape 146, Story 1, line 141)

(The noun *nisqa?it* would by normal rules be expected to bear obviative marking in (28).) Note that in so far as (28) involves an indirect command, it is the implicit agent of the passive verb who receives that command.

The example in (29) is somewhat analogous to (26), but with a first person subject.

(29) ma?¢ hu=ł hadmaxu-nis-kił PROHIB 1SUBJ=IRREAL scare-2OBJ-2PL 'don't let me scare you' (Tape NS.21, Story 5, line 57)

Note that (29) is unusual in that the verb lacks the indicative verbal suffix and thus this clause does not fit into the normal array of mood options available in Kutenai of indicative, subordinative, and imperative. It differs from a normal indicative clause only in lacking the indicative suffix.

There are other instances in which ma?c occurs where there does not appear to be imperative meaning, but where there is still some sort of irrealis meaning, as in (30).

(30) ta?q ma?¢ #i?wam skinku¢
OPT PROHIB get.here coyote
'I hope Coyote doesn't come' (The Coyote, Mole, and Thunders Text, line 312)

The example in (31) occurs in a passage of represented thought, and represents a decision of the speaker; although the English gloss does not sound irrealis, the clause does contain the irrealis preverb $\frac{1}{2}$.

(31) taxa-s ma?¢ hu=ł ¢'inam then-OBV PROHIB 1SUBJ-IRREAL go 'so I will not go' (Tape NS.21, Story 3, line 37)

Note that (30) and (31) are similar to (29) above in lacking the indicative suffix and thus do not fit into one of the normal moods. The second clause in (32) also involves $ma?\phi$ in a clause with a first person subject; it too is irrealis to the extent that it corresponds semantically to the the apodosis of a conditional sentence, although this clause is not formally a conditional in Kutenai.

(32) [?]aki ła qaqa=¢ taxa-s ma[?]¢ k=u ¢xał hałkikwad also again happen=and then-OBV PROHIB SUBORD=1SUBJ FUT scream 'if it should happen again I will not scream' (Tape 71, Second Part, line 168)

There are also a few instances in my data of $ma^{2}\phi$ occurring in clauses without any obvious irrealis meaning beyond that of future, such as (33).

(33) ma⁹¢ hu=¢ ⁹upł-is-ni ¢akaps PROHIB 1SUBJ=FUT kill-2OBJ-INDIC Tsakap 'I will not kill you, Tsakap' (Boas Text 31: The Two Tsa'kap, line 14)

Note, however, that the normal way to negate a simple future clause is not with $ma^{2}\phi$ but with the regular negative word qa, as in (34) to (37).

- (34) taxa-s ¢xał qa winikit-ni then-OBV FUT NEG long.time.elapse-INDIC 'then it won't be much longer' (Tape 127, Last Part, line 222)
- (35) hu ¢xał qa ?up-nała?-ni 1SUBJ FUT NEG die-1PL-INDIC 'we will not die' (Tape 71, Second Part, line 230)
- (36) hin=¢ qa ¢ikat-ił-ni
 2SUBJ=FUT NEG look.at-passive-INDIC
 'they will not look at you' (Boas Text 56: Coyote and Dog, line 165) (literally 'you will not be looked at')
- (37) ¢xał qa ?iťxn-ap-ni ¢upqa FUT NEG bite-1SG.OBJ-INDIC deer 'the deer will not bite you' (Boas Text 63: Coyote and Deer, line 9)