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## I. Introduction

(1) The default word order for Itunyoso Triqui is VSO with adverbial phrases appearing preverbally or adverbs appearing post-verbally before a subject. Locative phrases occur after objects in the clause.
(2) There are many types of complex clauses that we can distinguish in Triqui:
a. Clausal coordination, e.g. I saw Fred and I read him a story.
b. Relative clauses, e.g. I read the book (that) you recommended.
c. Subordinate-first constructions
d. Subordinate-final constructions and others

## II. Clausal coordination

(3) There are two coordinators in Itunyoso Triqui: $n g a^{1}$ and $n i^{2}$. The former coordinates elements in noun phrases and is properly translated as 'with' instead of 'and.' The latter only coordinates larger phrasal units - or forces a reading where the coordinated elements must belong to larger phrasal units.
(4a) chu $^{3} \mathrm{be}^{3} \quad \mathrm{ni}^{2} \quad$ chu $^{3}$ che $^{32} \quad$ (4b)
$\operatorname{dog} \quad$ and chicken
'dog(s) and chicken(s)'

| nih ${ }^{2}$ | chu ${ }^{3} \mathrm{be}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{ni}^{2}$ | chu ${ }^{3}$ che ${ }^{32}$ chicken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PL | dog | an |  |
| 'the dogs and the chicken' |  |  |  |
| *'the dogs and the chicke |  |  |  |

(4c) nih $^{2} \quad$ chu $^{3}$ be $^{3} \quad$ ni $^{2} \quad$ nih $^{2} \quad$ chu $^{3}$ che ${ }^{32}$
PL dog and PL chicken
'the dogs and the chickens'
(4d) nih $^{2} \quad$ chu $^{3} b e^{3} \quad$ nga $^{1} \quad$ chu $^{3}$ che ${ }^{32}$
PL dog with chicken
'the dogs and chickens'
(5) You can not use $n i^{2}$ to coordinate possessors or grammatical relations like subjects/objects/recipients. You must use $n g a^{l}$ for these.

| $\mathrm{Tan}^{4}=\mathrm{sij}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{chu}^{3} \mathrm{be}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{ni}^{2}$ | chu $^{3}$ che ${ }^{32}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ANIM.POSS $=3 \mathrm{M}$ | dog | and | chicken |

'His dog and the chicken'
*'His dog and chicken'

| $\operatorname{Tan}^{4}=\mathrm{sij}^{3}$ | chu ${ }^{3} \mathrm{be}^{3}$ | nga ${ }^{1}$ | chu ${ }^{3}$ che ${ }^{32}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANIM.POSS $=3 \mathrm{M}$ | dog | with | chicken |
| 'His dog and chicken' |  |  |  |

(8) At the most basic level, strings of clauses can just be coordinated together with $n i^{2}$. Incidentally, it also means that $n i^{2}$ has the function of marking clausal continuation in discourse, e.g. "I saw them coming and..."
(9) $\quad \mathrm{Sa}^{3} \mathrm{ni}^{2}$ nga $^{13} \quad$ bin $^{3}+\mathrm{ra}^{43} \quad$ ni $^{2} \mathrm{hinj}^{3} \quad$ cchra $^{3}$ ni $^{2} \quad$ baj $^{3} \quad$ nga $^{1} \quad$ ni $^{2} \mathrm{hinj}^{3}$ but when be.worried pl.top tortilla and go with pl.top
 and early carry $=2 \mathrm{~s}$ house. $\mathrm{f}=3 \mathrm{p}$ and pot-take $=2 \mathrm{~s}$
'But when they wanted (were worried about) tortillas, they would go with them and "you would go early to carry (them) from their house and (in order to) take them."

Line 23, Cómo vivieron antes, 6-9-15, Carmen López González and Nieves López Guzmán
(11) Note that here, we have a context and resulting consequence, e.g. "when you do x , then y happens", but they are just connected with $n i^{2}$. This is followed by the use of $n i^{2}$ as a loose clausal connective. There is a looser relationship between 'go with them' and 'go early' here.
(12) You can interpret $n i^{2}$ as something like 'then' or 'and.' It is the most common word in the Triqui corpus since it both connects phrases and marks a continuation in the discourse. However, as we see, it can not coordinate within noun phrases.
(13) $N i^{2}$ can also be used to separate a pre-verbal topic from the following verb. This may highlight the entity as the topic.
(14) Sinh $^{3} \quad$ nan $^{3} \quad$ ni $^{2} \quad \mathrm{ka}^{3} \mathrm{han}^{3} \quad$ ngo $^{2} \quad$ ya $^{3} \mathrm{koh}^{3} \quad$ nga $^{1} \quad$ tanj ${ }^{3} \quad$ chu $^{3} \mathrm{be}^{3}$ child this and PERF.go.TOP one forest with ANIM.TOP dog 'So this child, he went to a forest with his dog.

