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Typology and tonal systems

How useful is a typological perspective for the study of tonal phonetics?

1. Structural diversity is abundant.
   - Structural differences among languages contribute to phonetic variation in tone production/perception, even across well-known languages.

2. The phonetic timing of tones differs dramatically.
   - There is substantial cross-linguistic variation in how tones are coordinated in larger utterances/units.

3. Models of speech production should be inclusive with respect to such cross-linguistic variability.
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Structural differences? Mandarin vs. Thai

What does a falling tone look like? What accounts for a delayed fall in Thai?

(Figures from Xu (1997); Zsiga and Nitisaroj (2007))
Coordination differences? Mandarin vs. Taiwanese

Mandarin falling tones (left, (Xu, 1994)) undergo greater coarticulation than Taiwanese falling tones (right, (Peng, 1997)).
A typological perspective will reveal the extent to which both structural and language-specific differences contribute to phonetic patterns related to tone.

Oto-Manguean languages possess a unique collection of structural properties and phonetic patterns which challenge some of the established ideas within the tonal phonetics literature.

1. Strong evidence for the mora as the TBU and the unit of planning, as opposed to the syllable (Prom-on et al., 2009; Xu and Prom-on, 2014; Zhang, 2004).

2. Active processes of dissimilation and range expansion in coarticulation, as opposed to assimilation/reduction (Xu, 1994; Gandour et al., 1994, 1999; Peng, 1997).
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Language families in Mexico

- Oto-Aztecan
- Oto-Manguean
- Mayan
- Mixe-Zoquean
- Isolates
- Totonacan
- Tequistlatecan
- Jicaque
- Misumalpan
- Chibchan

Oto-Manguean stock
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Oto-Manguean languages

- With 177 languages, Oto-Manguean is the largest language family in the Americas (and 9th largest in the world).

- A majority of these languages are spoken in the state of Oaxaca. In fact, 157 of the 285 languages spoken in Mexico are found in Oaxaca.

- Extensive diversity within language family largely correlates with biological diversity in the areas where it is spoken. Oaxaca is the most biologically diverse state in Mexico with the greatest number of endemic vascular plants (de Ávila, 2010).
Oto-Manguean:

Eastern:
- Amuzgo
- Mixtecan
  - Popolocan
  - Zapotecan

Oto-Pamean
- Chichimec
- Pame
- Matlatzinca
- Otomí
- Mazahua
- Chinantec
- Subtiaba
- Tlapane
- Chiapanecn
- Chorotegcn
- Chorotegan
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Oto-Manguean tone
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Tone in Oto-Manguean languages

- All are tonal and many have very large tonal inventories. At least three tones are reconstructed at the earliest levels (Kaufman, 1990; Rensch, 1976).

- Laryngeal/glottal features which are often orthogonal to tone (Silverman, 1997).

- Complex onsets are possible, but most languages lack codas. Most languages have polysyllabic words.

- Complex morphology on verbs and with personal clitics which frequently involves tone (Campbell et al., 1986; Palancar, 2009; Suárez, 1983) and classic processes of tone sandhi (Goldsmith, 1990; Pike, 1948).
Complex tonal systems

How many tones occur in Oto-Manguean languages?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Tones</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Pame</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(Berthiaume, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazahua</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(Knapp Ring, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tlacoatzintepec Chinantec</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(Thalin, 1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itunyoso Triqui</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(DiCanio, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoloxóchitl Mixtec</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(DiCanio et al., 2012a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan Quiahije Chatino</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(Cruz, 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiquihuitlan Mazatec</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(Jamieson, 1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiotepec Chinantec</td>
<td>19+</td>
<td>(Castillo Martínez, 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

But how do you count? Is the TBU the stem? the syllable? the mora?
Quetzalapa Chinantec

Five tone levels with contours (rising tones excluded). Words courtesy of Isabel Alhondra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>tsoU</td>
<td>‘his/her fault’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>tsoU</td>
<td>‘illness’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>tsoU</td>
<td>‘he/she goes’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>tsoU</td>
<td>‘straight’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>tsoU</td>
<td>‘sin’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>tsoU</td>
<td>‘male’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>tsoU</td>
<td>‘people’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the TBU here though? Are there only 5 levels (1/mora)?
Variation in tonal alignment

How we count tones is tied to the phonological domains for tone. What evidence is there for such domains in speech production in Oto-Manguean languages? (Phonology and the phonetics of alignment)

- Intonational pitch accents are anchored to segmental targets/onsets (Atterer and Ladd, 2004; Ladd et al., 1999; Ladd, 2004).

