

# BFO

By bittner

September 7, 2008

## Contents

**theory** *EMR*

**imports** *FOL*

**begin**

**typedecl** *Rg*

**arities** *Rg :: term*

### consts

*OR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*

*POR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*

*PR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*

*PPR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*

*Sum* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*

*Diff* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*

*Prod* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*

*UniR* :: *Rg* => *o*

### axioms

*PR-refl*: (*ALL a. PR(a,a)*)

*PR-antisym*: (*ALL a b. (PR(a,b) & PR(b,a) --> a=b)*)

*PR-trans*: (*ALL a b c. (PR(a,b) & PR(b,c) --> PR(a,c))*)

*PR-diff*: (*ALL a b. (~PR(a,b)) --> (EX c. Diff(a,b,c))*)

*PR-sum*: (*ALL a b. (EX c. Sum(a,b,c))*)

*PR-prod*: (*ALL a b. (OR(a,b) --> (EX c. Prod(a,b,c)))*)

### defs

*OR-def*: *OR(a,b) == (EX c. (PR(c,a) & PR(c,b)))*

*POR-def*: *POR(a,b) == OR(a,b) & ~PR(a,b) & ~PR(b,a)*

*PPR-def*:  $PPR(a,b) == PR(a,b) \ \& \ \sim PR(b,a)$   
*Sum-def*:  $Sum(a,b,c) == (ALL \ d. \ OR(d,c) \ <-> \ (OR(d,a) \ | \ OR(d,b)))$   
*Diff-def*:  $Diff(a,b,c) == (ALL \ d. \ OR(d,c) \ <-> \ (EX \ e. \ (PR(e,a) \ \& \ \sim OR(e,b) \ \& \ OR(e,d))))$   
*Prod-def*:  $Prod(a,b,c) == (ALL \ d. \ OR(d,c) \ <-> \ (OR(d,a) \ \& \ OR(d,b)))$   
*UniR-def*:  $UniR(a) == (ALL \ b. \ PR(b,a))$

**lemma** *PR-refl-rule*:  $PR(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *PR-trans-rule*:  $[PR(a,b); PR(b,c)] ==> PR(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *PR-antisym-rule*:  $[PR(a,b); PR(b,a)] ==> a=b$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *PR-diff-rule*:  $\sim PR(a,b) ==> (EX \ c. \ Diff(a,b,c))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *PPR-imp-PR*:  $PPR(a,b) ==> PR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PPR-asym*:  $PPR(a,b) ==> \sim PPR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PPR-trans*:  $[PPR(a,b); PPR(b,c)] ==> PPR(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-imp-PPR-or-Id*:  $PR(a,b) ==> (PPR(a,b) \ | \ (a=b))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PPR-or-Id-imp-PR*:  $(PPR(a,b) \ | \ (a=b)) ==> PR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-PPR-imp-PPR*:  $[PR(a,b); PPR(b,c)] ==> PPR(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PPR-and-PR-imp-PPR*:  $[PPR(a,b); PR(b,c)] ==> PPR(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *OR-refl*:  $OR(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *OR-sym*:  $OR(a,b) \implies OR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *POR-sym*:  $POR(a,b) \implies POR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *POR-irrefl*:  $\sim POR(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-imp-OR*:  $PR(a,b) \implies OR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-OR*:  $[PR(a,b); OR(a,c)] \implies OR(b,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-notOR-imp-notOR*:  $[PR(a,b); \sim OR(b,c)] \implies \sim OR(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *notOR-sym*:  $\sim OR(a,b) \implies \sim OR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-ssuppl*:  $\sim PR(a,b) \implies (EX c. (PR(c,a) \& \sim OR(c,b)))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *PR-ssuppl-transpos*:  $\sim (EX c. (PR(c,a) \& \sim OR(c,b))) \implies PR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *OR-imp-OR-imp-PR*:  $(ALL c. (OR(c,a) \implies OR(c,b))) \implies PR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *OR-ident* :  $(ALL a b. (ALL c. (OR(c,a) \iff OR(c,b))) \iff a=b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sum-unique*:  $[Sum(a,b,c); Sum(a,b,d)] \implies c = d$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sum-imp-PR-and-PR*:  $Sum(a,b,c) \implies PR(a,c) \& PR(b,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sum-sym*:  $Sum(a,b,c) \implies Sum(b,a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sum-refl*:  $Sum(a,a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sum-imp-id*:  $Sum(a,a,b) ==> a=b$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-imp-Sum*:  $PR(a,b) ==> Sum(a,b,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Diff-unique*:  $[Diff(a,b,c);Diff(a,b,d)] ==> c = d$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Prod-unique*:  $[Prod(a,b,c);Prod(a,b,d)] ==> c = d$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Diff-imp-notPR*:  $Diff(a,b,c) ==> \sim PR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *notOR-imp-Diff*:  $\sim OR(a,b) ==> Diff(a,b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Diff-imp-PR*:  $Diff(a,b,c) ==> PR(c,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-notPR-imp-notPR*:  $[PR(a,b);\sim PR(c,b)] ==> \sim PR(c,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-Diff-impl-Diff-PR*:  $[PR(a,b);Diff(c,b,d)] ==> (EX e. (Diff(c,a,e)$   
 $\& PR(d,e)))$   
*<proof>*

**thm** *conjI*  
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UniR-unique*:  $[UniR(a);UniR(b)] ==> a=b$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *QSizeR*

**imports** *EMR*

**begin**

**consts**

$SSR :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
 $Sym :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
 $LER :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$

**axioms**

$SSR-refl: ALL a. SSR(a,a)$   
 $SSR-sym: SSR(a,b) ==> SSR(b,a)$   
 $SSR-trans: [|SSR(a,b);SSR(b,c)|] ==> SSR(a,c)$   
 $PR-and-SSR-imp-PR: [|PR(a,b);SSR(a,b)|] ==> PR(b,a)$   
 $SSR-plus: [|Plus(a,c,d1);Plus(b,c,d2);Sym(c,a,b)|] ==> (SSR(a,b) <-> SSR(d1,d2))$   
 $LER-total: ALL a b. (LER(a,b) | LER(b,a))$   
 $LER-and-LER-imp-SSR: [|LER(a,b);LER(b,a)|] ==> SSR(a,b)$

**lemma**  $SSR-refl-rule: SSR(a,a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**defs**

$Sym-def: Sym(c,a,b) == (ALL d. (PR(d,c) --> (PR(d,a) <-> PR(d,b))))$   
 $LER-def: LER(a,b) == (EX c. (SSR(c,a) \& PR(c,b)))$

**consts**

$RSSR :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
 $NEGR :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
 $SSCR :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
 $LRSSR :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
 $LNRSSR :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$

**defs**

$NEGR-def: NEGR(a,b) == (EX c1 c2. (SSR(c1,a) \& PR(c1,b) \& Diff(b,c1,c2) \& RSSR(b,c2)))$   
 $SSCR-def: SSCR(a,b) == (\sim NEGR(a,b) \& \sim NEGR(b,a))$   
 $LRSSR-def: LRSSR(a,b) == (LER(a,b) | RSSR(a,b))$   
 $LNRSSR-def: LNRSSR(a,b) == (LRSSR(a,b) \& \sim RSSR(a,b))$

**axioms**

$RSSR-refl: ALL a. RSSR(a,a)$   
 $RSSR-sym: RSSR(a,b) ==> RSSR(b,a)$   
 $RSSR-between: [|RSSR(a,b);LER(a,c);LER(c,b)|] ==> (RSSR(c,a) \& RSSR(c,b))$

*RSSR-and-NEGR-imp-NEGR*:  $[[RSSR(a,b);NEGR(b,c)] \implies NEGR(a,c)$

*NEGR-and-RSSR-imp-NEGR*:  $[[NEGR(a,b);RSSR(b,c)] \implies NEGR(a,c)$

*NEGR-and-LER-imp-NEGR*:  $[[NEGR(a,b);LER(b,c)] \implies NEGR(a,c)$

*RSSR-sum*:  $[[Sum(a,c,d1);Sum(b,c,d2);Sym(c,a,b);RSSR(a,b)] \implies RSSR(d1,d2)$

*RSSR-sum2*:  $[[Sum(a,b,c);NEGR(a,c)] \implies \sim LRSSR(b,a)$

**theorem** *Id-imp-SSR*:  $a=b \implies SSR(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-PR-imp-SSR*:  $[[PR(a,b);PR(b,a)] \implies SSR(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-SSR-imp-Id*:  $[[PR(a,b);SSR(a,b)] \implies a = b$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-imp-LER*:  $PR(a,b) \implies LER(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *PPR-imp-notSSR*:  $PPR(a,b) \implies \sim SSR(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *LER-refl*:  $LER(a,a)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *LER-and-SSR-imp-LER*:  $[[LER(a,b);SSR(b,c)] \implies LER(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSR-and-LER-imp-LER*:  $[[SSR(c,a);LER(a,b)] \implies LER(c,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSR-imp-LER*:  $SSR(a,b) \implies LER(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSR-imp-LER-and-LER*:  $SSR(a,b) \implies (LER(a,b) \ \& \ LER(b,a))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *LER-trans*:  $[[LER(a,b);LER(b,c)] \implies LER(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**thm** *exE*

*<proof>*

**thm** *exI*

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSR-and-RSSR-imp-RSSR*:  $[[SSR(a,b);RSSR(b,c)]] \implies RSSR(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**thm** *SSR-and-LER-imp-LER*

*<proof>*

**thm** *RSSR-between*

*<proof>*

**theorem** *RSSR-and-SSR-imp-RSSR*:  $[[RSSR(a,b);SSR(b,c)]] \implies RSSR(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSR-imp-RSSR*:  $SSR(a,b) \implies RSSR(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGR-imp-LER*:  $NEGR(a,b) \implies LER(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGR-imp-LER-and-notSSR*:  $NEGR(a,b) \implies (LER(a,b) \ \& \ \sim SSR(a,b))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGR-irrefl*:  $ALL \ a. (\sim NEGR(a,a))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGR-assym*:  $NEGR(a,b) \implies \sim NEGR(b,a)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *LER-and-NEGR-imp-NEGR*:  $[[LER(a,b);NEGR(b,c)]] \implies NEGR(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSR-and-NEGR-imp-NEGR*:  $[[SSR(a,b);NEGR(b,c)]] \implies NEGR(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGR-and-SSR-imp-NEGR*:  $[[NEGR(a,b);SSR(b,c)]] \implies NEGR(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGR-trans*:  $[[NEGR(a,b);NEGR(b,c)]] \implies NEGR(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-NEGR-imp-NEGR*:  $[PR(a,b);NEGR(b,c)] \implies NEGR(a,c)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEGR-and-PR-imp-NEGR*:  $[NEGR(a,b);PR(b,c)] \implies NEGR(a,c)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *RSSR-imp-notNEGR*:  $RSSR(a,b) \implies \sim NEGR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *SSCR-refl*: *ALL*  $a$ .  $SSCR(a,a)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *SSCR-sym*:  $SSCR(a,b) \implies SSCR(b,a)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *LRSSR-refl*:  $LRSSR(a,a)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *LRSSR-and-LRSSR-imp-RSSR*:  $[LRSSR(a,b);LRSSR(b,a)] \implies RSSR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *RSSR-imp-LRSSR-and-LRSSR*:  $RSSR(a,b) \implies (LRSSR(a,b) \& LRSSR(b,a))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *RSSR-iff-LRSSR-and-LRSSR*:  $RSSR(a,b) \iff (LRSSR(a,b) \& LRSSR(b,a))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *LRSSR-and-NEGR-imp-NEGR*:  $[LRSSR(a,b);NEGR(b,c)] \implies NEGR(a,c)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEGR-and-LRSSR-imp-NEGR*:  $[NEGR(a,b);LRSSR(b,c)] \implies NEGR(a,c)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *LRSSR-total*:  $LRSSR(a,b) \mid LRSSR(b,a)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *LNRSSR-asym*:  $LNRSSR(a,b) \implies \sim LNRSSR(b,a)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *LNRSSR-trans*:  $[LNRSSR(a,b);LNRSSR(b,c)] \implies LNRSSR(a,c)$   
(proof)