Line 28, Rana, dónde estás?, 6-4-17, Adolfo López Martínez
(15) It can also be used with lists of many entities, but I do not understand how these fit into the syntax given the restriction on using $n i^{2}$ within noun phrases.
 another brother. 1 s and five child. $o f=3 \mathrm{M}$ and pair.of spouse $=3 \mathrm{M}$ be eight
'Another of my brothers, his five kids, and their pair of spouses make eight (of us).' (from Line 13; Testimonio de su familia grande; 06/10/2015; Carmen López González)

## III. Relative clauses

(16) Relative clauses are fairly simple in Triqui. They are immediately post-nominal and they seldom involve any overt relativizer - they are headless.
(17) A short pause (sp) is often possible between subjects and objects in Triqui. Here, we observe a resumptive pronoun being used after the verb 'grab.' While resumption is required in certain Mixtec varieties, it is not strictly required in Itunyoso Triqui.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{u}^{4} \mathrm{nanj}^{4} \mathrm{chu}^{3} \mathrm{be}^{3} \mathrm{ki}^{3}-\mathrm{ta}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{32}=\mathrm{chuj}^{3}(\mathrm{sp}) \text { cha }^{3} \mathrm{to}^{3}}_{\text {PERF-run dog } \quad \text { PERF-grab=ANIM (sp) rabbit }}^{\text {'The dog that caught the rabbit ran.' }}
\end{aligned}
$$

(18) The same speaker produced the following sentence as well and omitted the final resumptive pronoun.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}^{-u^{4} \text { nanj }^{4} \mathrm{chu}^{3} \mathrm{be}^{3} \mathrm{ki}^{3}-\text { ta }^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{32}=\text { chuj }^{3} \text { cha }^{3} \mathrm{to}^{3} \text { cha }^{43}\left(=\operatorname{chuj}^{3}\right) \quad \text { chi }^{4} \text { naj }^{4}} \\
& \text { PERF-run dog PERF-grab=ANIM rabbit PERF.eat }(=\text { ANIM }) \text { milpa. } 1 \mathrm{~S} \\
& \text { 'The dog ran that caught the rabbit that ate my milpa.' }
\end{aligned}
$$


'Do you know which person was the person that first bought a car in our town here, uncle?'

Line 4, El primer camioneta en San Martín Itunyoso, 10-7-14, Crescencio de la Cruz Ramírez and Pedro González Martínez
(20) Note that in (19), we do not observe a resumptive pronoun - the relative clause here is simply post-nominal.
(21) Since Triqui is VSO, relative clauses are not going to ever occur between a subject and a verb. They are most frequently clause-final.
(22) Another text example - a subject relative clause.
$\mathrm{Ni}^{3}$ hin $^{3} \quad$ ngo $^{2} \quad$ nej $^{3} \quad \operatorname{sinj}^{5} \quad$ bin $^{3} \quad$ me $^{4}$ stru $^{43} \quad$ nej ${ }^{3}$
know one pl person be teacher also 'One of those people (who) is a teacher knows (it) as well.'

Line 105, El partido Morena, 6-9-15, Carmen López González and Nieves López Guzmán
(23) Since Triqui can omit inanimate direct objects, you can still get a relative clause formed with them.

| Ka $^{3}$ hanj $^{3}$ | ni $^{3} \mathrm{kaj}^{3}=$ unj $^{3}$ | cha $^{43}$ | chu $^{3} \mathrm{taj}^{3}$ | ta $^{3}$ | $\mathrm{ni}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PERF.go | carry $=3 \mathrm{~F}$ | PERF.eat | deer | DEM | and |

'She went to bring (something that) this deer ate.'
Line 57, El cuento del sol y la luna, 7-2-13, Rosa Martínez Santiago
(24) If (21) seems wild to you, I also believe it is!

