## **Triqui pragmatics I: Information structure**

Linguistics 460/560 - The structure of Itunyoso Triqui 4/9/24 - 4/11/24 Christian DiCanio

- I. Focus and topic (cf. DiCanio et al. 2018)
- (1) Information structure refers to those components of the linguistic system that interlocutors use to negotiate shared knowledge of entities and states in discourse (Lambrecht, 1994).
- (2) Languages utilize different strategies for expressing whether an entity is new/old, topical/focal, or recently identifiable (or not) in the discourse.
- (3) <u>Focus</u> refers to "the speaker's assessment of the relative predictability or unpredictability of the relations between propositions and their elements in a given discourse situation." (Lambrecht, 1994, p. 6).
  - a. *Broad focus:* the entire sentence or predicate conveys pragmatically unpredictable information, e.g. What's up? *Leah came to Buffalo for the eclipse*.
  - b. *Narrow focus:* a single argument or state is pragmatically unpredictable, e.g. Who came to Buffalo? *LEAH* came to Buffalo for the eclipse.
- (4) Languages utilize one of three possible tactics for marking focus: morphosyntactic marking, focus particles, and prosodic marking (Ladd, 2008).
- (5) Additionally, languages like Northern Sotho may mark only pragmatically predictable (non-focal) information via backgrounding and pronominalization, leaving focus entirely unmarked (Zerbian, 2008).
- (6) In many tonal and non-tonal languages, the focused units are marked morphosyntactically. Kalinowski (2015) discusses many morphosyntactic strategies for focus marking across different African language families almost 1,000 different strategies are used!
- (7) English also uses morphosyntax to mark focus it's not always prosodic, e.g. It was Mario who thought about this first. cleft construction
- (8) There is a stronger tendency for morphosyntactic strategies to be used in tonal languages than in non-tonal languages (Torreira et al. 2014).
- (9) **Topic:** that element of the sentence that is presented as already existing in the discourse and which the rest of the sentence is, in some sense, about. (Trask 1993).

- (10) The notions of *foregrounding* and *backgrounding* are also related, respectively, to the distinction between focused and topicalized constituents.
- (11) Useful for the discussion of Triqui information structure is the idea of a scale of foregrounding/backgrounding possibly a scale of discourse prominence.
- (12) Focused constituents are <u>obligatorily</u> fronted in Triqui.

Cnan<sup>43</sup> ki<sup>3</sup>-sij<sup>4</sup> ku<sup>3</sup>ki<sup>3</sup> Answer to: Un<sup>3</sup>sin<sup>3</sup> ki<sup>3</sup>-sij<sup>4</sup> oh<sup>1</sup>? brother.1s PERF-arrive yesterday who PERF-arrive WH.PART 'My brother arrived yesterday.' 'Who arrived?'

Ki³-sij⁴ cnan⁴³ ku³ki³ Answer to: Un³sin³ ka³-bin³ oh¹?
PERF-arrive brother.1S yesterday what PERF-be WH.PART
'My brother arrived yesterday.' 'What happened?'

- (13) This also typically extends to pronouns they must be fronted but they need a "host" as they are clitics. It is necessary to use the Triqui word for 'self'  $ma^2han^3$  and attach the pronoun to these.
  - a. Se<sup>4</sup> ma<sup>2</sup>hanj<sup>5</sup> ki<sup>3</sup>-ranj<sup>3</sup>, Jwan<sup>43</sup> ki<sup>3</sup>-ranj<sup>3</sup> chu<sup>3</sup>che<sup>32</sup> NEG.EXIST self.1S PERF-buy, Juan PERF-buy chicken 'It wasn't me who bought (it), Juan bought the chicken.'
  - b. Se $^4$  ma $^2$ hanj $^5$  kwej $^3$  rian $^{32}$  chi $^3$ nga $^4$ , ma $^2$ han $^4$ =reh $^1$  kwej $^3$  NEG.EXIST self.1s PERF.jump face fence, self=2s PERF.jump rian $^{32}$  chi $^3$ nga $^4$  face fence