**end**  
**theory** *RBG*

**imports** *EMR QSizeR*

**begin**

**consts**

*SpR* :: *Rg* => *o*  
*MxSpR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*  
*CoPPR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*  
*CGR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*  
*CNGSpR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*  
*ECR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*  
*DCR* :: *Rg* => *Rg* => *o*

**defs**

*MxSpR-def*:  $MxSpR(a,b,c) == SpR(a) \& SpR(b) \& SpR(c) \& PR(a,c) \& PR(b,c) \& \sim OR(a,b) \& (ALL e. (SpR(e) \& PR(a,e) \longrightarrow (a = e \mid OR(e,b) \mid \sim PR(e,c))))$   
*CoPPR-def*:  $CoPPR(a,b) == SpR(a) \& SpR(b) \& PPR(a,b) \& (ALL c d. (MxSpR(c,a,b) \& MxSpR(d,a,b) \longrightarrow SSR(c,d)))$   
*CGR-def*:  $CGR(a,b) == (EX c. (SpR(c) \& OR(c,a) \& OR(c,b) \& (ALL d. (CoPPR(d,c) \longrightarrow (OR(d,a) \& OR(d,b)))))$   
*CNGSpR-def*:  $CNGSpR(a,b) == SpR(a) \& SpR(b) \& (EX ca cb. (CoPPR(ca,cb) \& MxSpR(a,ca,cb) \& MxSpR(b,ca,cb)))$   
*ECR-def*:  $ECR(a,b) == CGR(a,b) \& \sim OR(a,b)$   
*DCR-def*:  $DCR(a,b) == \sim CGR(a,b)$

**axioms**

*SP-nested*:  $[|Sp(a);Sp(b);Sp(c);MxSpR(u,a,c);MxSpR(v,a,c);(ALL ua va. (((MxSpR(ua,a,b) \& MxSpR(va,a,b)) \mid (MxSpR(ua,b,c) \& MxSpR(va,b,c))) \longrightarrow SSR(ua,va)))] \implies SSR(u,v)$   
*SP-PPR-exists*:  $EX b. (SpR(b) \& PPR(b,a))$

*SSR-imp-CoPPR-and-MxSpR*:  $[|SpR(a);SpR(b);SSR(a,b)] \implies (EX ca cb. (CoPPR(ca,cb) \& MxSpR(a,ca,cb) \& MxSpR(b,ca,cb)))$   
*CGR-imp-CGR-imp-PR*:  $(ALL c. (CGR(c,a) \longrightarrow CGR(c,b))) \implies PR(a,b)$

*SpR-CoPPR-NEGR-exists*:  $SpR(a) \implies (EX b. CoPPR(b,a) \& NEGR(b,a))$   
*SpR-and-LER-and-notSSR-imp-CoPPR-and-SSR-exists*:  $[|SpR(a);LER(b,a);\sim SSR(b,a)] \implies (EX c. (CoPPR(c,a) \& SSR(c,b)))$

*SpR-and-SpR-and-PP-imp-MxSpR*:  $[|SpR(a);SpR(b);PPR(a,b)] \implies (EX c. (MxSpR(c,a,b)))$

**lemma** *SP-PR-exists*:  $EX b. (SpR(b) \ \& \ PR(b,a))$   
(proof)

**lemma** *CoPPR-imp-PPR*:  $CoPPR(a,b) \implies PPR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**lemma** *CoPPR-imp-SpR-and-SpR*:  $CoPPR(a,b) \implies (SpR(a) \ \& \ SpR(b))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *CoPPR-asym*:  $CoPPR(a,b) \implies \sim CoPPR(b,a)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *CoPPR-trans*:  $[CoPPR(a,b); CoPPR(b,c)] \implies CoPPR(a,c)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *PPR-exists*:  $(EX b. PPR(b,a))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Sp-CoPPR-exists*:  $SpR(a) \implies (EX b. CoPPR(b,a))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *PR-and-NEGR-exists*:  $EX b. (PR(b,a) \ \& \ NEGR(b,a))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *CGR-refl*:  $CGR(a,a)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *CGR-sym*:  $CGR(a,b) \implies CGR(b,a)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *PR-imp-CGR-imp-CGR*:  $PR(a,b) \implies (ALL c. (CGR(c,a) \ \longrightarrow \ CGR(c,b)))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *OR-imp-CGR*:  $OR(a,b) \implies CGR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *PR-iff-CGR-imp-CGR*:  $PR(a,b) \ \longleftrightarrow \ (ALL c. (CGR(c,a) \ \longrightarrow \ CGR(c,b)))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Id-iff-CGR-iff-CGR*:  $a=b \ \longleftrightarrow \ (ALL c. (CGR(c,a) \ \longleftrightarrow \ CGR(c,b)))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-imp-CGR*:  $PR(a,b) \implies CGR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-CGR-imp-CGR*:  $[PR(a,b);CGR(a,c)] \implies CGR(b,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-notCGR-imp-notCGR*:  $[PR(a,b);\sim CGR(b,c)] \implies \sim CGR(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sp-sum*:  $OR(w,a) \leftrightarrow (EX b. (SpR(b) \& PR(b,a) \& OR(w,b)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sp-imp-CNGSpR*:  $SpR(a) \implies CNGSpR(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *CNGSpR-imp-SSR*:  $CNGSpR(a,b) \implies SSR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sp-and-SSR-imp-CNGSpR*:  $[SpR(a);SpR(b);SSR(a,b)] \implies CNGSpR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Sp-imp-SSR-iff-CONSpR*:  $[SpR(a);SpR(b)] \implies (SSR(a,b) \leftrightarrow CNGSpR(a,b))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *CNGSpR-imp-Sp-and-Sp*:  $CNGSpR(a,b) \implies (SpR(a) \& SpR(b))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *CNGSpR-sym*:  $CNGSpR(a,b) \implies CNGSpR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *CNGSpR-trans*:  $[CNGSpR(a,b);CNGSpR(b,c)] \implies CNGSpR(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *ECR-sym*:  $ECR(a,b) \implies ECR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *ECR-irrefl*:  $\sim ECR(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *DCR-sym*:  $DCR(a,b) \implies DCR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *DCR-irrefl*:  $\sim DCR(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**end**  
**theory** *QDiaSizeR*

**imports** *RBG*

**begin**

**consts**

*SSRdia* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
*LERdia* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
*MinBSpR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$

*BR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$

**defs**

*MinBSpR-def*:  $MinBSpR(a,b) == SpR(a) \ \& \ PR(b,a) \ \& \ (ALL \ c. \ (SpR(c) \ \& \ PR(b,c) \ \longrightarrow \ LER(a,c)))$

*SSRdia-def*:  $SSRdia(a,b) == (EX \ ca \ cb. \ (MinBSpR(ca,a) \ \& \ MinBSpR(cb,b) \ \& \ SSR(ca,cb)))$

*LERdia-def*:  $LERdia(a,b) == (EX \ ca \ cb. \ (MinBSpR(ca,a) \ \& \ MinBSpR(cb,b) \ \& \ LER(ca,cb)))$

*BR-def*:  $BR(a,b,c) == SpR(a) \ \& \ SpR(b) \ \& \ SpR(c) \ \& \ (EX \ sab \ sbc \ sac \ bab \ bbc \ bac. \ (Sum(a,b,sab) \ \& \ Sum(b,c,sbc) \ \& \ Sum(a,c,sac) \ \& \ MinBSpR(bab,sab) \ \& \ MinBSpR(bbc,sbc) \ \& \ MinBSpR(bac,sac) \ \& \ PR(bab,bac) \ \& \ PR(bbc,bac)))$

**axioms**

*MinBSpR-exists*:  $(EX \ b. \ MinBSpR(b,a))$

*PR-and-MinBSpR-and-MinBSpR-imp-PR*:  $[[PR(a,b);MinBSpR(aa,a);MinBSpR(bb,b)]] \ \Longrightarrow \ PR(aa,bb)$

*BR-trans*:  $[[BR(a,b,w);BR(b,c,w)]] \ \Longrightarrow \ BR(a,b,c)$

*BR-connect*:  $[[BR(a,b,w);BR(a,c,w)]] \ \Longrightarrow \ (BR(a,b,c) \ | \ BR(a,c,b))$

**theorem** *MinBSpR-imp-PR*:  $MinBSpR(a,b) \ \Longrightarrow \ PR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *MinBSpR-and-MinBSpR-imp-SSR*:  $[[\text{MinBSpR}(a,c); \text{MinBSpR}(b,c)]] \implies \text{SSR}(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *MinBSpR-unique*:  $[[\text{MinBSpR}(a,c); \text{MinBSpR}(b,c)]] \implies a=b$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *SpR-iff-MinBSpR*:  $\text{SpR}(a) \iff \text{MinBSpR}(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *EX-SpR-CGR-and-CGR*:  $(\text{EX } c. (\text{SpR}(c) \ \& \ \text{CGR}(c,a) \ \& \ \text{CGR}(c,b)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *BR-imp-PR*:  $\text{BR}(a,b,a) \implies \text{PR}(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *BR-refl*:  $[[\text{SpR}(a); \text{SpR}(b)]] \implies \text{BR}(a,a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *BR-sym*:  $\text{BR}(a,b,c) \implies \text{BR}(c,b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSRdia-refl*:  $\text{SSRdia}(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSRdia-sym*:  $\text{SSRdia}(a,b) \implies \text{SSRdia}(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSRdia-trans*:  $[[\text{SSRdia}(a,b); \text{SSRdia}(b,c)]] \implies \text{SSRdia}(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LERdia-refl*:  $\text{LERdia}(a,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LERdia-trans*:  $[[\text{LERdia}(a,b); \text{LERdia}(b,c)]] \implies \text{LERdia}(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LERdia-and-LERdia-imp-SSRdia*:  $[[\text{LERdia}(a,b); \text{LERdia}(b,a)]] \implies \text{SS-}$