- Lexical tones are aligned to syllables (Gao, 2008, 2009; Prom-on et al., 2009; Xu, 1998; Xu and Prom-on, 2014).

- Lexical tones are aligned to moras (Myers, 2003; Morén and Zsiga, 2006).
Syllables or moras?

- Similar alignment across CVN and CV syllables at different speech rates in Mandarin. Tonal contrasts are aligned to syllables (Xu, 1998).

- Contour tone licensing is insensitive to moraic structure, but sensitive to rime sonority (Zhang, 2004). Contour tones surface on syllables with longer duration of voicing and even are sensitive to polysyllabic shortening (Lehiste, 1970; Turk and Shattuck-Hufnagel, 2000).

- Earlier F_0 maxima observed for H and HL tones in Kinyarwanda than for the LH tone, suggesting moraic alignment (Myers, 2003).

- The inflection points of Thai tonal contours align at the right edge of moras. Trajectories only begin in the second mora (Morén and Zsiga, 2006; Zsiga and Nitisaroj, 2007).
Case study: Yoloxóchitl Mixtec
Tonal phonology

- Like other Mixtecan languages, all roots are minimally composed of bimoraic couplets, consisting of either monosyllabic stems with long vowels (CVV) or disyllabic stems with shorter vowels (CVCV) (Castillo García, 2007).

- Five possible tones on the initial mora: 1, 3, 4, 13, 14

- Nine possible tones on the final mora: 1, 2, 3, 4, 13, 14, 24, 32, 42

Pattern consistent across word types:

/βi\textsuperscript{3}ta\textsuperscript{42}/ ‘soft’ vs. /nū\textsuperscript{3}ū\textsuperscript{42}/ ‘night’

/ñū\textsuperscript{3}ū\textsuperscript{2}/ ‘town’ vs. /ñū?\textsuperscript{3}ū\textsuperscript{2}/ ‘fire’

/nu\textsuperscript{14}u\textsuperscript{3}/ ‘face’ vs. /ʃa\textsuperscript{14}tu\textsuperscript{3}/ ‘soft corn tortilla’
**Table:** Tone in YM (4 = high, 1 = low)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>nda₁a¹</th>
<th>jә³a³</th>
<th>nda⁴a⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘flat’</td>
<td>‘fast’</td>
<td>‘black’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling</td>
<td>nda³a²</td>
<td>nda⁴a²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘sloping’</td>
<td>‘where’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising</td>
<td>ta₁a³</td>
<td>ndo¹o⁴</td>
<td>nde³e⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘man’</td>
<td>‘sugarcane’</td>
<td>‘strong’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘went up’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘stripped’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise+Fall</td>
<td>kwe¹³e²</td>
<td>jә¹⁴a³</td>
<td>ndi¹i⁴²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘linger’</td>
<td>‘new’</td>
<td>‘pink’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘night’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High+Rise</td>
<td>nde⁴e¹³</td>
<td>kwi⁴i¹⁴</td>
<td>ka⁴a²⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘they enter’</td>
<td>‘is peeling’</td>
<td>‘slips’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise+Rise</td>
<td>ndo¹⁴o¹³</td>
<td>kwi¹⁴i¹⁴</td>
<td>ka¹⁴a²⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘to not stay’</td>
<td>‘is not peeling’</td>
<td>‘does not slip’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the syllable is the unit of tone planning, how many distinct types?
Alignment study

- “Complex” contours with initial rises should show earlier alignment than simple rises.

- If tone is aligned to moras in Mixtec, alignment of contour tones should be similar between monosyllabic and disyllabic words, as both are bimoraic.

- If tone is aligned to syllables, then alignment of contour tones in monosyllables need not correspond to the alignment in disyllables.