'It wasn't me who jumped over the fence, you jumped over the fence.'

c. "Tinj<sup>5</sup> ka<sup>2</sup>-ne<sup>4</sup>=reh<sup>1</sup> si<sup>2</sup> k-a<sup>2</sup>hmin<sup>2</sup> ma<sup>2</sup>hanj<sup>5</sup> oj<sup>3</sup>. Si<sup>2</sup> Quietly POT-sit=2s because POT-speak self.1s PART.command. Because ma<sup>2</sup>hanj<sup>5</sup> k-a<sup>2</sup>hmin<sup>2</sup> nga<sup>1</sup>=sij<sup>3</sup> aj<sup>5</sup>" a<sup>3</sup>taj<sup>2</sup>=sij<sup>3</sup> ni<sup>2</sup>. self.1s POT-speak with=3M PART.TAG, say=3M and. "Sit quietly because I will speak. Because I will speak with him, eh?", he says."

(Line 126, Derechos de mujeres triquis; 06/9/2015; Carmen López González and Nieves López Guzmán)

- (14) However, note that in (13c), the focused pronoun occurs *in-situ*, meaning it is in the position where a subject normally occurs (post-verbally). *Ex-situ* focus (pre-verbal position) is only required for full NPs, not pronouns.
- (15) Triqui does not have a morphophonological or phonetic strategy for prosodically-marking a focused constituent, but it *does* have several strategies for marking additional distinctions, in particular *topic* and *disjoint reference*.

## II. Topic-marking strategies and backgrounding in discourse

- (16) If we take Trask's notion of *topic*, then anything that is pronominal is necessarily *topical*. That's unhelpful for Triqui. It does not help us to distinguish between what are <u>four</u> different types of patterns all involving seemingly involving *topical* pronouns in Triqui.
- (17) Once a referent is mentioned, it is of course possible to use a pronoun to refer back to that entity.
  - a. Un³sin³ k-a³taj² **sinj⁵ ta³** oh¹? what PERF-say **man DEM** WH.PART 'What did **that man** say?'
  - b. Tu<sup>4</sup>hbej<sup>4</sup>=**sij**<sup>3</sup> sa<sup>4</sup>na<sup>43</sup> k-a<sup>3</sup>taj<sup>2</sup>=**sij**<sup>3</sup> rianj<sup>4</sup> sell=**3**M apple PERF-say=**3**M face.1s '**He** is selling apples, **he** said to me.'
- (18) It is possible to place a pronoun in a pre-verbal position where it is still topical though it must be preceded by *be*<sup>4</sup>, which marks topic, or perhaps a *new topic* or *contrastive topic*.
- $Ka^3gah^3$ (19)oh³=nih²=sij³ be4=sii3 ba1  $ni^2$ mman<sup>1</sup> bullet/metal hit=PL=3M TOP=3Malready POT.exist.plural and FOCUS **NEW.TOPIC** VERB=TOPIC VERB  $ni^2$ be4-ta3-ni2 baj<sup>3</sup>. and then go.TOP VERB.TOPIC

'(With) *bullets* they were hitting (them) and they (the townsfolk) were already there and so then they (the townsfolk) were leaving.'

Line 89 from Sobre la guerra de San Martin Itunyoso y Chicahuaxtla, 6/16/15, Crispin Celestino Martínez

- (20) In this text, Celestino is previously describing how the townsfolk were attacked with *bullets*. This is under focus in the previous sentences and the topical subject are the attackers. He then shifts to a new topic marked in red. This is still pronominal but he needs to distinguish that he is referring to a new entity. By using *be*<sup>4</sup>, the listener is aware that he is talking about a new group of people that have already been identified in the larger discourse.
- (21) So, even though  $=sij^3$  and  $be^4=sij^3$  mean 'he' and even though they are both *topics*, only the latter is marked as a new topic.
- (22) Interestingly, this example also shows us a *third* strategy for marking a topic the use of the topical clitic which involves toggling morphology (DiCanio et al. 2020).