$Rdia(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SSRdia-imp-LERdia-and-LERdia*:  $SSRdia(a,b) \implies (LERdia(a,b) \ \& \ LERdia(b,a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SSRdia-iff-LERdia-and-LERdia*:  $SSRdia(a,b) \iff (LERdia(a,b) \ \& \ LERdia(b,a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *LERdia-or-LERdia*:  $(LERdia(a,b) \ | \ LERdia(b,a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *Id-imp-SSRdia*:  $a=b \implies SSRdia(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *PR-and-PR-imp-SSRdia*:  $[[PR(a,b);PR(b,a)]] \implies SSRdia(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *PR-imp-LERdia*:  $PR(a,b) \implies LERdia(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *LERdia-and-SSRdia-imp-LERdia*:  $[[LERdia(a,b);SSRdia(b,c)]] \implies LERdia(a,c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SSRdia-and-LERdia-imp-LERdia*:  $[[SSRdia(a,b);LERdia(b,c)]] \implies LERdia(a,c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SpR-and-SpR-and-SSR-imp-SSRdia*:  $[[SpR(a);SpR(b);SSR(a,b)]] \implies SSRdia(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SpR-and-SpR-and-SSRdia-imp-SSR*:  $[[SpR(a);SpR(b);SSRdia(a,b)]] \implies SSR(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SpR-and-SpR-imp-SSR-iff-SSRdia*:  $[[SpR(a);SpR(b)]] \implies (SSR(a,b) \iff SSRdia(a,b))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SSRdia-imp-LERdia*:  $SSRdia(a,b) \implies LERdia(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**consts**

$RSSRdia :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
 $NEGRdia :: Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$

**defs**

$RSSRdia-def: RSSRdia(a,b) == (EX\ ca\ cb.\ (MinBSPR(ca,a) \& MinBSPR(cb,b) \& RSSR(ca,cb)))$   
 $NEGRdia-def: NEGRdia(a,b) == (EX\ ca\ cb.\ (MinBSPR(ca,a) \& MinBSPR(cb,b) \& NEGR(ca,cb)))$

**theorem**  $RSSRdia-refl: RSSRdia(a,a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $RSSRdia-sym: RSSRdia(a,b) ==> RSSRdia(b,a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $RSSRdia-between: [|RSSRdia(a,b);LERdia(a,c);LERdia(c,b)] ==> (RSSRdia(c,a) \& RSSRdia(c,b))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $SSRdia-and-RSSRdia-imp-RSSRdia: [|SSRdia(a,b);RSSRdia(b,c)] ==> RSSRdia(a,c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $RSSRdia-and-SSRdia-imp-RSSRdia: [|RSSRdia(a,b);SSRdia(b,c)] ==> RSSRdia(a,c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $SSRdia-imp-RSSRdia: SSRdia(a,b) ==> RSSRdia(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $NEGRdia-imp-LERdia: NEGRdia(a,b) ==> LERdia(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $NEGRdia-imp-LERdia-and-notSSRdia: NEGRdia(a,b) ==> (LERdia(a,b) \& \sim SSRdia(a,b))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $NEGRdia-irrefl: \sim NEGRdia(a,a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *NEGRdia-assym*:  $NEGRdia(a,b) ==> \sim NEGRdia(b,a)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *LERdia-and-NEGRdia-imp-NEGRdia*:  $[|LERdia(a,b);NEGRdia(b,c)|] ==> NEGRdia(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGRdia-and-LERdia-imp-NEGRdia*:  $[|NEGRdia(a,b);LERdia(b,c)|] ==> NEGRdia(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSRdia-and-NEGRdia-imp-NEGRdia*:  $[|SSRdia(a,b);NEGRdia(b,c)|] ==> NEGRdia(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGRdia-SSRdia-imp-NEGRdia*:  $[|NEGRdia(a,b);SSRdia(b,c)|] ==> NEGRdia(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGRdia-trans*:  $[|NEGRdia(a,b);NEGRdia(b,c)|] ==> NEGRdia(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-NEGRdia-imp-NEGRdia*:  $[|PR(a,b);NEGRdia(b,c)|] ==> NEGRdia(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *NEGRdia-PR-imp-NEGRdia*:  $[|NEGRdia(a,b);PR(b,c)|] ==> NEGRdia(a,c)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SpR-and-SpR-and-RSSR-imp-RSSRdia*:  $[|SpR(a);SpR(b);RSSR(a,b)|] ==> RSSRdia(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SpR-and-SpR-and-RSSRdia-imp-RSSR*:  $[|SpR(a);SpR(b);RSSRdia(a,b)|] ==> RSSR(a,b)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SpR-and-SpR-imp-RSSR-iff-RSSRdia*:  $[|SpR(a);SpR(b)|] ==> (RSSR(a,b) <-> RSSRdia(a,b))$

*<proof>*

**end**



**theory** *QDistR*

**imports** *QSizeR RBG QDiaSizeR*

**begin**

**consts**

*CLR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
*SCLR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
*NR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
*SNR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
*AR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
*FAR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$   
*MAR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow Rg \Rightarrow o$

*SpShR* ::  $Rg \Rightarrow o$

**defs**

*CLR-def*:  $CLR(a,b) == (EX\ c.\ (SpR(c) \ \&\ CGR(c,a) \ \&\ CGR(c,b) \ \&\ NEGR(c,a)))$   
*SCLR-def*:  $SCLR(a,b) == \sim CGR(a,b) \ \&\ CLR(a,b)$   
*NR-def*:  $NR(a,b) == (EX\ c.\ (SpR(c) \ \&\ CGR(c,a) \ \&\ CGR(c,b) \ \&\ (NEGR(c,a) \ | \ RSSR(c,a))))$   
*SNR-def*:  $SNR(a,b) == \sim CLR(a,b) \ \&\ NR(a,b)$   
*AR-def*:  $AR(a,b) == \sim NR(a,b)$   
*FAR-def*:  $FAR(a,b) == (ALL\ c.\ (SpR(c) \ \&\ CGR(c,a) \ \&\ CGR(c,b) \ \dashrightarrow (NEGR(a,c))))$   
*MAR-def*:  $MAR(a,b) == AR(a,b) \ \&\ \sim FAR(a,b)$

*SpShR-def*:  $SpShR(a) == (EX\ b.\ (MinBSpR(b,a) \ \&\ RSSR(a,b)))$

**axioms**

*PR-imp-NR-imp-NR*:  $PR(a,b) ==> (ALL\ c.\ (NR(a,c) \ \dashrightarrow NR(b,c)))$   
*LRSSR-and-NR-imp-NR*:  $[LRSSR(a,b);NR(a,b)] ==> NR(b,a)$

**lemma** *SSR-or-notSSR*:  $ALL\ a\ b.\ (SSR(a,b) \ | \ \sim SSR(a,b))$   
{*proof*}

**theorem** *CGR-imp-CLR*:  $CGR(a,b) ==> CLR(a,b)$   
{*proof*}

**theorem** *SCLR-imp-CLR*:  $SCLR(a,b) ==> CLR(a,b)$   
{*proof*}

**theorem** *CLR-imp-CGR-or-SCLR*:  $CLR(a,b) \implies (CGR(a,b) \mid SCLR(a,b))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *CGR-imp-notSCLR*:  $CGR(a,b) \implies \sim SCLR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *CLR-imp-NR*:  $CLR(a,b) \implies NR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *SNR-imp-NR*:  $SNR(a,b) \implies NR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NR-imp-CLR-or-SNR*:  $NR(a,b) \implies (CLR(a,b) \mid SNR(a,b))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *CLR-imp-notSNR*:  $CLR(a,b) \implies \sim SNR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *FAR-imp-AR*:  $FAR(a,b) \implies AR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *MAR-imp-AR*:  $MAR(a,b) \implies AR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *AR-imp-MAR-or-FAR*:  $AR(a,b) \implies (MAR(a,b) \mid FAR(a,b))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *MAR-imp-notFAR*:  $MAR(a,b) \implies \sim FAR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NR-or-AR*:  $NR(a,b) \mid AR(a,b)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *CLR-refl*: (ALL  $a$ .  $CLR(a,a)$ )  
(proof)

**theorem** *NR-refl*: (ALL  $a$ .  $NR(a,a)$ )  
(proof)

**theorem** *SCLR-irrefl*: (ALL  $a$ .  $\sim SCLR(a,a)$ )  
(proof)

**theorem** *SNR-irrefl*: (ALL  $a$ .  $\sim SNR(a,a)$ )  
(proof)

**theorem** *AR-irrefl*:  $(\text{ALL } a. \sim \text{AR}(a,a))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *FAR-irrefl*:  $(\text{ALL } a. \sim \text{FAR}(a,a))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *CLR-and-PR-imp-CLR*:  $[\text{CLR}(a,b); \text{PR}(b,c)] \implies \text{CLR}(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *NR-and-PR-imp-NR*:  $[\text{NR}(a,b); \text{PR}(b,c)] \implies \text{NR}(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-notNR-imp-notNR*:  $[\text{PR}(a,b); \sim \text{NR}(b,c)] \implies \sim \text{NR}(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-imp-NR*:  $\text{PR}(a,b) \implies (\text{NR}(a,b) \ \& \ \text{NR}(b,a))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PR-and-AR-imp-AR*:  $[\text{PR}(a,b); \text{AR}(b,c)] \implies \text{AR}(a,c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LRSSR-and-CLR-imp-CLR*:  $[\text{LRSSR}(a,b); \text{CLR}(a,b)] \implies \text{CLR}(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LRSSR-and-SCLR-imp-SCLR*:  $[\text{LRSSR}(a,b); \text{SCLR}(a,b)] \implies \text{SCLR}(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *RSSR-and-SNR-imp-SNR*:  $[\text{RSSR}(a,b); \text{SNR}(a,b)] \implies \text{SNR}(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LRSSR-and-SNR-imp-NR*:  $[\text{LRSSR}(a,b); \text{SNR}(a,b)] \implies \text{NR}(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LRSSR-and-AR-imp-AR*:  $[\text{LRSSR}(b,a); \text{AR}(a,b)] \implies \text{AR}(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LRSSR-and-FAR-imp-FAR*:  $[\text{LRSSR}(b,a); \text{FAR}(a,b)] \implies \text{FAR}(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LRSSR-and-MAR-imp-AR*:  $[[LRSSR(b,a);MAR(a,b)]] ==> AR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *RSSR-and-MAR-imp-MAR*:  $[[RSSR(a,b);MAR(a,b)]] ==> MAR(b,a)$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *TNEMO* imports *FOL*

**begin**

**typedecl** *Ob*

**typedecl** *Ti*

**arities** *Ob* :: *term*

*Ti* :: *term*

**consts**

*O* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *Ti* => *o*

*P* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *Ti* => *o*

*PP* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *Ti* => *o*

*E* :: *Ob* => *Ti* => *o*

*Me* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *Ti* => *o*

*pP* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *o*

*pPP* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *o*

*pO* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *o*

*pMe* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *o*

*cP* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *o*

*bP* :: *Ob* => *Ob* => *o*

**axioms**

*P-exists1*:  $(ALL x. (EX t. E(x,t)))$

*P-exists2*:  $(ALL x y t. (P(x,y,t) -->(E(x,t) \& E(y,t))))$

*P-trans*:  $(ALL x y z t. (P(x,y,t) \& P(y,z,t) --> P(x,z,t)))$

*P-ssuppl*:  $(ALL\ x\ y\ t. ((E(x,t) \ \&\ \sim P(x,y,t)) \dashrightarrow (EX\ z. (P(z,x,t) \ \&\ \sim O(z,y,t))))$