## IV. Subordinate-first constructions

(25) These constructions involve a rather different syntactic structure - the subordinate clause comes before the main verb. This is true for several Triqui main verbs.

| a. | $\mathrm{ra}^{43}$ | 'want to' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | all essence $^{\prime}$ |  |
| c. predicates (which also involve ra |  |  |
| c. | ba $^{32}$ | 'to be' $^{\text {(cleft construction) }}$ |
| d. | bin $^{3}$ | 'to be' (clausal focus) |
| e. | $\mathrm{a}^{3} \mathrm{taj}^{2}$ | 'to say' |
| f. | si $^{3} \mathrm{raj}^{3}$ | 'to seem that' |
| g. | hyaj $^{3}$ | 'to do/make' (causative constructions) |

(26) 'Want to' constructions all involve an initial subordinate clause.
(27) $\mathrm{Ka}^{1}$ han $^{1} \quad \mathrm{ya}^{3}{ }^{\text {kwej }}{ }^{3} \quad$ raj $^{4} \quad \mathrm{sa}^{3} \mathrm{ni}^{2} \quad$ nun ${ }^{3} \quad \mathrm{ka}^{2} \mathrm{hbe}$ 3 $\quad \mathrm{ka}^{1} \mathrm{han}^{1}$

POT.go.1s Oaxaca want.1s but NEG POT.be.able POT.go.1s
'I wanted to go to Oaxaca, but I couldn't go.'

| $\ldots \mathrm{si}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{cha}^{2}=\mathrm{chuj}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{ma}^{2} \mathrm{han}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{ra}^{43}=\mathrm{chuj}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| because | POT.eat=ANIM | self | want $=$ ANIM |

'...because the animal (owl) wants to eat the boy.'
(29) $\mathrm{Bbe}^{4} \quad \mathrm{ku}^{2} \mathrm{tinh}^{2}=\mathrm{unj}^{3} \quad \mathrm{ra}^{43}=\mathrm{unj}^{3} \quad$ (Contrastive focus construction)
hair pot.cut $=3 \mathrm{~F}$ want $=3 \mathrm{~F}$
'(Her) hair she wants to cut (not the paper).'
(30) Other examples of constructions involving an initial subordinate clause. Some of them require the complementizer $s i^{3}$.
(31) $\mathrm{Si}^{3} \quad \mathrm{ba}^{1} \quad \mathrm{ku}^{3}-$ chu $^{4} \mathrm{man}^{43}=\mathrm{sij}^{3} \quad \mathrm{a}^{3} \mathrm{taj}^{2}=\mathrm{sij}^{3}$

COMP already PERF-arrive $=3 \mathrm{M} \quad$ say $=3 \mathrm{M}$
'He's saying that he already arrived.'

| $\mathrm{Si}^{3}$ | ba $^{1}$ | $\mathrm{ku}^{3}$-chu ${ }^{4} \mathrm{man}^{43}=\mathrm{sij}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{baj}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMP | already | PERF-arrive $=3 \mathrm{M}$ | be.TOP |

'It's that he already arrived.' ~ 'That he already arrived, it is.'

'But if not, then it was later (more) than when the highway passed (was built), it seems.' (Speaker is theorizing out-loud about when an event occurred.)
(34) But the complementizer seems to be optional for these types of sentences.
(35) $\mathrm{Ngwi}^{31} \quad \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{a}^{4} \mathrm{nin}^{43}+\mathrm{ra}^{43} \quad \mathrm{bin}^{3} \quad \mathrm{nej}^{3} \quad \mathrm{ngwi}^{31}$
people PERF-stop+want be 3p people
'They were the ones (who) were thinking (that).'
(36) kwe $^{4}$ nta $^{43} \quad \mathrm{a}^{3} \operatorname{tah}^{3} \quad$ nne $^{32}=$ sij $^{3} \quad$ bin $^{3}$
for put.atop water $=3 \mathrm{M}$ be
'It is for baptizing him.'
(37) $\mathrm{be}^{4} \quad \operatorname{sun}^{32} \quad \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{ta}^{3} \quad$ cha $^{3} \tan ^{3} \quad \mathrm{ba}^{32}$
top work POT-cut pineapple be
'This is the work of cutting (harvesting) pineapple.'
(38) What seems to tie all of these types of verbs together? They all seem to be attitudinal predicates - they express some attitude about the actions that appear in the subordinate clause

It's that I can't finish it on time.
I want to go later on.
It seems (to me) that this is a good idea.
I think this is the right choice.
(39) But how does a verb like 'to do' fit here? Are causatives attitude predicates?

| $\mathrm{A}^{4} \mathrm{to}^{43}$ | $\mathrm{ni}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{nanj}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ka}^{3}$ | cchih $^{2}$ hyaj $^{3}$ | che $^{43}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sleep.1s | always | be.at | 10 | do/make | father.1s |

'My father makes me always go to sleep at 10 o'clock.'
(41) If you elicit the sentence in (40), you might get a calque of the Spanish word order. This is also grammatical.

| che $^{43}$ | hyaj $^{3}$ | $\mathrm{~A}^{4} \mathrm{to}^{43}$ | $\mathrm{ni}^{2} \mathrm{nanj}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ka}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{cchih}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| father.1s | do/make | sleep.1s | always | be.at | 10 |

'My father makes me always go to sleep at 10 o'clock.'
(42) The subordinate-first construction only occurs with the verb for 'want' in Copala Triqui (Broadwell, p.c.). One possibility is that this construction has extended to additional verbs in Itunyoso Triqui.