- Examined $F_0$ alignment in large elicited corpus of 261 words x 6 repetitions x 10 speakers.

- LMER with word size, normalized time, and tone as DVs, speaker as a random effect.
Expectations for alignment – parity across word types

Test: to what extent do $F_0$ contours differ across word types?
Results

There is no general effect of word size. However, there was a significant tone x word size interaction (tone /4/).
Falling tones are similar

Falling tones in monosyllables

$\mu-1$  $\mu-2$

F0 heights differ.

Falling tones in disyllables

$v1$  $v2$

Tone

4.2  3.2

z-score normalized F0

Time (normalized)
Rising tones are similar.

- **Rising tones in monosyllables**
  - \( \mu - 1 \)
  - \( \mu - 2 \)

- **Rising tones in disyllables**
  - **v1**
  - **v2**

- **Tone Levels**
  - 1.3
  - 1.4
  - 3.4

- **Higher starting F0 height.**
Complex vs. simple rises

Tones /13/ and /13.3/ in monosyllables

Timing of rise restricted to second mora.

Earlier timing of F0 rise, on first mora.

Early F0 minimum

Late F0 minimum

Tones /13/ and /13.3/ in disyllables

No height difference but earlier rise and timing of low remain different.

Tone

1.3

13.3

Time (normalized)
Late target attainment of tone /1/ in /1.4/, but early rise of tone /13/ in /13.4/.

### Tones /13.4/ and /1.4/ in monosyllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (normalized)</th>
<th>z-score normalized F0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tones /13.4/ and /1.4/ in disyllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (normalized)</th>
<th>z-score normalized F0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tones /13.4/ and /1.4/ in monosyllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>z-score normalized F0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tones /13.4/ and /1.4/ in disyllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>z-score normalized F0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Double rises

Complete rise attained in first mora of vowel in monosyllables.
Discussion: Alignment

- No general effect of word size on alignment – not predicted if the syllable is the unit of tone planning.

- Interactions between word size and tone with respect to F₀ height (not time), for melodies /1.3, 1.4, 4.14, 4.2, 4.4/.

- Strong evidence for alignment to the mora, even within a monosyllabic long vowel.

- Strong similarity across word sizes also suggests phonetic alignment to the mora.

- Counter Zhang’s (2004) argument that tonal licensing is not constrained by moraic structure. Alignment was not considered in his proposal.
Conclusions - Alignment

- Moraic structure not simply assumed to account for the distributional differences in Mixtec, but it is supported by phonetic data examining alignment.

- Typological considerations into the size of tonal inventories need to look carefully at the nature of the tone-bearing unit in particular languages, lest we mischaracterize apparent (or hidden) complexity.

- We just didn’t know that languages could do this!
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YM has a large inventory of tones, but it’s not as many as you might assume.
Tone production and variability

The relative timing of tone varies by context and language.

How are tones coordinated with one another in Oto-Manguean languages?
What constrains the production of a sequence of lexical tones?

1. **Tonal context**: the production of a tone is sensitive to the $F_0$ height and slope of adjacent tonal targets.

2. **Speech rate**: rate impinges on the temporal demands for producing certain $F_0$ shapes.
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Tonal context and compatibility

- Phonetic contexts which cause more abrupt $F_0$ transitions between adjacent syllables are more likely to perturb $F_0$ than contexts causing gradual transitions (Xu, 1994).

- **Conflicting**: the tone offset does not match the following onset, e.g. Rise + Low.

- **Compatible**: the tone offset matches the following tone onset, e.g. Fall + Rise.
Greater coarticulatory effects occur in conflicting contexts than in compatible contexts in Mandarin Chinese (Xu, 1994).
Tonal coarticulation and speech rate

- While all languages have some anticipatory and carryover effects, the latter is typically stronger (in Vietnamese, Thai, Mandarin) (Brunelle, 2009; Gandour et al., 1994; Xu, 1997).

- Generally, tonal coarticulation is assimilatory in nature, but anticipatory coarticulation is sometimes dissimilatory. High tones raise before low tones in Hokkien (Chang and Hsieh, 2012), Taiwanese (Peng, 1997), and Mandarin (Xu, 1997; Tilsen, 2013).

