1. Defining the values

This map shows the order of cardinal numerals with respect to a noun they modify. Cardinal numerals are words denoting the number of things referred to, as in English four chairs, in contrast to ordinal numerals, which specify the rank in some order of objects, as in English the fourth chair. See also Map 131 on numeral bases and Map 53 on ordinal numerals.

(a) 1. Numeral precedes noun (NumN) 430
(b) 2. Numeral follows noun (NNum) 515
(c) 3. Both orders of numeral and noun with neither order dominant 54
(d) 4. Numeral only modifies verb 2

Total 1001

The first type shown on the map consists of languages in which the numeral precedes the noun. English is an instance of such a language, as is Selknam (Chon; Tierra del Fuego, Argentina), illustrated in (1).

(1) Selknam (Najlis 1973: 28)
jákeren-en na
three-a woman Num N
‘three women’

The second type consists of languages in which the numeral follows the noun. Examples of such languages include Pumi ( Tibeto-Burman, China), as in (2a), and Ju'hoan (Northern Khoisan; Namibia), as in (2b).

(2) a. Pumi (Ding 1998: 191)
quía xuì
pig eight N Num
‘eight pigs’
b. Ju'hoan (Dickens 1992: 61)
jí tuán gèà tjù n’áng
person two be house in N Num
‘There are two people in the house.’

The third type shown on the map are languages in which both orders of numeral and noun occur with neither order dominant (see the box section “Determining Dominant Word Order” on p. 371). An example of such a language is Acoma (Karésan, New Mexico), as in (3).

(3) Acoma (Maring 1967: 119)
t’átal’k’ wáátiqà h kód’ëna t’tivérti
four fawn wolf two ‘four fawns’ ‘two wolves’

In most languages with both orders of numeral and noun, it is not clear whether there is any difference in meaning associated with the two orders. In Nias (Austronesian; Sumatra, Indonesia), the noun phrase is interpreted as indefinite when the numeral precedes the noun, as in (4a), but definite when it follows, as in (4b).

(4) Nias (Brown, forthcoming)
a. sfa guq w-baflb =sfafls
four CLF one-pig REL=white
‘four white pigs’
b. baflb=ra =sfafls w=sfa guq
pig-3PL poss REL=white REL=four CLF
‘their four white pigs’

In some languages there is a split among numerals, some preceding the noun, others following. For example, in Egyptian Arabic, the numerals for ‘one’ and ‘two’ follow the noun, while higher numerals precede the noun, as in (5).

(5) Egyptian Arabic (Gary and Gamal-Eldin 1982: 111)
a. houtem tizitech b talat banasat
‘two girls’ ‘three girls’

This variety of Arabic is shown on the map as lacking a dominant order of numeral and noun. In Moroccan Arabic, only the numeral for ‘one’ follows the noun, as in (6a), while all other numerals precede the noun, as in (6b).

(6) Moroccan Arabic (Harrell 1962: 206)
a. nete wélda b. zu’ut krah
woman one.f two book
‘one woman’ ‘two books’

When only one numeral differs from the others in position, as in Moroccan Arabic, the position of the other numerals is treated as dominant. Hence, Moroccan Arabic is shown on the map as having numeral before noun as the dominant order. Iraqi Arabic is intermediate between Egyptian and Moroccan Arabic: the numeral for ‘one’ follows, the numeral for ‘two’ either precedes or follows, and numerals higher than two normally precede (Erwin 1963: 388). It is shown on the map as lacking a dominant order of numeral and noun.

In most languages in which some numerals precede the noun while others follow, both of the two sets of numerals represent continuous sequences of numbers, so that there is some number $n$ such that numerals from 1 to $n$ occur on one side of the noun while numerals greater than $n$ occur on the other side. For Egyptian Arabic, illustrated above in (5), the value of $n$ is two. For Ta’uyu (Madang; Trans-New Guinea, Papua New Guinea), the value of $n$ is four (MacDonald 1990: 117–18). For Nivkh (isolate; Sakhalin Island, Russia), the value of $n$ is five (Panfilov 1962: 129–30). For Rungra (Mahan; Chad), the value of $n$ is six (Nougayrol 1990: 40–2).

There are other languages which do not exhibit a pattern with a single cut-off point. The majority of exceptions appear to be languages in which the numeral for ‘two’ occurs on one side of the noun, while the numeral for ‘one’ and higher numerals occur on the other side. Examples of languages of this sort include Shipibo-Konibo (Panoan; Peru; Pišar Valenzuela, p.c.) and Coptic (Egyptian; Lambdin 1983: 56). A more unusual case is provided by Obolo (Ibcuo-Cong; Nigeria), in which the numerals for ten, twenty, and four hundred precede the noun, while other numerals consisting of one word follow. The special treatment of twenty and four hundred reflects the vigesimal system (see Map 131). With numerals consisting of more than one word, the first word (which will normally be one of the words for ‘ten’, ‘twenty’, or ‘four hundred’) will precede the noun, while the remainder will follow the noun, as in (7c).

(7) Obolo (Faracas 1984: 27, 37)
a. obọh iwa n ilì ita
four.hundred house house big three
‘four hundred houses’ ‘three big houses’
b. o tọp iwa mì gì
twenty house and five ‘twenty-five houses’

Welsh is similar to this in that numerals consisting of more than one word place the first word in the numeral phrase before the noun, and the remainder following the noun (Williams 1980: 41). Because
a numeral consisting of a single word precedes the noun, and these include the most frequent numerals, Welsh is shown on the map as NumN, for analogous reasons, Obolo is coded as NNNum.