**defs**

*E-def*:  $E(x,t) == P(x,x,t)$

*O-def*:  $O(x,y,t) == (EX\ z. (P(z,x,t) \ \&\ P(z,y,t)))$

*PP-def*:  $PP(x,y,t) == P(x,y,t) \ \&\ \sim P(y,x,t)$

*Me-def*:  $Me(x,y,t) == (P(x,y,t) \ \&\ P(y,x,t))$

*pP-def*:  $pP(x,y) == (ALL\ t. ((E(x,t) \ | \ E(y,t)) \dashrightarrow P(x,y,t)))$

*pPP-def*:  $pPP(x,y) == (ALL\ t. ((E(x,t) \ | \ E(y,t)) \dashrightarrow PP(x,y,t)))$

*pO-def*:  $pO(x,y) == (ALL\ t. ((E(x,t) \ | \ E(y,t)) \dashrightarrow O(x,y,t)))$

*pMe-def*:  $pMe(x,y) == (ALL\ t. ((E(x,t) \ | \ E(y,t)) \dashrightarrow Me(x,y,t)))$

*cP-def*:  $cP(x,y) == (ALL\ t. (E(y,t) \dashrightarrow P(x,y,t)))$

*bP-def*:  $bP(x,y) == (ALL\ t. (E(x,t) \dashrightarrow P(x,y,t)))$

**lemma** *P-exists2-rule*:  $P(x,y,t) ==> (E(x,t) \ \&\ E(y,t))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *P-trans-rule*:  $[P(x,y,t); P(y,z,t)] ==> P(x,z,t)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *P-Me-rule*:  $[P(x,y,t); P(y,x,t)] ==> Me(x,y,t)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *P-ssuppl-rule*:  $[E(x,t); \sim P(x,y,t)] ==> (EX\ z. (P(z,x,t) \ \&\ \sim O(z,y,t)))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *ltb2*:  $(\sim(A \ \&\ \sim B) ==> (\sim A \ | \ B))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *P-ssuppl-rule-transpos*:  $\sim(EX\ z. (P(z,x,t) \ \&\ \sim O(z,y,t))) ==> (\sim E(x,t)$

$| \ P(x,y,t))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-refl*:  $E(x,t) ==> P(x,x,t)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *Me-refl*:  $E(x,t) ==> Me(x,x,t)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *Me-exists2*:  $Me(x,y,t) ==> (E(x,t) \& E(y,t))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Me-sym*:  $Me(x,y,t) ==> Me(y,x,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Me-trans*:  $[Me(x,y,t); Me(y,z,t)] ==> Me(x,z,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *O-refl*:  $(ALL x t. E(x,t) --> O(x,x,t))$   
(proof)

**lemma** *O-refl-rule*:  $E(x,t) ==> O(x,x,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *O-sym*:  $(ALL x y t. (O(x,y,t) --> O(y,x,t)))$   
(proof)

**lemma** *O-sym-rule*:  $O(x,y,t) ==> O(y,x,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *O-imp-E-and-E*:  $O(x,y,t) ==> (E(x,t) \& E(y,t))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *PP-imp-P*:  $(ALL x y t. (PP(x,y,t) --> P(x,y,t)))$   
(proof)

**lemma** *PP-imp-P-rule*:  $PP(x,y,t) ==> P(x,y,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *P-imp-Me-or-PP*:  $P(x,y,t) ==> (Me(x,y,t) | PP(x,y,t))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *PP-or-Me-imp-P*:  $(PP(x,y,t) | Me(x,y,t)) ==> P(x,y,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *PP-asy*:  $(ALL x y t. PP(x,y,t) --> \sim PP(y,x,t))$   
(proof)

**lemma** *PP-asy-rule*:  $PP(x,y,t) ==> \sim PP(y,x,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *PP-trans*:  $(\text{ALL } x y z t. (PP(x,y,t) \ \& \ PP(y,z,t) \ \text{---} \> \ PP(x,z,t)))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *PP-trans-rule*: **assumes**  $p1: PP(x,y,t)$  **assumes**  $p2: PP(y,z,t)$  **shows**  
 $PP(x,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-and-PP-imp-PP*:  $[[P(x,y,t); PP(y,z,t)] \ \text{==>} \ PP(x,z,t)]$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PP-and-P-imp-PP*:  $[[PP(x,y,t); P(y,z,t)] \ \text{==>} \ PP(x,z,t)]$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-and-notMe-imp-PP*:  $[[P(x,y,t); \sim Me(x,y,t)] \ \text{==>} \ PP(x,y,t)]$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-imp-O*:  $P(x,y,t) \ \text{==>} \ O(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-and-O*:  $[[P(x,y,t); O(x,z,t)] \ \text{==>} \ O(y,z,t)]$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *O-imp-O-imp-P*:  $(\text{ALL } x y t. (E(x,t) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } z. (O(z,x,t) \ \text{---} \> \ O(z,y,t)))) \ \text{---} \> \ P(x,y,t))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *O-imp-O-imp-P-rule*:  $[[E(x,t); (\text{ALL } z. (O(z,x,t) \ \text{---} \> \ O(z,y,t)))] \ \text{==>} \ P(x,y,t)]$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ltb1*:  $(\text{ALL } z. (A(z,x,t) \ \& \ B(z,y,t))) \ \text{==>} \ (\text{ALL } z. A(z,x,t)) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } z. B(z,y,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *O-iff-O-iff-Me*:  $(\text{ALL } x y t. ((E(x,t) \ \& \ E(y,t) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } z. (O(z,x,t) \ \text{<-> \ O(z,y,t)))) \ \text{<-> \ Me(x,y,t))))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-iff-P-iff-Me*:  $(\text{ALL } x y t. ((E(x,t) \ \& \ E(y,t) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } z. (P(z,x,t)$

$\langle - \rangle P(z,y,t))) \langle - \rangle Me(x,y,t))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pP-refl*:  $ALL x. pP(x,x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pP-trans*:  $[[pP(x,y);pP(y,z)]] ==> pP(x,z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pPP-asym*:  $pPP(x,y) ==> \sim pPP(y,x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pPP-trans*:  $[[pPP(x,y);pPP(y,z)]] ==> pPP(x,z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pPP-imp-pP-and-notpP*:  $pPP(x,y) ==> (pP(x,y) \ \& \ \sim pP(y,x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pO-refl*:  $(ALL x. pO(x,x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pO-sym*:  $pO(x,y) ==> pO(y,x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SharedpP-imp-pO*:  $(EX z. (pP(z,x) \ \& \ pP(z,y))) ==> pO(x,y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *cP-refl*:  $(ALL x. (cP(x,x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *cP-trans*:  $[[cP(x,y);cP(y,z)]] ==> cP(x,z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *bP-refl*:  $(ALL x. (bP(x,x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *bP-trans*:  $[[bP(x,y);bP(y,z)]] ==> bP(x,z)$



*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-imp-cP-and-bP*:  $pP(x,y) \implies (cP(x,y) \ \& \ bP(x,y))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *cP-and-bP-imp-pP*:  $[[cP(x,y);bP(x,y)]] \implies pP(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *TORL*

**imports** *TNEMO EMR*

**begin**

**consts**

*L* ::  $Ob \implies Rg \implies Ti \implies o$   
*LocIn* ::  $Ob \implies Ob \implies Ti \implies o$   
*PCoin* ::  $Ob \implies Ob \implies Ti \implies o$   
*ContIn* ::  $Ob \implies Ob \implies Ti \implies o$

*pLocIn* ::  $Ob \implies Ob \implies o$   
*pPCoin* ::  $Ob \implies Ob \implies o$   
*pContIn* ::  $Ob \implies Ob \implies o$

**axioms**

*L-exists*:  $(\forall x t. (E(x,t) \longleftrightarrow (\exists a. L(x,a,t))))$   
*L-P-PR*:  $(\forall x y a b t. (L(x,a,t) \ \& \ L(y,b,t) \ \& \ P(x,y,t) \longrightarrow PR(a,b)))$   
*P-and-L-and-L-imp-P*:  $(\forall x y a t. (P(x,y,t) \ \& \ L(x,a,t) \ \& \ L(y,a,t) \longrightarrow P(y,x,t)))$

**defs**

*LocIn-def*:  $LocIn(x,y,t) \equiv (\exists a b. (L(x,a,t) \ \& \ L(y,b,t) \ \& \ PR(a,b)))$   
*PCoin-def*:  $PCoin(x,y,t) \equiv (\exists a b. (L(x,a,t) \ \& \ L(y,b,t) \ \& \ OR(a,b)))$   
*ContIn-def*:  $ContIn(x,y,t) \equiv LocIn(x,y,t) \ \& \ \sim O(x,y,t)$

*pLocIn-def*:  $pLocIn(x,y) \equiv (\forall t. ((E(x,t) \mid E(y,t)) \longrightarrow LocIn(x,y,t)))$   
*pPCoin-def*:  $pPCoin(x,y) \equiv (\forall t. ((E(x,t) \mid E(y,t)) \longrightarrow PCoin(x,y,t)))$   
*pContIn-def*:  $pContIn(x,y) \equiv (\forall t. ((E(x,t) \mid E(y,t)) \longrightarrow ContIn(x,y,t)))$

**lemma** *L-exists1*:  $E(x,t) \implies (EX\ a.\ L(x,a,t))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *L-exists2*:  $(EX\ a.\ L(x,a,t)) \implies E(x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *L-P-PR-rule*:  $[[L(x,a,t); L(y,b,t); P(x,y,t)]] \implies PR(a,b)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *P-and-L-and-L-imp-P-rule*:  $[[P(x,y,t); L(x,a,t); L(y,a,t)]] \implies P(y,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *L-unique*:  $[[L(x,a,t); L(x,b,t)]] \implies a=b$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LocIn-imp-E-and-E*:  $LocIn(x,y,t) \implies (E(x,t) \ \&\ E(y,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-imp-L*:  $P(x,y,t) \implies (EX\ a\ b.\ (L(x,a,t) \ \&\ L(y,b,t) \ \&\ PR(a,b)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *L-and-L-and-PR-imp-L*:  $[[L(x,a,t); L(y,b,t); PR(a,b)]] \implies LocIn(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LocIn-imp-PCoin*:  $LocIn(x,y,t) \implies PCoin(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *E-imp-LocIn*:  $E(x,t) \implies LocIn(x,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LocIn-trans*:  $[[LocIn(x,y,t); LocIn(y,z,t)]] \implies LocIn(x,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PCoin-sym*:  $PCoin(x,y,t) \implies PCoin(y,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-imp-LocIn*:  $P(x,y,t) \implies LocIn(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-and-LocIn-imp-P*:  $[[P(x,y,t); LocIn(y,x,t)]] \implies P(y,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LocIn-and-P-imp-LocIn*:  $[[LocIn(x,y,t); P(y,z,t)]] \implies LocIn(x,z,t)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-and-LocIn-imp-LocIn*:  $[[P(x,y,t);LocIn(y,z,t)]] ==> LocIn(x,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *O-imp-PCoin*:  $O(x,y,t) ==> PCoin(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLocIn-imp-pPCoin*:  $pLocIn(x,y) ==> pPCoin(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLocIn-refl*:  $(ALL x. pLocIn(x,x))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLocIn-trans*:  $[[pLocIn(x,y);pLocIn(y,z)]] ==> pLocIn(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pPCoin-sym*:  $pPCoin(x,y) ==> pPCoin(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-imp-pLocIn*:  $pP(x,y) ==> pLocIn(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLocIn-and-pP-imp-pLocIn*:  $[[pLocIn(x,y);pP(y,z)]] ==> pLocIn(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-and-pLocIn-imp-pLocIn*:  $[[pP(x,y);pLocIn(y,z)]] ==> pLocIn(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-and-pLocIn-imp-pP*:  $[[pP(x,y);pLocIn(y,x)]] ==> pP(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pO-imp-pPCoin*:  $pO(x,y) ==> pPCoin(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pContIn-imp-pLocIn-and-notpO*:  $pContIn(x,y) ==> pLocIn(x,y) \& \sim pO(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pContIn-imp-pLocIn-and-notO*:  $pContIn(x,y) ==> (pLocIn(x,y) \& (ALL t. \sim O(x,y,t)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLocIn-and-notO-imp-pContIn*:  $[[pLocIn(x,y);(ALL t. \sim O(x,y,t))]] ==>$   
 $pContIn(x,y)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