- As rate increases, fewer $F_0$ targets are successfully reached and contours flatten, as in French (Fougeron and Jun, 1998), Croatian, and English (Bradlow et al., 2003; Smiljanić and Bradlow, 2005).
Case study: Itunyoso Triqui

Spoken in the town of San Martín Itunyoso, in Oaxaca, Mexico, it is one of three Triqui variants.
Tone in Itunyoso Triqui

- Nine lexical tones contrast in word-final syllables, but only level tones occur in non-final syllables. Syllable structure is open with the exception of two possible glottal codas (DiCanio, 2008, 2012a, 2014).

- There are morphological tone changes, but no tone sandhi across words (DiCanio, submitted).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>IPA</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>IPA</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>β:e⁴</td>
<td>‘hair’</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>li⁴³</td>
<td>‘small’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>n:e³</td>
<td>‘plough’</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>n:e³²</td>
<td>‘water’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>n:e²</td>
<td>‘to lie (tr.)’</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>n:e³¹</td>
<td>‘meat’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>n:e¹</td>
<td>‘naked’</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>joh⁴⁵</td>
<td>‘my forehead’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>jo¹³</td>
<td>‘light, quick’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tones in open syllables, from (DiCanio, 2012b)
Method: stimuli

- How do tonal context and rate influence tone production in Triqui?

- Four tones were chosen and embedded in four different tonal contexts in natural sentences of 3 words.

- Example: $nɨ^3 rɨ^3 sɨh^4$ $ja^3 k^w e$h$ $^3$ ‘The man knows Oaxaca.’

- The medial word was always monosyllabic while the adjacent words were always disyllabic.

- The resulting sentences were natural carrier sentences in the language of the form: Verb + Subject + Modifier (adj, object), c.f. Scholz (2012).
Triqui tonal coarticulation

Method: tonal contexts and rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent tone (L/R)</th>
<th>Medial tone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/2.2/</td>
<td>/45/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/3.3/</td>
<td>/4/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/3.32/</td>
<td>/43/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/3.45/</td>
<td>/2/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of the 16 sentences were repeated five times by 8 speakers (4 male, 4 female) in two rate conditions (normal, fast).

- Normal rate: 3.45 45 3.45, \([\text{atf}^{45} \text{si}^{45} \text{ta}^{3} \text{ka}^{45}]\) ‘The man is asking for a pig.’
- Fast rate: 3.45 45 3.45, \([\text{atf}^{45} \text{si}^{45} \text{ta}^{3} \text{ka}^{45}]\)
Measures

- Time-normalized $F_0$ data for the three vowels extracted using Voicesauce (Shue et al., 2009), at 20 points.

- $F_0$ data examined with several linear mixed effects model fit for each tone in each position (Left, Medial, Right) with 4 factors (Offset.difference X Time X Duration X Rate). Speaker was treated as a random effect with random intercepts and slope set for the effect of rate.

- The 20 time points were treated as continuous and recentered.

- Conservative measure of distance of z-score log $F_0$ from speaker’s average for a given tone (a measure of variation).

- All results discussed here are significant at $p < .01$ via model comparisons using a $\chi^2$ test with analysis of variance.
Medial target: level tones

Dissimilatory lowering of T2 and raising of T4
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Oto-Manguean tone
Medial target: contour tones

Dissimilatory raising of T45

Time (normalized)

Z-score normalized log F0

Adjacent tones:
- t2
- t3
- t32
- t45

Christian DiCanio (Haskins)
Summary - medial target

- Significant effects of the adjacent tones on the medial tone’s $F_0$ trajectory, most notably for an adjacent /45/ and /32/.

- Not assimilatory, but dissimilatory.

- Tone /2/ lowers between contour tones /(3).45/.

- Tone /45/ (and tone /43/ to a lesser extent) raises between tones /2.2/.
Results II: Effect of rate on tone production

Average Normal: Fast speech rate = 1:1.26
F₀ range expansion

Medial tones at different speech rates

Time (normalized)
z-score normalized F₀

T2  T4  T43  T45

Normal  Fast
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Discussion

- Tones remain distinct across contexts. This is different from Mandarin, where tones change drastically (Xu, 1994), but similar to findings in Thai (Gandour et al., 1999). Triqui and Thai both lack tone sandhi.