- Where does this type of clitic get used? It is specifically used to mark a recently mentioned 3rd person entity something like an aforementioned entity. It is most often used when there are at least two 3rd person entities that have to be marked multiple 'hes', 'shes', or 'theys.'
- (24) It may *also* be used to refer to inanimate subjects and to generic entities, e.g. "one might think that..."
- toi3 skwe4la43 toj³ (25) $xi^3$  $ni^3$ a4nin43+raj3 man<sup>3</sup> toj<sup>3</sup> school big that think.TOP more more and more  $ni^3$ na<sup>3</sup>-ni<sup>3</sup>hin<sup>3</sup> toj3 nu³kwanh³ nej3 ITER-know.TOP more word also and

'And in those bigger schools, one thinks more and one recognizes more words too.'

Line 38, Derechos de Mujeres Triquis, 06/9/2015; Carmen López González and Nieves López González

(26) So far then, we have three unique strategies for marking what would be a topical referent:

a.	$be^4 + NP$	Usually ex-situ	New referent
b.	clitic pronoun	In-situ	Subject referent
c.	topical clitic	In-situ	Aforementioned referent

- (27) As it turns out, there is one *more* strategy that Triqui uses and it involves an additional tonal clitic! I have so far just coded this as *emphatic*, but I believe it is only used as a way to mark contrastive topics or *disjoint reference*.
- (28) When a single 3rd person needs to be distinguished from another 3rd person for clarificational purposes in the discourse, it is possible to mark the pronoun with tone /4/ and a final glottal stop, e.g.

$$sij^3$$
 'he'  $sih^4$   $[si?^4]$  'HE'  $unj^3$  'she'  $unh^4$   $[\tilde{u}?^4]$  'SHE'  $nej^3$  'they'  $neh^4$   $[ne?^4]$  'THEY'

- (29) Though related to the pronominal clitics, these pronouns are independent/free they do not need to attach to a preceding word.
- (30) In the text sample above by Crispin, he previously discusses a hero in the story *Señor Naseto*. He accompanies the Triquis into the conflict with those from the neighboring Triqui town of San Andrés Chicahuaxtla.

(31) Sih<sup>4</sup>, ka<sup>1</sup>nun<sup>1</sup> sih<sup>4</sup> ngo<sup>2</sup>+nu<sup>1</sup>kwaj<sup>3</sup>. Ka<sup>1</sup>nun<sup>1</sup> sih<sup>4</sup> **HE**, POT.approach **HE** without.fear. POT.approach **HE** 

ta<sup>3</sup>kan<sup>3</sup> yo<sup>3</sup>hoj<sup>5</sup> yan<sup>32</sup>. hill land salt

'So he, he tried to approach (them) without fear. He tried to approach the Hill of Salt.'

Line 90 from Sobre la guerra de San Martin Itunyoso y Chicahuaxtla, 6/16/15, Crispin Celestino Martínez

- (32) During this discourse, Triqui listeners are evaluating changes of topic, one party of attackers, one party of defenders, and a hero. Since most of the entities here are males, they would otherwise take the equivalent 3M pronoun  $=sij^3$ . Yet, Triqui speakers do not confuse the entities.
- (33) The entity here is clearly the established hero since he is without fear, but he needs to be distinguished from <u>both</u> the attackers and the defenders we see in the previous line (19).
- (34) Now take a look at another context one you've seen before.

 $a^{4\eta}go^{43}$   $t \int i^2 ko^3 ?o^{32}$   $ni^2$   $\tilde{u}^1 ? \tilde{u} ?^1$   $ta^3 ?n\tilde{i}h^5 = sih^3$   $ni^2$   $nu^1 k^w eh^1$   $ni^3 ka^{32} = sih^3$   $\beta \tilde{i}^3$   $tt \tilde{u}h^2$  another brother.1s and five child.of=3M and pair.of spouse=3M be eight

'Another of my brothers, his five kids, and their pair of spouses make eight (of us).' (from Line 13; Testimonio de su familia grande; 06/10/2015; Carmen López González)

(35) The pronoun  $=sij^3$  is used to refer to the first set of brothers above, but now that a different set of brothers is identified,  $sih^4$  is used instead.