**theory** *QSizeO*

**imports** *TORL QSizeR*

**begin**

**consts**

$SS :: Ob ==> Ob ==> Ti ==> o$   
 $LE :: Ob ==> Ob ==> Ti ==> o$   
 $RSS :: Ob ==> Ob ==> Ti ==> o$   
 $NEG :: Ob ==> Ob ==> Ti ==> o$   
 $SSC :: Ob ==> Ob ==> Ti ==> o$

$pSS :: Ob ==> Ob ==> o$   
 $pLE :: Ob ==> Ob ==> o$   
 $pRSS :: Ob ==> Ob ==> o$   
 $pNEG :: Ob ==> Ob ==> o$   
 $pSSC :: Ob ==> Ob ==> o$

**defs**

*SS-def*:  $SS(x,y,t) == (EX a b. (L(x,a,t) \& L(y,b,t) \& SSR(a,b)))$   
*LE-def*:  $LE(x,y,t) == (EX a b. (L(x,a,t) \& L(y,b,t) \& LER(a,b)))$   
*RSS-def*:  $RSS(x,y,t) == (EX a b. (L(x,a,t) \& L(y,b,t) \& RSSR(a,b)))$   
*NEG-def*:  $NEG(x,y,t) == (EX a b. (L(x,a,t) \& L(y,b,t) \& NEGR(a,b)))$   
*SSC-def*:  $SSC(x,y,t) == (EX a b. (L(x,a,t) \& L(y,b,t) \& SSCR(a,b)))$

*pSS-def*:  $pSS(x,y) == (ALL t. ((E(x,t) | E(y,t)) --> SS(x,y,t)))$   
*pLE-def*:  $pLE(x,y) == (ALL t. ((E(x,t) | E(y,t)) --> LE(x,y,t)))$   
*pRSS-def*:  $pRSS(x,y) == (ALL t. ((E(x,t) | E(y,t)) --> RSS(x,y,t)))$   
*pNEG-def*:  $pNEG(x,y) == (ALL t. ((E(x,t) | E(y,t)) --> NEG(x,y,t)))$   
*pSSC-def*:  $pSSC(x,y) == (ALL t. ((E(x,t) | E(y,t)) --> SSC(x,y,t)))$

**theorem** *SS-imp-E-and-E*:  $SS(x,y,t) ==> (E(x,t) \& E(y,t))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**theorem** *SS-refl*:  $ALL x t. (E(x,t) <-> SS(x,x,t))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SS-sym*:  $SS(x,y,t) ==> SS(y,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *SS-trans*:  $[|SS(x,y,t);SS(y,z,t)|] ==> SS(x,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-and-SS-imp-P*:  $[|P(x,y,t);SS(x,y,t)|] ==> P(y,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-and-P-imp-SS*:  $[|P(x,y,t);P(y,x,t)|] ==> SS(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LE-total*:  $[|E(x,t);E(y,t)|] ==> (LE(x,y,t) | LE(y,x,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LE-and-LE-imp-SS*:  $[|LE(x,y,t);LE(y,x,t)|] ==> SS(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LE-imp-E-and-E*:  $LE(x,y,t) ==> (E(x,t) \& E(y,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LE-refl*:  $ALL x t. E(x,t) <-> LE(x,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LE-trans*:  $[|LE(x,y,t);LE(y,z,t)|] ==> LE(x,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LE-and-LE-imp-SS*:  $[|LE(x,y,t);LE(y,x,t)|] ==> SS(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *SS-and-LE-imp-LE*:  $[|SS(x,y,t);LE(y,z,t)|] ==> LE(x,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LE-and-SS-imp-LE*:  $[|LE(x,y,t);SS(y,z,t)|] ==> LE(x,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**thm** *ssubst*  
*<proof>*

**theorem** *RSS-imp-E-and-E*:  $RSS(x,y,t) ==> (E(x,t) \& E(y,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *RSS-refl*:  $ALL\ x\ t.\ (E(x,t) \leftrightarrow RSS(x,x,t))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *RSS-sym*:  $RSS(x,y,t) \implies RSS(y,x,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *RSS-and-SS-imp-RSS*:  $[RSS(x,y,t);SS(y,z,t)] \implies RSS(x,z,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *SS-and-RSS-imp-RSS*:  $[SS(x,y,t);RSS(y,z,t)] \implies RSS(x,z,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEG-imp-LE*:  $NEG(x,y,t) \implies LE(x,y,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEG-imp-E-and-E*:  $NEG(x,y,t) \implies (E(x,t) \ \&\ E(y,t))$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEG-irrefl*:  $ALL\ x\ t.\ \sim NEG(x,x,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEG-assym*:  $NEG(x,y,t) \implies \sim NEG(y,x,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEG-trans*:  $[NEG(x,y,t);NEG(y,z,t)] \implies NEG(x,z,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEG-and-LE-imp-NEG*:  $[NEG(x,y,t);LE(y,z,t)] \implies NEG(x,z,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *LE-and-NEG-imp-NEG*:  $[LE(x,y,t);NEG(y,z,t)] \implies NEG(x,z,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *P-imp-LE*:  $P(x,y,t) \implies LE(x,y,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *NEG-and-P-imp-NEG*:  $[NEG(x,y,t);P(y,z,t)] \implies NEG(x,z,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *P-and-NEG-imp-NEG*:  $[P(x,y,t);NEG(y,z,t)] \implies NEG(x,z,t)$   
(proof)

**thm** *LE-and-NEG-imp-NEG*  
(proof)

**theorem** *SSC-refl*:  $ALL\ x\ t.\ (E(x,t) \leftrightarrow SSC(x,x,t))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *SSC-sym*:  $SSC(x,y,t) \implies SSC(y,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pSS-refl*:  $ALL\ x.\ pSS(x,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pSS-sym*:  $pSS(x,y) \implies pSS(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pSS-trans*:  $[[pSS(x,y);pSS(y,z)]] \implies pSS(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-and-pSS-imp-pP*:  $[[pP(x,y);pSS(x,y)]] \implies pP(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLE-refl*:  $ALL\ x.\ pLE(x,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLE-trans*:  $[[pLE(x,y);pLE(y,z)]] \implies pLE(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLE-and-pLE-imp-pSS*:  $[[pLE(x,y);pLE(y,x)]] \implies pSS(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pSS-and-pLE-imp-pLE*:  $[[pSS(x,y);pLE(y,z)]] \implies pLE(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLE-and-pSS-imp-pLE*:  $[[pLE(x,y);pSS(y,z)]] \implies pLE(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pRSS-refl*:  $ALL\ x.\ pRSS(x,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pRSS-sym*:  $pRSS(x,y) \implies pRSS(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pRSS-and-pSS-imp-pRSS*:  $[[pRSS(x,y);pSS(y,z)]] ==> pRSS(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pSS-and-pRSS-imp-pRSS*:  $[[pSS(x,y);pRSS(y,z)]] ==> pRSS(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pNEG-irrefl*:  $ALL x. \sim pNEG(x,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pNEG-assym*:  $pNEG(x,y) ==> \sim pNEG(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pNEG-trans*:  $[[pNEG(x,y);pNEG(y,z)]] ==> pNEG(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pNEG-and-pLE-imp-pNEG*:  $[[pNEG(x,y);pLE(y,z)]] ==> pNEG(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pLE-and-pNEG-imp-pNEG*:  $[[pLE(x,y);pNEG(y,z)]] ==> pNEG(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-imp-pLE*:  $pP(x,y) ==> pLE(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pNEG-and-pP-imp-pNEG*:  $[[pNEG(x,y);pP(y,z)]] ==> pNEG(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-and-pNEG-imp-pNEG*:  $[[pP(x,y);pNEG(y,z)]] ==> pNEG(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pSSC-refl*:  $ALL x. pSSC(x,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pSSC-sym*:  $pSSC(x,y) ==> pSSC(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**end**  
**theory** *TMTL*

**imports** *TNEMO TORL RBG*

**begin**

**consts**



$C :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$   
 $EC :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$   
 $DC :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$   
 $pC :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow o$   
 $pEC :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow o$   
 $pDC :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow o$

**defs**

$C\text{-def}: C(x,y,t) == (EX\ a\ b.\ (L(x,a,t) \ \&\ L(y,b,t) \ \&\ CGR(a,b)))$   
 $EC\text{-def}: EC(x,y,t) == (EX\ a\ b.\ (L(x,a,t) \ \&\ L(y,b,t) \ \&\ ECR(a,b)))$   
 $DC\text{-def}: DC(x,y,t) == (EX\ a\ b.\ (L(x,a,t) \ \&\ L(y,b,t) \ \&\ DCR(a,b)))$   
 $pC\text{-def}: pC(x,y) == (ALL\ t.\ ((E(x,t) \ | \ E(y,t)) \ \longrightarrow \ C(x,y,t)))$   
 $pEC\text{-def}: pEC(x,y) == (ALL\ t.\ ((E(x,t) \ | \ E(y,t)) \ \longrightarrow \ EC(x,y,t)))$   
 $pDC\text{-def}: pDC(x,y) == (ALL\ t.\ ((E(x,t) \ | \ E(y,t)) \ \longrightarrow \ DC(x,y,t)))$