## V. Subordinate-final constructions

(43) Certain verbs require a subordinate-final construction - most of these involve a main verb of motion, but 'to ought to' also falls into this category.
(44) $\quad \mathrm{Ta}^{3} \mathrm{hbij}^{5} \quad \mathrm{tu}^{3} \mathrm{ku}^{4} \mathrm{minj}^{4} \quad \mathrm{chu}^{3} \mathrm{ku}^{3}$
ought.to.1s care.for.1s animal
'I should care for the animals.'

| $\mathrm{Ka}^{3} \mathrm{hanj}^{1}=\mathrm{reh}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{ki}^{2}$-ranj ${ }^{4}=$ reh $^{1}$ | ngo ${ }^{2}$ | chu ${ }^{3}$ che ${ }^{32}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERF.go $=2 S$ | POT-buy=2S | one | chicken |
| You went to buy | icken.' |  |  |

$\mathrm{A}^{3} \mathrm{kinj}^{5}=$ sij $^{3}=$ yunj $^{1} \quad \mathrm{ka}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{han}^{1} \quad \mathrm{tu}^{3}{ }^{1} \mathrm{kwa}^{4}=$ sij $^{3}$
call $=3 \mathrm{~s}=1 \mathrm{~s}$. OBJ $\quad$ POT.go. $1 \mathrm{~s} \quad$ house $=3 \mathrm{~s}$
'He is calling me to go to his house.' ~ 'He is inviting me to his house.'
(47) Several constructions involve verbs which just appear adjacent to one another. These seem to encode simultaneous or sequential actions.

| $\mathrm{A}^{3} \mathrm{kwaj}^{4}=\mathrm{chuj}^{3} \mathrm{ni}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}^{4} \mathrm{nanj}^{4} \mathrm{nu}^{1} \mathrm{kwej}^{1}=$ sij $^{3}$ | chu $^{4} \mathrm{ba}^{43}$ | ru $^{3} \mathrm{chan}^{3}$ | nun |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yell=ANIM | and | run | both=3M | inside | hammock | be.inside

nun ${ }^{32} \quad n u^{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{1}=$ sij $^{3} \quad$ ni $^{2}$
be.inside both $=3 \mathrm{M}$ and
'The rooster was yelling and both of the (boys) were running inside and getting into the hammock. They were both in the hammock.'

Line 44, El cuento del sol y la luna, Rosario Guzmán Flores and Nieves Lopez Guzmán

'The grains of the boiled corn were falling into the spring and so they collected and collected them to eat every day.'

Line 23, El cuento del sol y la luna, Rosario Guzmán Flores and Nieves Lopez Guzmán
(50) The use of multiple identical verbs in (49) - the strategy of repetition - is often used to indicate that an event has kept happening over an extended period.
(51) However, collecting must logically precede eating. So, the events can be sequential.
(52) $\mathrm{Ni}^{2} \quad \mathrm{yu}^{3} h u n j^{2} \quad$ ta $^{3} \quad$ nneh $^{4} \quad \mathrm{ka}^{2}$ nin $^{2}+$ roh $^{4}$

And women these sit.DISJ POT.stop+want.DISJ
'And as for these women, they were sitting and were thinking.'
~ 'And as for these women, they were sitting to think.'
DISJ $=$ disjoint reference marking (another endoclitic)
(53) But it appears that simultaneous states can also be marked with $n i^{2}$.
(54) $\quad \mathrm{Na}^{4} \mathrm{nin}^{43}+\mathrm{ra}^{43}=\mathrm{sij}^{3} \quad \mathrm{ni}^{2} \quad \mathrm{ni}^{3} \mathrm{hyaj}^{3}=\mathrm{sij}^{3} \quad$ rian $^{32} \quad \tan ^{4}=\mathrm{sij}^{3} \quad$ chu $^{3} \mathrm{be}^{3}$ retell+want $=3 \mathrm{M}$ and look. $a t=3 \mathrm{M}$ face ANIM. $\mathrm{OF}=3 \mathrm{M}$ dog 'He is sad and he is looking at his dog.'
(55) So, the distinction between verbs being strung together without a coordinator and verbs being strung together without one is not so clear.
(56) Speculation: It is perhaps the case that if a single verb is the entire clause and has the same subject, speakers might prefer to avoid $n i^{2}$. Yet, if the clauses involve different subjects and objects, then perhaps the coordinator is used.