The final type shown on the map is languages in which the numeral does not combine syntactically with the noun at all, but occurs only as a modifier of the verb. There are only two languages in my data in which this construction is the only normal way to express numerals, in close proximity to each other in western Brazil, namely Arara Karô (Tupian) and Wari’ (Chapacara-Wanhan; Everett and Kern 1997: 154–5, 221, 347), the former illustrated in (8).

(8) Arara Karô (Gabas 1999: 172)
man fish catch.ind yesterday two=two2
‘The man caught two fish yesterday.’

This construction is somewhat similar to the English construction illustrated in (9), in which the word all appears in a position associated with adverbial elements.

(9) The guests have all left.

There are many other languages that allow this option alongside a construction in which the numeral occurs in the noun phrase. For example, in Kutenai (isolate; western North America), there are two sorts of numeral words, one illustrated in (10a), in which the numeral occurs in the noun phrase with the noun (kiva7as niptabah ‘two men’), the other illustrated in (10b), in which the numeral is a preverb modifying the verb, somewhat analogous to the Arara Karô construction in (8).

(10) Kutenai (own data)
a. xa-1 kiva7as niptabah 7at
then-oN subord=two young.man HAB
mika=s ni6=s numa-s shoot-at-indic the-on thunder-oN
‘Then two young men would shoot at the thunder.’
b. =n7as-ot 7at=ot-uu 7a g(y)makshel
IND=two-preverb kill-pass-ind Indian
‘Two Indians were killed.’

Languages like Kutenai which have both constructions are coded according to the construction in which the numeral combines with the noun, hence Kutenai is shown as placing the numeral before the noun.

2 Geographical distribution

The two orders of numeral and noun show very clear geographical patterns. There is a large area in which almost all languages place the numeral before the noun, which covers most of Europe and Asia except for an area in South-East Asia described below, extending southeastward into Indonesia, the Philippines, and western Micronesia, and extending southwestward into the Middle East and across North Africa. It is also the dominant order in the Americas, especially in the westernmost regions of the United States and Mexico.

The order with the numeral after the noun is the overwhelmingly preferred order in sub-Saharan Africa, and the majority of exceptions are geographically peripheral within this region, namely in the far south of Africa, in the extreme northwest (in the vicinity of Senegal), and in the northeastern region of Ethiopia and Eritrea. This order also predominates in an area within South-East Asia, but the boundaries of this area are different from the boundaries of the South-East Asian area found with other word order characteristics. In particular, it excludes the languages of Vietnam and languages in southern China to the north and north-east of Vietnam and it excludes the languages of Indonesia and the Philippines. Conversely, it extends further to the north-west than some other word order characteristics do, including Tibeto-Burman languages of north-east India, Myanmar, and adjacent areas of China. Even among the more western Tibeto-Burman languages, there is a mixture of the two orders of numeral and noun. Noun-numeral order is also the dominant type in New Guinea and among the languages of the easternmost islands of Indonesia.

Both types are interspersed amongst each other among the languages of the Pacific, including the eastern islands of New Guinea and in Australia. The two orders are about equally common among the languages of Canada and the United States east of the Rocky Mountains, with a notable pocket of noun-numeral languages in the southeastern United States.

Languages lacking a dominant order of numeral and noun are somewhat more common in Australia and in western Indonesia.

3 Theoretical issues

In many languages, numerals must occur with classifiers, as in (11).

(11) Nusu (Tibeto-Burman; southern China; Sun and Lu 1986: 44)
yra53 ra35 nga55 g
three three pig five NumN
‘five little pigs’

In such languages, the numeral is not really modifying the noun, at least not directly, but rather is modifying the classifier. For such languages, the map shows the order of the numeral relative to this phrase consisting of numeral and classifier. Whether this phrase actually modifies the noun is itself something that is often unclear. It has been proposed for some languages that the classifier is the real head of the noun phrase and the numeral and noun are both dependents of the classifier. See Map 55 on numeral classifiers.

The issue of what element is the head also sometimes arises in languages without numeral classifiers. It has been proposed that some numerals in Russian serve as heads (Babby 1987). Similarly, in Rif Berber (Morocco), most modifiers follow the noun, while numerals precede, but the construction they occur in is the same as the genitive construction, as illustrated in (12), suggesting that the numeral is the head, not a modifier.

(12) Rif Berber (Kossmann 2000: 160, 108)
a. taza n tsamal b. axsam n warzaz
three qan hill house qan man
‘three hills’ ‘the man’s house’

The issue of which element is the head is ignored in coding languages for this map.

There are other languages in which the numeral can be argued not to be a modifier of the noun. One type is represented by Kutenai (isolate; British Columbia, etc.), in which the numeral is the verb in an internally headed relative clause. This is illustrated above in (10a), where the noun niptabah ‘young man’ is grammatically the subject of the verb 7as ‘two’. For the purposes of this map, languages are coded according to the order of numeral and noun, regardless of whether the relationship is one of modification or not, since the normal order is that shown in (10a), Kutenai is coded as placing the numeral before the noun. The fact that the numeral is grammatically a verb in Kutenai (as it is also in Nias in (4) above) is ignored for the purposes of this map.