- More coarticulation occurred when the following tone was a contour than when it was level.

- More dissimilatory effects were found in anticipatory contexts (medial), which is in-line with work on Taiwanese (Peng, 1997), Mandarin (Xu, 1998), Malaysian Hokkien (Chang and Hsieh, 2012), and Tianjin Chinese (Zhang and Liu, 2011).
Contrast preserving

- Expanding the pitch range and processes of dissimilation during in fast speech may aid the listener in the perception of tonal contrasts.

- Manuel’s output constraint: “languages generally tend to tolerate less contextually induced changes in acoustic phonetic output if they are likely to lead to confusion of contrastive phones.” (Manuel, 1990)

- Languages for which increased variability in F₀ does not result in decreased lexical identification do not undergo range contraction at a faster speech rate, as it is detrimental for perception.

- But if so, why doesn’t Mandarin do this?
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Discoveries from Oto-Manguean languages

- Structural differences between languages influence tonal alignment.

- The target of a tone need not be what we consider the typical unit of speech planning (the syllable) (Krakow, 1999; Goldstein et al., 2007).

- Coarticulatory dissimilation may be a more common feature of languages with a larger number of tone levels and/or languages without tone sandhi processes.

- Range expansion during fast speech preserves these tonal contrasts too.
Investigating complexity

There is not only a unique complexity to the phonology of Oto-Manguean tonal systems, but also unique phonetic processes.

1. Our attempts to understand and model tonal processes should come to grips with this.

2. Suggests the need for a fusion between fieldwork and experimental research on tone (or at least a fusion of researchers).

3. Models of tone production should attempt typological coverage.
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Investigating complexity

There is not only a unique complexity to the phonology of Oto-Manguean tonal systems, but also unique phonetic processes.

1. Our attempts to understand and model tonal processes should come to grips with this.

2. Suggests the need for a fusion between fieldwork and experimental research on tone (or at least a fusion of researchers).

3. Models of tone production should attempt typological coverage.

Not every language show these patterns, but the patterns show us what constraints speakers control in tone production.
Future directions

1. Modelling of coarticulation and rate effects in Triqui in a general production model (TADA) under NSF grant (Whalen & Xu).

2. Investigating tonal coarticulation data in Yoloxóchitl Mixtec.

3. Investigating tonal variability in Mixtec corpus data using forced alignment (DiCanio et al., 2012b, 2013).

4. Investigating the use of dynamic cues by native listeners in tone perception.
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  Thank you!
Duration of tone in YM

Little difference in duration among tones in monosyllables.
Duration differences for the Triqui vowels

No difference in duration between stressed vowels, but unstressed vowels were shorter.
Tones did not differ substantially in duration in medial monosyllabic words.

### Speech rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>Fast</th>
<th>Normal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/2/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/4/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/43/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/45/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Left target: level tones

Anticipatory raising with T2, Left syllable

Following tone
- t2
- t4
- t43
- t45

Time (normalized)
z-score normalized log F0
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# Left target: contour tones

## Assimilatory raising of T45, Left syllable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (normalized)</th>
<th>T32</th>
<th>T45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following tone

- t2
- t4
- t43
- t45
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Summary - left target

- Significant effects of the following tone on the preceding tone’s F₀ trajectory, most notably for a following /45/ and /43/.

- Tone /2/ raises before contour tones /43, 45/, but is unaffected by following level tones.

- Tone /45/ is realized with an earlier F₀ peak before contour tones /43, 45/ than before following level tones.

- It is not simply the presence/absence of a higher tone which causes tonal coarticulation here, but the presence of a contour.
Right target: level tones

Assimilatory changes in tone /3/ in melodies /3.32/, /3.45/, Right syllable

Preceding tone
- t2
- t4
- t43
- t45

Time (normalized)

Z-score normalized log F0
Larger summary

- Strong anticipatory effects on word preceding medial monosyllable and strong carryover effects on following word too.

- Dissimilatory effects on word which varies in tone in the elicitation frame, but only with highest/lowest adjacent targets.