**theorem**  $E\text{-imp-}C: E(x,t) ==> C(x,x,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $C\text{-sym}: C(x,y,t) ==> C(y,x,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $C\text{-imp-}E\text{-and-}E: C(x,y,t) ==> (E(x,t) \ \&\ E(y,t))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $P\text{-imp-}C\text{-imp-}C: P(x,y,t) ==> (ALL\ z.\ (C(z,x,t) \ \longrightarrow \ C(z,y,t)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $L\text{-and-}L\text{-and-}C\text{-imp-}CGR: [[L(x,a,t);L(y,b,t);CGR(a,b)]] ==> C(x,y,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $EC\text{-imp-}C\text{-and-not}O: EC(x,y,t) ==> (C(x,y,t) \ \&\ \sim O(x,y,t))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $EC\text{-irrefl}: (ALL\ x\ t.\ (\sim EC(x,x,t)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $EC\text{-sym}: EC(x,y,t) ==> EC(y,x,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *DC-irrefl*:  $(\text{ALL } x \ t. (\sim DC(x,x,t)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *DC-sym*:  $DC(x,y,t) \implies DC(y,x,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *DC-imp-notC*:  $DC(x,y,t) \implies \sim C(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-imp-C*:  $P(x,y,t) \implies C(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *O-imp-C*:  $O(x,y,t) \implies C(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *P-and-C-imp-C*:  $[[P(x,y,t); C(x,z,t)]] \implies C(y,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PCoin-imp-C*:  $PCoin(x,y,t) \implies C(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *LocIn-imp-C*:  $LocIn(x,y,t) \implies C(x,y,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *PCoin-or-EC-or-notC*:  $(\text{ALL } x \ y \ t. (PCoin(x,y,t) \mid EC(x,y,t) \mid \sim C(x,y,t)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *C-and-LocIn-imp-C*:  $[[C(x,y,t); LocIn(y,z,t)]] \implies C(x,z,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pEC-irrefl*:  $\text{ALL } x. \sim pEC(x,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pEC-sym*:  $pEC(x,y) \implies pEC(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pDC-irrefl*:  $\text{ALL } x. \sim pDC(x,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pDC-sym*:  $pDC(x,y) \implies pDC(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pDC-imp-notC*:  $pDC(x,y) \implies (\text{ALL } t. \sim C(x,y,t))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *pDC-imp-not-pC*:  $pDC(x,y) ==> \sim pC(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-imp-pC*:  $pP(x,y) ==> pC(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pPCoin-imp-pC*:  $pPCoin(x,y) ==> pC(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pC-and-pLocIn-imp-pC*:  $[|pC(x,y);pLocIn(y,z)|] ==> pC(x,z)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pEC-imp-pC-and-notpO*:  $pEC(x,y) ==> (pC(x,y) \& \sim pO(x,y))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-imp-pC-imp-pC*:  $pP(x,y) ==> (ALL z. (pC(z,x) --> pC(z,y)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pC-sym*:  $pC(x,y) ==> pC(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pO-imp-pC*:  $pO(x,y) ==> pC(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pP-and-pC-imp-pC*:  $[|pP(x,y);pC(x,z)|] ==> pC(y,z)$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *Adjacency*

**imports** *QSizeR QSizeO RBG TORL TMTL*

**begin**

**consts**

*rAdj* ::  $Rg ==> Rg ==> o$

$Adj :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$   
 $pAdj :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow o$   
 $Att :: Ob \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow o$

**defs**

$rAdj\text{-def}: rAdj(a,b) == \sim CGR(a,b) \ \& \ (EX \ c. \ (Spr(c) \ \& \ NEGR(c,a) \ \& \ NEGR(c,b) \ \& \ CGR(c,a) \ \& \ CGR(c,b)))$

$Adj\text{-def}: Adj(x,y,t) == (EX \ a \ b. \ (L(x,a,t) \ \& \ L(y,b,t) \ \& \ rAdj(a,b)))$   
 $pAdj\text{-def}: pAdj(x,y) == (ALL \ t. \ ((E(x,t) \ | \ E(y,t)) \ \dashrightarrow \ Adj(x,y,t)))$

$Att\text{-def}: Att(x,y) == pDC(x,y) \ \& \ (EX \ z1 \ z2. \ (pPP(z1,x) \ \& \ pPP(z2,y) \ \& \ pNEG(z1,x) \ \& \ pNEG(z2,y) \ \& \ pAdj(z1,z2)))$

**theorem**  $rAdj\text{-irrefl}: ALL \ a. \ (\sim rAdj(a,a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $rAdj\text{-sym}: rAdj(a,b) ==> rAdj(b,a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $rAdj\text{-and-PR-and-PR-and-notCGR-imp-rAdj}: [[rAdj(a,b);PR(a,aa);PR(b,bb);\sim CGR(aa,bb)]]$   
 $==> rAdj(aa,bb)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $Adj\text{-irrefl}: ALL \ x \ t. \ (\sim Adj(x,x,t))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $Adj\text{-sym}: Adj(x,y,t) ==> Adj(y,x,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $Adj\text{-imp-notC}: Adj(x,y,t) ==> \sim C(x,y,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $Adj\text{-exists}: Adj(x,y,t) ==> (E(x,t) \ \& \ E(y,t))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $Adj\text{-and-P-and-P-and-notC-imp-Adj}: [[Adj(x,y,t);P(x,xx,t);P(y,yy,t);\sim C(xx,yy,t)]]$   
 $==> Adj(xx,yy,t)$

*<proof>*  
**thm** *rAdj-and-PR-and-PR-and-notCGR-imp-rAdj*  
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pAdj-and-pP-and-pP-and-pDC-imp-pAdj*:  $[[pAdj(x,y);pP(x,xx);pP(y,yy);pDC(xx,yy)]]$   
 $\implies pAdj(xx,yy)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pAdj-irrefl*:  $ALL x. (\sim pAdj(x,x))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *pAdj-sym*:  $pAdj(x,y) \implies pAdj(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Att-imp-pAdj*:  $Att(x,y) \implies pAdj(x,y)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Att-sym*:  $Att(x,y) \implies Att(y,x)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Att-irrefl*:  $(ALL x. \sim Att(x,x))$   
*<proof>*

**end**  
**theory** *Collections*

**imports** *TNEMO*

**begin**

**typedecl** *Co*

**arities** *Co* :: *term*

**consts**

*In* :: *Ob* => *Co* => *o*  
*Union* :: *Co* => *Co* => *Co* => *o*  
*Intersect* :: *Co* => *Co* => *Co* => *o*

$Subseteq :: Co \Rightarrow Co \Rightarrow o$   
 $Fp :: Co \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$   
 $Pp :: Co \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$   
 $Np :: Co \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$

$DCo :: Co \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$

### axioms

$Co-members: (ALL p. (EX x y. (In(x,p) \& In(y,p) \& x \sim y)))$   
 $Co-ext: (ALL p q. (p=q \leftrightarrow (ALL x. (In(x,p) \leftrightarrow In(x,q))))))$   
 $Co-union: (ALL p q. (EX r. (Union(p,q,r))))$   
 $Co-intersect: (ALL p q. (EX x y. (x \sim y \& In(x,p) \& In(x,q) \& In(y,p) \& In(y,q))) \leftrightarrow (EX r. (Intersect(p,q,r))))$

### defs

$Subseteq-def: Subseteq(p,q) == (ALL x. (In(x,p) \leftrightarrow In(x,q)))$   
 $Union-def: Union(p,q,r) == (ALL x. (In(x,r) \leftrightarrow (In(x,p) | In(x,q))))$   
 $Intersect-def: Intersect(p,q,r) == (ALL x. (In(x,r) \leftrightarrow (In(x,p) \& In(x,q))))$   
 $Fp-def: Fp(p,t) == (ALL x. (In(x,p) \leftrightarrow E(x,t)))$   
 $Pp-def: Pp(p,t) == (EX x. (In(x,p) \& E(x,t)))$   
 $Np-def: Np(p,t) == (\sim Pp(p,t))$

$DCo-def: DCo(p,t) == (ALL x y. (In(x,p) \& In(y,p) \& O(x,y,t) \leftrightarrow x=y))$

**lemma**  $Co-ext-rule1: p=q \implies (ALL x. (In(x,p) \leftrightarrow In(x,q)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Co-ext-rule2: (ALL x. (In(x,p) \leftrightarrow In(x,q))) \implies p=q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $Union-unique: [|Union(p,q,r1); Union(p,q,r2)|] \implies r1=r2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $Intersect-unique: [|Intersect(p,q,r1); Intersect(p,q,r2)|] \implies r1=r2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $Subseteq-refl: (ALL p. Subseteq(p,p))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *Subseteq-antisym*:  $(\text{ALL } p \ q. (\text{Subseteq}(p,q) \ \& \ \text{Subseteq}(q,p) \ \longrightarrow \ p=q))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Subseteq-antisym-rule*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(p,q); \text{Subseteq}(q,p)]] \implies p=q$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Subseteq-trans*:  $(\text{ALL } p \ q \ r. (\text{Subseteq}(p,q) \ \& \ \text{Subseteq}(q,r) \ \longrightarrow \ \text{Subseteq}(p,r)))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Subseteq-trans-rule*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(p,q); \text{Subseteq}(q,r)]] \implies \text{Subseteq}(p,r)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Fp-imp-Pp*:  $Fp(p,t) \implies Pp(p,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-Fp-imp-Fp*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(p,q); Fp(q,t)]] \implies Fp(p,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-Np-imp-Np*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(p,q); Np(q,t)]] \implies Np(p,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-Pp-imp-Pp*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(p,q); Pp(p,t)]] \implies Pp(q,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Np-imp-DCo*:  $Np(p,t) \implies DCo(p,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *DCo-subseteq*:  $(\text{ALL } p \ q \ t. (DCo(p,t) \ \& \ \text{Subseteq}(q,p) \ \longrightarrow \ DCo(q,t)))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *DCo-subseteq-rule*:  $[[DCo(p,t); \text{Subseteq}(q,p)]] \implies DCo(q,t)$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *SumsPartitions*

**imports** *TNEMO Collections*

**begin**

**consts**

$$SumPp :: Co \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$$

$$PtPp :: Co \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$$

$$SumFp :: Co \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$$

$$PtFp :: Co \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow Ti \Rightarrow o$$

$$cSumFp :: Co \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow o$$

$$bSumFp :: Co \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow o$$

$$pSumFp :: Co \Rightarrow Ob \Rightarrow o$$
**defs**

$$SumPp-def: SumPp(p,x,t) == (Pp(p,t) \& (ALL z. (O(z,x,t) <-> (EX y. (In(y,p) \& O(z,y,t))))))$$

$$SumFp-def: SumFp(p,x,t) == (Fp(p,t) \& (ALL z. (O(z,x,t) <-> (EX y. (In(y,p) \& O(z,y,t))))))$$

$$PtPp-def: PtPp(p,x,t) == (SumPp(p,x,t) \& DCo(p,t))$$

$$PtFp-def: PtFp(p,x,t) == (SumFp(p,x,t) \& DCo(p,t))$$

$$cSumFp-def: cSumFp(p,x) == (ALL t. (E(x,t) --> SumFp(p,x,t)))$$

$$bSumFp-def: bSumFp(p,x) == (ALL t. (Fp(p,t) --> SumFp(p,x,t)))$$

$$pSumFp-def: pSumFp(p,x) == cSumFp(p,x) \& bSumFp(p,x)$$

**theorem** *SumPp-and-In-and-E-imp-P*:  $(ALL p x y t. (SumPp(p,x,t) \& In(y,p) \& E(y,t) --> P(y,x,t)))$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *SumPp-and-In-and-E-imp-P-rule*:  $[|SumPp(p,x,t); In(y,p); E(y,t)|] ==> P(y,x,t)$   
 <proof>