 $ni^2$  $ni^2$  $a^4ngo^{43}$ chi<sup>2</sup>ko<sup>3</sup>ho<sup>32</sup> nu¹kwej¹ nnin4  $ni^2$ mother.1s another brother.opp.1s both and and and sa<sup>3</sup>ni<sup>2</sup> ta<sup>3</sup> ni2ka2=sih4 ni² ba<sup>2</sup> rian<sup>32</sup> a<sup>3</sup>toj<sup>1</sup>=unj<sup>4</sup> ba<sup>2</sup>. sleep=1.EXCL spouse=3M.EMPH and but this exist exist face/where

'and my mother and another one of my brothers and both of *THEIR* spouses, but there is a space where we (each) sleep.'

Line 14; Testimonio de su familia grande; 06/10/2015; Carmen López González

(36) This type of pronominal marking appears to encode something called *disjoint reference*. With two entities which have the same number and gender marking, it is used to clarify which entity is being referred to, e.g.

"Yeah, even though John never has any money, when he saw Fred at the bakery, he gave *him* money for pastries."

(37) When you wish to distinguish one entity from another, this morphology is also used.

```
An<sup>32</sup>, sinj<sup>5</sup> nu<sup>1</sup>kwa<sup>1</sup>han<sup>1</sup> ka<sup>3</sup>-bin<sup>3</sup>=sih<sup>4</sup> yu<sup>3</sup>be<sup>32</sup>.
Uhuh, person joining PERF-be=3M.EMPH then.
'Uhuh, HE had been the representative then.'
```

(Line 45; Sobre la guerra de San Martín y Chicahuaxtla; 06/16/2015; Crispin Celestino Martínez)

'Holy moley! *That* man will have (a lot of) soda if *he* is the man that will walk (serve in political office), my son.'

Line 7, Cómo le da palabras a las autoridades, 10/10/14, Marcelino Hernández Bautista.

(39) If the root tone is upper register, it is replaced with high level tone /4/ and a glottal stop is appended to the right edge (replacing any final glottal consonant). However, only the final syllable tone of lower register tone roots (/1, 2, 32, 31/) is replaced with tone /4/, identical to the topical 3rd person mentioned above (examples to follow).

Non-emphatic		<b>Emphatic</b>	
nan³	this (proximal)	nanh⁴	this (prox)
ta <sup>3</sup>	this (discursive)	tah⁴	this (disc)
$=sij^3$	'he'	sih4	he
=unj³	'she'	unh <sup>4</sup>	she
=nej³	'they'	neh⁴	they
=chuj³	'animal (pron)'	chuh⁴	animal (pron)
chu³be	'dog'	chu⁴beh⁴	Dog
cha³to³	'rabbit'	cha⁴toh⁴	Rabbit

- (40) This emphatic marking is extended to contexts where a noun might refer to a character in a story, e.g. "The Rabbit" as opposed to a generic rabbit.
- (41) These are still apparently topical, it seems, but this is obviously a new category having to do with distinguishing among similar entities within a set. Triqui is very careful to make such distinctions.
- (42) And just in case things were not complicated enough, it turns out that you can apply the morphology above to *verbs* when the subject is either of unknown gender or inanimate, but either needs to be disambiguated from another entity or is not being backgrounded.

- (43) a. ka³-hnah³=sij³ b. ka³-hnah⁴
  PERF-come=3M PERF-come.EMPH
  'They came' 'They came'
  - c. ka³-hnaj³
    PERF-come.TOP
    'They (mentioned) came'
- (44) a. ka²-hnah²=sij³ b. ka²-hnah²⁴
  POT-come=3M POT-come.EMPH
  'They will come' '*They* will come'
  - c. ka²-hnaj²⁴
    POT-come.TOP
    'They (mentioned) will come'
- (45) Ni³taj² si³ **bah³**⁴ (ba³²) roh⁴ ba³² Culiacán yoj³², o⁴nej⁴.