**theorem** *SumFp-and-In-imp-P*:  $[|SumFp(p,x,t); In(y,p)|] ==> P(y,x,t)$   
 <proof>

**theorem** *SumPp-imp-E*:  $(ALL p x t. (SumPp(p,x,t) --> E(x,t)))$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *SumPp-imp-E-rule*:  $SumPp(p,x,t) ==> E(x,t)$   
 <proof>

**theorem** *SumPp-and-SumPp-imp-Me*:  $(ALL p x y t. (SumPp(p,x,t) \& SumPp(p,y,t))$



$\text{---} \rightarrow Me(x,y,t))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SumPp-and-SumPp-imp-Me-rule*:  $[[SumPp(p,x,t);SumPp(p,y,t)]] \implies Me(x,y,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SumPp-and-P-impl-Overlap*:  $[[SumPp(p,y,t);P(x,y,t)]] \implies (EX z. (In(z,p) \& O(x,z,t)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SumPp-and-SumPp-and-Subseteq-impl-P*:  $[[SumPp(p,x,t);SumPp(q,y,t);Subseteq(p,q)]] \implies P(x,y,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *SumFp-imp-SumPp*:  $SumFp(p,x,t) \implies SumPp(p,x,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *PtFp-imp-PtPp*:  $PtFp(p,x,t) \implies PtPp(p,x,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *cSumFp-imp-E-imp-Fp*:  $cSumFp(p,x) \implies (ALL t. (E(x,t) \text{---} \rightarrow Fp(p,t)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *cSumFp-and-In-imp-cP*:  $[[cSumFp(p,x);In(y,p)]] \implies cP(y,x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *bSumFp-and-Fp-imp-E*:  $bSumFp(p,x) \text{---} \rightarrow (ALL t. (Fp(p,t) \text{---} \rightarrow E(x,t)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *bSumFp-and-bSumFp-and-Fp-imp-Me*:  $[[bSumFp(p,x);bSumFp(p,y);Fp(p,t)]] \implies Me(x,y,t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *bSumFp-and-cSumFp-and-Subseteq-imp-cP*:  $[[bSumFp(p,x);cSumFp(q,y);Subseteq(p,q)]] \implies cP(x,y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *cSumFp-and-In-imp-pSumFp*:  $[[cSumFp(p,x);In(x,p)]] \implies pSumFp(p,x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pSumFp-imp-Fp-iff-SumFp*:  $pSumFp(p,x) \implies (ALL t. (Fp(p,t) \text{<->} SumFp(p,x,t)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pSumFp-imp-E-iff-SumFp*:  $pSumFp(p,x) \implies (ALL t. (E(x,t) \text{<->} SumFp(p,x,t)))$

*SumFp*( $p,x,t$ )  
<proof>

**theorem** *pSumFp-and-pSumFp-imp-E-iff-E*:  $[[pSumFp(p,x);pSumFp(p,y)]] ==>$   
(*ALL*  $t. (E(x,t) <-> E(y,t))$ )  
<proof>

**theorem** *pSumFp-and-pSumFp-imp-E-iff-ME*:  $[[pSumFp(p,x);pSumFp(p,y)]] ==>$   
(*ALL*  $t. (E(x,t) <-> Me(x,y,t))$ )  
<proof>

**end**

**theory** *Universals imports FOL*

**begin**

**typedecl** *Un*

**arities** *Un* :: *term*

**consts**

*IsA* :: *Un* => *Un* => *o*  
*IsAPr* :: *Un* => *Un* => *o*  
*IsARoot* :: *Un* => *o*  
*IsAI* :: *Un* => *Un* => *o*  
*IsAO* :: *Un* => *Un* => *o*

**axioms**

*IsA-refl*: (*ALL*  $c. (IsA(c,c))$ )  
*IsA-antisym*: (*ALL*  $c d. (IsA(c,d) \& IsA(d,c) \dashrightarrow c = d)$ )  
*IsA-trans*: (*ALL*  $c d e. (IsA(c,d) \& IsA(d,e) \dashrightarrow IsA(c,e))$ )  
*IsA-wsp-IsAI*: (*ALL*  $c d. (IsAPr(c,d) \dashrightarrow (EX e. (IsAPr(e,d) \& \sim IsAI(e,c))))$ )

*IsA-npo*: *ALL*  $c d. (IsAO(c,d) \dashrightarrow IsAI(c,d))$   
*IsA-root*: (*EX*  $c. IsARoot(c)$ )

**defs**

*IsAPr-def*:  $IsAPr(c,d) == IsA(c,d) \& \sim IsA(d,c)$   
*IsARoot-def*:  $IsARoot(d) == (ALL c. IsA(c,d))$   
*IsAI-def*:  $IsAI(c,d) == IsA(c,d) | IsA(d,c)$   
*IsAO-def*:  $IsAO(c,d) == (EX e. (IsA(e,c) \& IsA(e,d)))$

**lemma** *IsA-antisym-rule* :  $[[IsA(c,d);IsA(d,c)]] ==> c = d$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *IsA-trans-rule*:  $[[IsA(c,d);IsA(d,e)]] \implies IsA(c,e)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *IsA-wsp-IsAI-rule*:  $IsAPr(c,d) \implies (EX e. (IsAPr(e,d) \ \& \ \sim IsAI(e,c)))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *IsA-npo-rule*:  $[[IsA(e,c);IsA(e,d)]] \implies IsAI(c,d)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *IsA-npo-rule1*:  $IsAO(c,d) \implies IsAI(c,d)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-impl-Id-or-IsAPr*:  $IsA(c,d) \implies (c=d \ | \ IsAPr(c,d))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsARoot-unique*:  $[[IsARoot(c);IsARoot(d)]] \implies c=d$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsAI-refl*:  $(ALL c. IsAI(c,c))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-imp-IsAI*:  $IsA(c,d) \implies IsAI(c,d)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsAPr-imp-IsA*:  $IsAPr(c,d) \implies IsA(c,d)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsAI-imp-IsAI-imp-IsA-rule*:  $(ALL e. (IsAI(e,c) \ \longrightarrow \ IsAI(e,d))) \implies IsA(c,d)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *IsAI-imp-IsAI-imp-IsA*:  $(ALL c d. (ALL e. (IsAI(e,c) \ \longrightarrow \ IsAI(e,d))) \ \longrightarrow \ IsA(c,d))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ltb3*:  $(ALL e. (A(e,c) \ \& \ B(e,d))) \implies (ALL e. A(e,c)) \ \& \ (ALL e. B(e,d))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsAI-iff-IsAI-iff-eq*:  $(\text{ALL } c \ d. (\text{ALL } e. (\text{IsAI}(e,c) \leftrightarrow \text{IsAI}(e,d))) \leftrightarrow c=d)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-wsp*:  $\text{IsAPr}(c,d) \implies (\text{EX } e. (\text{IsAPr}(e,d) \ \& \ \sim(\text{EX } f. \text{IsA}(f,e) \ \& \ \text{IsA}(f,c))))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-IsAI-impl-IsAI*:  $[[\text{IsA}(c,d); \text{IsAI}(c,e)]] \implies \text{IsAI}(d,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-not-IsAI-impl-not-IsAI*:  $[[\text{IsA}(c,d); \sim \text{IsAI}(d,e)]] \implies \sim \text{IsAI}(c,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsAI-impl-IsA-and-IsA*:  $\text{IsAI}(c,d) \implies \text{IsAO}(c,d)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsAI-iff-IsAO*:  $\text{ALL } c \ d. (\text{IsAI}(c,d) \leftrightarrow \text{IsAO}(c,d))$

*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *Instantiation*

**imports** *TNEMO Universals*

**begin**

**consts**

*Inst* ::  $Ob \implies Un \implies Ti \implies o$

**axioms**

*Inst-IsA*:  $(\text{ALL } c \ d \ t \ x. (\text{IsA}(c,d) \dashrightarrow (\text{Inst}(x,c,t) \dashrightarrow \text{Inst}(x,d,t))))$

*Inst-IsAI*:  $(\text{ALL } x \ c \ d \ t. ((\text{Inst}(x,c,t) \ \& \ \text{Inst}(x,d,t) \dashrightarrow \text{IsAI}(c,d))))$

*Inst-E*:  $(\text{ALL } x \ c \ t. (\text{Inst}(x,c,t) \dashrightarrow E(x,t)))$

*Inst-Un*:  $(\text{ALL } c. (\text{EX } x \ t. (\text{Inst}(x,c,t))))$

*Inst-Ob*:  $(\text{ALL } x \ t. (E(x,t) \dashrightarrow (\text{EX } c. (\text{Inst}(x,c,t))))))$

**lemma** *Inst-IsA-rule*:  $\text{IsA}(c,d) \implies (\text{ALL } x \ t. (\text{Inst}(x,c,t) \dashrightarrow \text{Inst}(x,d,t)))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Inst-IsAI-rule*:  $[[\text{Inst}(x,c,t); \text{Inst}(x,d,t)]] \implies \text{IsAI}(c,d)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Inst-Ob-rule*:  $(E(x,t) \implies (EX\ c.\ (Inst(x,c,t))))$

*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *ExtensionsOfUniversals*

**imports** *Instantiation Collections*

**begin**

**consts**

*ExtCo* ::  $Co \implies Un \implies Ti \implies o$

*ExtOb* ::  $Ob \implies Un \implies Ti \implies o$

*DUn* ::  $Un \implies o$

**axioms**

*Inst-impl-ExtOb-or-ExtCo*:  $Inst(x,c,t) \implies (ExtOb(x,c,t) \mid (EX\ p.\ ExtCo(p,c,t)))$

**defs**

*ExtCo-def*:  $ExtCo(p,c,t) \equiv (ALL\ x.\ (In(x,p) \longleftrightarrow Inst(x,c,t)))$

*ExtOb-def*:  $ExtOb(x,c,t) \equiv (Inst(x,c,t) \ \&\ (ALL\ y.\ (Inst(y,c,t) \dashrightarrow x=y)))$

*DUn-def*:  $DUn(c) \equiv (ALL\ t.\ (ALL\ p.\ ExtCo(p,c,t) \dashrightarrow DCo(p,t)))$

**theorem** *DistinctInsts-impl-ExtCo*:  $[[Inst(x,c,t); Inst(y,c,t); (x \sim = y)]] \implies (EX\ p.\ ExtCo(p,c,t))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtOb-unique*:  $[[ExtOb(x,c,t); ExtOb(y,c,t)]] \implies x=y$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtCo-unique*:  $[[ExtCo(p,c,t); ExtCo(q,c,t)]] \implies p = q$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtCo-Fp*:  $ExtCo(p,c,t) \implies Fp(p,t)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtCo-impl-2members*:  $ExtCo(p,c,t) \implies (EX\ x\ y.\ (In(x,p) \ \&\ In(y,p) \ \&\ x \sim = y))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-impl-Subseteq*:  $[[IsA(c,d); ExtCo(p,c,t); ExtCo(q,d,t)]] \implies Subseteq(p,q)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtOb-impl-notExtCo*:  $ExtOb(x,c,t) ==> (\sim (EX p. ExtCo(p,c,t)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtCo-impl-notExtOb*:  $ExtCo(p,c,t) ==> (\sim (EX x. ExtOb(x,c,t)))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *NoExt-or-ExtOb-or-ExtDCo-impl-DUn*:  $(ALL t. ((\sim (EX x. Inst(x,c,t))) | (EX x. ExtOb(x,c,t)) | (EX p. ExtCo(p,c,t) \& DCo(p,t)))) ==> DUn(c)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *DUn-impl-NoExt-or-ExtOb-or-ExtDCo*:  $DUn(c) ==> (ALL t. ((\sim (EX x. Inst(x,c,t))) | (EX x. ExtOb(x,c,t)) | (EX p. ExtCo(p,c,t) \& DCo(p,t))))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *DUn-iff-NoExt-or-ExtOb-or-ExtDCo*:  $DUn(c) <-> (ALL t. ((\sim (EX x. Inst(x,c,t))) | (EX x. ExtOb(x,c,t)) | (EX p. ExtCo(p,c,t) \& DCo(p,t))))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *DUn-and-O-impl-Id*:  $[[DUn(c);Inst(x,c,t);Inst(y,c,t);O(x,y,t)]] ==> x = y$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *PartonomicInclusion*

**imports** *TNEMO Collections*

**begin**

**consts**

*P1* ::  $Co ==> Co ==> Ti ==> o$

*P2* ::  $Co ==> Co ==> Ti ==> o$

*P12* ::  $Co ==> Co ==> Ti ==> o$

*DP1* ::  $Co ==> Co ==> Ti ==> o$

*DP2* ::  $Co ==> Co ==> Ti ==> o$

*DP12* ::  $Co ==> Co ==> Ti ==> o$

## defs

*P1-def*:  $P1(p,q,t) == (ALL\ x.\ (In(x,p) \dashrightarrow (EX\ y.\ (In(y,q) \ \&\ P(x,y,t))))))$

*P2-def*:  $P2(p,q,t) == (ALL\ y.\ (In(y,q) \dashrightarrow (EX\ x.\ (In(x,p) \ \&\ P(x,y,t))))))$

*P12-def*:  $P12(p,q,t) == P1(p,q,t) \ \&\ P2(p,q,t)$

*DP1-def*:  $DP1(p,q,t) == P1(p,q,t) \ \&\ DCo(p,t) \ \&\ DCo(q,t)$

*DP2-def*:  $DP2(p,q,t) == P2(p,q,t) \ \&\ DCo(p,t) \ \&\ DCo(q,t)$

*DP12-def*:  $DP12(p,q,t) == DP1(p,q,t) \ \&\ DP2(p,q,t)$

**theorem** *P1-imp-Fp-Pp*:  $P1(p,q,t) ==> (Fp(p,t) \ \&\ Pp(q,t))$   
{proof}

**theorem** *P2-imp-Pp-Fp*:  $P2(p,q,t) ==> (Pp(p,t) \ \&\ Fp(q,t))$   
{proof}

**theorem** *P12-imp-Pp-Fp*:  $P12(p,q,t) ==> (Fp(p,t) \ \&\ Fp(q,t))$   
{proof}

**theorem** *Fp-iff-P1*:  $(ALL\ p\ t.\ (Fp(p,t) \ \leftrightarrow\ P1(p,p,t)))$   
{proof}

**theorem** *P1-iff-P2*:  $(ALL\ p\ t.\ (P1(p,p,t) \ \leftrightarrow\ P2(p,p,t)))$   
{proof}

**theorem** *P2-iff-P12*:  $(ALL\ p\ t.\ (P2(p,p,t) \ \leftrightarrow\ P12(p,p,t)))$   
{proof}

**theorem** *P1-trans*:  $[[P1(p,q,t);P1(q,r,t)] ==> P1(p,r,t)$   
{proof}

**theorem** *P2-trans*:  $[[P2(p,q,t);P2(q,r,t)] ==> P2(p,r,t)$   
{proof}

**theorem** *P12-trans*:  $[[P12(p,q,t);P12(q,r,t)] ==> P12(p,r,t)$   
{proof}

**theorem** *DP1-trans*:  $[[DP1(p,q,t);DP1(q,r,t)] ==> DP1(p,r,t)$   
{proof}

**theorem** *DP2-trans*:  $[[DP2(p,q,t);DP2(q,r,t)] ==> DP2(p,r,t)$   
{proof}

**theorem** *DP12-trans*:  $[[DP12(p,q,t);DP12(q,r,t)]] \implies DP12(p,r,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-P1-imp-P1-1*:  $[[Subseteq(r,p);P1(p,q,t)]] \implies P1(r,q,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-P1-imp-P1-2*:  $[[Subseteq(q,r);P1(p,q,t)]] \implies P1(p,r,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-P2-imp-P2-1*:  $[[Subseteq(r,q);P2(p,q,t)]] \implies P2(p,r,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-P2-imp-P2-2*:  $[[Subseteq(p,r);P2(p,q,t)]] \implies P2(r,q,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-P12-imp-P1-1*:  $[[Subseteq(r,p);P12(p,q,t)]] \implies P1(r,q,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-P12-imp-P2-2*:  $[[Subseteq(p,r);P12(p,q,t)]] \implies P2(r,q,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-P12-imp-P2-1*:  $[[Subseteq(r,q);P12(p,q,t)]] \implies P2(p,r,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-P12-imp-P1-2*:  $[[Subseteq(q,r);P12(p,q,t)]] \implies P1(p,r,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-DP1-imp-DP1*:  $[[Subseteq(r,p);DP1(p,q,t)]] \implies DP1(r,q,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-DP1-imp-P1*:  $[[Subseteq(q,r);DP1(p,q,t)]] \implies P1(p,r,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-DP2-imp-DP2*:  $[[Subseteq(r,q);DP2(p,q,t)]] \implies DP2(p,r,t)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-DP2-imp-P2*:  $[[Subseteq(p,r);DP2(p,q,t)]] \implies P2(r,q,t)$   
(proof)



**theorem** *Subseteq-and-DP12-imp-DP1*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(r,p);\text{DP12}(p,q,t)]] \implies \text{DP1}(r,q,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-DP12-imp-P2*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(p,r);\text{DP12}(p,q,t)]] \implies \text{P2}(r,q,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-DP12-imp-DP2*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(r,q);\text{DP12}(p,q,t)]] \implies \text{DP2}(p,r,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *Subseteq-and-DP12-imp-P1*:  $[[\text{Subseteq}(q,r);\text{DP12}(p,q,t)]] \implies \text{P1}(p,r,t)$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *UniversalParthood*

**imports** *ExtensionsOfUniversals ParthoodInclusion*

**begin**

**consts**

*UPt1* ::  $Un \implies Un \implies Ti \implies o$   
*UPt2* ::  $Un \implies Un \implies Ti \implies o$   
*UPt12* ::  $Un \implies Un \implies Ti \implies o$

*UP1* ::  $Un \implies Un \implies o$   
*UP2* ::  $Un \implies Un \implies o$   
*UP12* ::  $Un \implies Un \implies o$

**defs**

*UPt1-def*:  $UPt1(c,d,t) == (ALL x. (Inst(x,c,t) \longrightarrow (EX y. (Inst(y,d,t) \ \& \ P(x,y,t))))))$   
*UPt2-def*:  $UPt2(c,d,t) == (ALL y. (Inst(y,d,t) \longrightarrow (EX x. (Inst(x,c,t) \ \& \ P(x,y,t))))))$   
*UPt12-def*:  $UPt12(c,d,t) == UPt1(c,d,t) \ \& \ UPt2(c,d,t)$

*UP1-def*:  $UP1(c,d) == (ALL t. UPt1(c,d,t))$   
*UP2-def*:  $UP2(c,d) == (ALL t. UPt2(c,d,t))$   
*UP12-def*:  $UP12(c,d) == (ALL t. UPt12(c,d,t))$

**theorem** *ExtCo-and-ExtCo*:  $[[\text{ExtCo}(p,c,t);\text{ExtCo}(q,d,t)]] \implies (\text{P1}(p,q,t) \longleftrightarrow \text{UPt1}(c,d,t))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtOb-and-ExtOb*:  $[|ExtOb(x,c,t);ExtOb(y,d,t)|] ==> (P(x,y,t) <-> UPt1(c,d,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtCo-and-ExtOb*:  $[|ExtCo(p,c,t);ExtOb(x,d,t)|] ==> ((EX y. (Inst(y,c,t) \& P(y,x,t)))) <-> UPt2(c,d,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *ExtOb-and-ExtCo*:  $[|ExtOb(x,c,t);ExtCo(p,d,t)|] ==> ((EX y. (Inst(y,d,t) \& P(x,y,t)))) <-> UPt1(c,d,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UPt1-refl*:  $(ALL c t. UPt1(c,c,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UPt2-refl*:  $(ALL c t. UPt2(c,c,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UPt12-refl*:  $(ALL c t. UPt12(c,c,t))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UPt1-trans*:  $[|UPt1(c,d,t);UPt1(d,e,t)|] ==> UPt1(c,e,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UPt2-trans*:  $[|UPt2(c,d,t);UPt2(d,e,t)|] ==> UPt2(c,e,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UPt12-trans*:  $[|UPt12(c,d,t);UPt12(d,e,t)|] ==> UPt12(c,e,t)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP1-iff*:  $(ALL c d. (UP1(c,d) <-> (ALL t x. (Inst(x,c,t) --> (EX y. (Inst(y,d,t) \& P(x,y,t)))))))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP2-iff*:  $(ALL c d. (UP2(c,d) <-> (ALL t y. (Inst(y,d,t) --> (EX x. (Inst(x,c,t) \& P(x,y,t)))))))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP1-refl*:  $(ALL c. UP1(c,c))$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP2-refl*: (ALL c.  $UP2(c,c)$ )

*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP12-refl*: (ALL c.  $UP12(c,c)$ )

*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP1-trans*:  $[[UP1(c,d);UP1(d,e)]] ==> UP1(c,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP2-trans*:  $[[UP2(c,d);UP2(d,e)]] ==> UP2(c,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP12-trans*:  $[[UP12(c,d);UP12(d,e)]] ==> UP12(c,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *UP12-impl-UP1-and-UP2*:  $UP12(c,d) ==> (UP1(c,d) \& UP2(c,d))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-UP1-impl-UP1-1*:  $[[IsA(e,c);UP1(c,d)]] ==> UP1(e,d)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-UP1-impl-UP1-2*:  $[[IsA(d,e);UP1(c,d)]] ==> UP1(c,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-UP2-impl-UP2-1*:  $[[IsA(e,d);UP2(c,d)]] ==> UP2(c,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-UP2-impl-UP2-2*:  $[[IsA(c,e);UP2(c,d)]] ==> UP2(e,d)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-UP12-impl-UP1-1*:  $[[IsA(e,c);UP12(c,d)]] ==> UP1(e,d)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-UP12-impl-UP2-2*:  $[[IsA(c,e);UP12(c,d)]] ==> UP2(e,d)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-UP12-impl-UP2-1*:  $[[IsA(e,d);UP12(c,d)]] ==> UP2(c,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-and-UP12-impl-UP1-2*:  $[[IsA(d,e);UP12(c,d)]] ==> UP1(c,e)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *IsA-imp-UP1*:  $IsA(c,d) ==> UP1(c,d)$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *BFO*

**imports** *QDistR Adjacency TMTL SumsPartitions UniversalParthood*

**begin**

**end**