  NEG.exist COMP **exist.EMPH** like exist Culiacan PERS.EVID comadre.1s

  'It (living in Yurécuaro) is not similar to being in Culiacán, my comadre.'

Line 66, Cómo se cuidó a sus niños trabajando en Sinaloa, 6/14/15, Elena Eugenia Martínez

(46) Yya<sup>13</sup> a<sup>3</sup>manh<sup>3</sup> ni<sup>2</sup> ngo<sup>2</sup> ko<sup>3</sup>no<sup>3</sup>ho<sup>32</sup> ni<sup>2</sup>hin<sup>3</sup>-ih<sup>4</sup> ka<sup>3</sup>hanj<sup>2</sup> when rain and at.once be.cooked PL.EMPH PERF.go

Yya<sup>13</sup> chi<sup>4</sup>hninj<sup>4</sup>, ki<sup>3</sup>-na<sup>3</sup>bin<sup>3</sup> nu<sup>1</sup>kwa<sup>1</sup>-**ah<sup>3</sup>** ni<sup>2</sup> **oh<sup>34</sup>** ru<sup>3</sup>ne<sup>32</sup> when be.clear PERF-become hard.**EMPH** and **hit/give.EMPH** bean/blister

ta³koh⁴. Binh⁴ sinj⁵ ni²ki³ ka³hanj². foot.1DU. be.1DU person poor/indigenous perf.go.

'When it would rain, then **they** (our leather sandals) would be cooked/tender. When it was clear, **they** would get hard and **(they) give** us blisters on our feet. We have been poor, indigenous people.'

Lines 26 - 27, Sobre el tiempo de hambruna, 10/10/14, Marcelino Hernández Bautista

- (47) In the example above, the elder speaker is discussing the ways in which people suffered during a famine in the Triqui region. The process of making your own sandals from bull leather is difficult and these types of sandals are uncomfortable to wear because they can give you blisters.
- (48) In sum, you *must* be maximally clear to distinguish between pronominal entities in Triqui discourse while still using pronouns.

- (49) In English, we might just decide to re-use full NPs, but that does not seem to be a strategy that is utilized much in Triqui once a full NP is used, it gets pronominalized throughout the conversation.
- (50) Four unique strategies for marking what would be a "topical":

a.	$be^4 + NP$	Usually ex-situ	New referent
b.	clitic pronoun	In-situ	Subject referent
c.	topical clitic	In-situ	Aforementioned/inanimate referent
d.	emphatic clitic	In-situ	Disambiguated/inanimate referent

(51) This makes tracking information structure and referents in Triqui discourse very interesting but also quite challenging.

## References

- DiCanio, C., Benn, J., and Castillo García, R. (2018). The phonetics of information structure in Yoloxóchitl Mixtec. *Journal of Phonetics*, 68:50–68.
- DiCanio, C., Martínez Cruz, B., Cruz Martínez, B., and Martínez Cruz, W. (2020). Glottal toggling in Itunyoso Triqui. *Phonological Data & Analysis*, 2(4):1–28.
- Kalinowski, C. (2015). *A Typology of Morphosyntactic Encoding of Focus in African Languages*. PhD thesis, University at Buffalo.
- Ladd, D. R. (2008). *Intonational Phonology*. Cambridge Studies in Linguistics 119. Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition edition.
- Lambrecht, K. (1994). *Information structure and sentence form*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Torreira, F., Roberts, S. G., and Hammarström, H. (2014). Functional trade-off between lexical tone and intonation: typological evidence from polar-question marking. In *Proceedings of the 4th Tonal Aspects of Language Symposium*, pages 100–103. Nijmegen, the Netherlands.
- Trask, R.L. (1993) A dictionary of grammatical terms in linguistics. Routledge.
- Zerbian, S. (2008). Investigating prosodic focus marking in Northern Sotho. In Aboh, E. O., Hartmann, K., and Zimmermann, M., editors, *Focus Strategies in African Languages : The Interaction of Focus and Grammar in Niger-Congo and Afro-Asiatic*, chapter 3, pages 55–79. Mouton de Gruyter.